FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0455			Date of visit: 02/11/2021
Time spent on site:	3 hrs	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0999	Site Name:	Collafirth 3	
Business No: FB0440	Business Name:	Grieg Seafood Shetland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.1	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-4
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving			rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection carried out on 26/10/2021 by under observation by unde

Water temperature taken from site thermometer as there had been a delay with delivery of package to Shetland office, which contained inspectors thermometer.

Fish observed on site showing no signs of clinical disease in all but 2 pens. Fish in pens 7 & 9 showing to have lesions to the flanks and head in small numbers but were still shoaling with the rest of the population. Fish were remaining deep in the water column but were coming up for feed. Cameras checked to rule out abnormal behaviour of the population. Fish diagnosed with AGD by vet, gill scores of 1 & 2 observed. Fish currently being treated with salmosan and H2O2. Treatment taking prolonged time due to only having permission to treat 1 pen per day. Predation by seals at site. Morts removed showing seal damage but no containment issue observed. Nets checked monthly by divers.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0455		Site No:	FS0999				
Date of Visit:		02/11/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fisl	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species	SAL							
Age group	S1							
No Fish	293,331							
Mean Fish Wt	2kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	05/2022		Next Input Da	te (Site)	unknown		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	AGD present	t on site, HSN	ΛI					
 Date of last inspe Are records comp Are movement re Are records comp Are records comp Are health certific Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	olete and corre cords available plete and corre ates for introd to	e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi			17/02/2020	N Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities					Other (detail)			
If other detail:	SEM Energy							
3. Mortality records	•	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (•			0.02%), Wk 40	(547 - 0.31%) Wk41 (76	1 - 0.26%) Wk	
Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?				-	N
If yes, detail:	Thortanty du	ing period cit	iconcu:					
7. Have increased (inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	anoxplained) i		on reported to					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on morta	ality events sh	eet.		N/A
			,		.,			

Treatments and Medicines Records	_	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y
H2O2,		
T.M.S.,		
If yes, detail: Salmosan		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Salmosan		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records	_	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considerable to the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considerable.		Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary profes	sional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of	f a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?		Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (ed	qual or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological u		Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	_	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containing	nent of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	_	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?		Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance	_	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?		Υ
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?		N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 17/02/2020 - 26/10/2021		

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0455		Site No:	FS0999		Insp:	
Date of Visit	02/11/2021	ovements/s	vements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
GP 00.00	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off			0			10	
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		4
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		rfrom Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	ĺ			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0455	Site No:	FS0999
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous. 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallows. 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	owed synchronously on a single y and bath sea lice medications (in	cluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreem	ent or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm
Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legalon Country (Legalon)) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard spec		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggestecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	sted criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbe 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector. B. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered		ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is contained to the containing the conta		ions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coopera 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer p sea lice?	ation between participating farms	? Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedus scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	re with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	e count data? If no please detail r	easons.
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to pred 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation e Top nets, tension nets If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on o	r in the vicinity of the site since th	e last EUL inspection?
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 1 Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisherie	0 they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	I.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of the considered under satisfactory measures of the Act 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to cont	of further escapes? (Not covered i	n code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0455	Site No: FS0999	
Date of Visit: 02/11/2021	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located w	ithin a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require comple	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ŷ
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for instance4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	t farm management area? n site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or stater	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	ement m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	tion requirements for stocks held in the are s of fish which may be stocked into the are turn stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
	ements for the storage and disposal of any m?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management o 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	f Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	pility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual far	ements for the sensitivity testing of availables?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological controls and	d cleaner fish are to be
	ements for synchronous treatments on farr	ms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto podstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to beco	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement or lssue 1.0, DOI 17/01	

Site No: FS0999

Case No: 2021-0455

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2024 0455			Data of viole	02/11/2021			
Case No.	2021-0455			Date of visit.	02/11/2021	l		
Site No:	FS0999	l		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
	<u> </u>							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	08/11/2021							
	_							
	-							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0440 DATE OF VISIT 02/11/2021
SITE NO FS0999 SITE NAME Collafirth 3

Case No 20210455 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

• In the movement records, the fish site FS numbers were not recorded. For future movements, please ensure FS numbers are recorded for movements on and off site.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 08/11/2021

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/