FHI 059, Version 13	1	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0454			Date of visit: 03/11/2021
Time spent on site:	1 hr	Main	Inspector:
Site No: FS0666	Site Name:	Burrastow	
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture So	otland Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.7	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-10
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir			nal information/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observ	ved?		nal information/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additio	nal information/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN	
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection carried out on 27/10/2021 by under observation by under supervision of under supervision of under supervision.

Fish waste currently being held by TWMA Shetland and is to be used to produce biofuel by SEM once fully approved and operational.

Upon inspection of stock, fish appeared health with no signs of clinical disease. Fish taken for VMD showed no visual signs of disease internally or externally.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issue	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0454		Site No:	FS0666				
Date of Visit:		03/11/2021			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by si	te representa	tive?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	s inspected	4
Species	SAL							
Age group	2021 S0							
No Fish	299,000							
Mean Fish Wt	230g							
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	June 2021		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Sep. 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
 Are records comp Are movement records comp Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement fyes, is there a syst 	cords availab lete and corre ates for introd nts carried ou	e for dead fishectly entered? luctions (outwood)	h and waste? ith GB) availa nalf) of the bu	ble? siness (not usi				Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a								Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	f?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (,			.02%), wk 40 -	- 178 (0.08%),	wk 41 - 1,8	340 (0.61%), v	/k 42 - 196
Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility/	reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:				. =: !!				N1/A
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) i	mortalities bed	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:		named to FLUC) If man ===4=	Jataila a 1	alita a			NI/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents been re	ported to FHI	r ir no, enter o	aetails on morta	ality events sh	ieet.		N/A

_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
ii yes, detaii (ii fiot detailed didei federit disease problems).	
Records checked between: 09/11/2016 - 27/10/2021	

	11 009, Version 13							155	ueu by. r	111			
	Case no:	2021-04	154	Site No:		FS0666			Date of v		03/	11/2021	
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	J. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:0	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o.	15
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-5	6-10	11-15									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	0.2300	0.2300	0.2300									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Fumace	Fumace	Furnace									
S	Facility No	1	3	4									

	Additional Sample Information:														
0	l	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l									

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0454		Site No:	FS0666		Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/11/2021		No of movements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
·	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	povements off	0	3	6	10	6
Wovernerits on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		17 MEDIUM
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: F	HI	Date of is	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0454		Site No:	FS0666	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)				
·	· ·	in the previous 4 years?			N
	•	quivalent) fallowed synchrono	•		Υ
azamethiphos and em		enced in-feed and bath sea lic well as access to suitable biok d of time?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ď
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	•	ement agreement or statemen	t relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm	Y
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Ar	inex 6)		Υ
6. Do records adequat	ely reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI	and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (L. saln records are inspected?	•	low the suggested criteria for t	reatment in the C	CoGP during the period that	Y
		<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been od that records are inspected?		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) o	or N
If yes, have these bee	n reported to the Fish H	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI	see comment.		N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which	is considered to cause signific	cant welfare prob	elems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
•		stered or other actions taken velongatus is considered to have			N/A
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where a	applicable)?			Υ
12. Have therapeutic t	reatments or the action	s taken had a significant impa	ct upon the lice le	evels recorded?	Υ
13. Are treatments, wh	nere conducted, carried	out in cooperation between pa	articipating farms	3?	Y
14. Is there a harvestir sea lice?	ng strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or pa	ert populations ar	e held without treatment for	Y
	cific written lice manage scalation of a sea lice i	ement procedure with waypoin nfestation?	ts describing set	actions to deal with recognise	d Y
16. Do the sea lice lev	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If	no please detail	reasons.	Y
Containment Inspect	ion				
•		ge due to predators in the curr	ent or previous p	roduction cycles?	Y
•		the predation experienced on s	•	•	Y
Top Nets,		· · · ·	,	,	
Tensioned Nets, Lice Skirts					
If other, detail below	· ·				
2			£41	h - l t 5111 i ti 0	The state of the s
•		perienced on or in the vicinity of	of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?	N
	estions 4 - 9. If No skip	· ·			
	ported to Scottish Minis		-CD 4427 E	4.47)	
	•	orthwith (where they exist)? (Co d local fisheries trusts forthwith			
			i (where they exi	st)? (COGP = 4.4.57, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if ar	ny) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail			
8. If all nets were depl	loved was this action ac	greed with local wild fish intere	sts and was perr	mission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, Coo		g. 134 mm. look ma non maore			
9. What action was tak	ken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escape	es? (Not covered	in code but could	
be considered unde	r satisfactory measur	res of the Act)			
10. Is the site inspecte	ed as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no,	olease detail reas	son(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0454	Site No: FS0666	
Date of Visit: 03/11/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for interest.4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	ed? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managers. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement im health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	I cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fa ked? he or more year classes may be stocked onto	
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be k	ept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? DOI 04/10/2019	or statement?

Site No: FS0666

Case No: 2021-0454

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2021-0454			Date of visit:	03/11/2021			
Site No:	ECOGGG	1		la ana ataw				
Site No.	FS0666	J		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
	†							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	08/11/2021							
	 							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 03/11/2021

 Site No
 FS0666
 Site Name
 Burrastow

 Case No
 20210454
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 08/11/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/