FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0473			Date of visit: 03/11/2021
Time spent on site:	1	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0757 Business No: FB0134	Site Name: Business Name:	Carnassarie Hatchery Kames Fish Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	T205	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Site only recently reactivated and stocked in the middle of October. Site had previously not been used for several years and further clean up work is still on-going to improve facilities. Only a small number of tanks is in use currently. Broodstock kept on site to establish own egg production. Site is equipped to strip fish. Green eggs and milt will then be transferred to a hatchery in North Yorkshire.

No treatments conducted and no medicines currently stored on site.

FHI 059, Version	13		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-047	3	Site No:	FS0757	7			
Date of Visit:		03/11/202	1		Inspector(s):		
Registration/Aut	thorisation D	etails						
1. Business/site of			site represent	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made		•	·				N	1
Site Details (incl	lude cleaner	fish for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		17	Facilities sto	ocked	6	No faciliti	es inspected	17
Species	RTR						T .	
Age group	2019							
No Fish	555							
Mean Fish Wt	6.1kg							
Next Fallow Date		Feb 2022		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	July/Aug	2022	
Recent (last 4 wk	•	oblems?			N Any escape			N
If yes, detail:					,	(,	
Movement Record. Movement record. Movement record. 2. Date of last ins 3. Are records cod. Are movement 5. Are records cod. Are health cert. Transport Record. Are any movement fyes, is there a sufficient of the condition of the	ords available spection: omplete and control available are cords available omplete and control are con	orrectly entered able for dead fi orrectly entered roductions (out out by (or on be e for maintenal	? sh and waste′ ? with GB) avail	able? usiness (not us			09/09/2016	Y Y Y N/A
1. Mortality record	ds available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. How are morta	alitie <mark>s dispose</mark> d	d of?			Other (deta	il)		
If other detail:	Placed int	o a sealed con	tainer before b	peing taken to	Kames Pier E	Ensiler		
3. Mortality record	ds complete a	nd correctly en	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortali	ity (last 4 wks)	•	Wk 41 (2, 0	.36%), wk 42 (0), wk 43 (0)			
5. Evidence of re	cent increased	d/atypical morta	alities?					N
If yes, facility nos	/no mortality p	er facility/no st	ock per facility	//reason:				
6. Any other peak	s in mortality	during period c	hecked?					l N
If yes, detail:		<u> </u>						
7. Have increase If yes, detail action	•	d) mortalities be	een reported t	o vet or FHI?				N/A
8. Have 'mortality		reported to FH	I? If no. enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		N/A
			,					

Treatments and Medicines Records		
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N
If yes, detail:		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		N/A
" ,	_	
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	g and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottis	sh Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	, i	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	e or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifie	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked of	n the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented by	between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	ors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	o maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented	ed on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveillance		
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on	behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		
3. Any significant results?		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		
Records checked between: 09/	/09/2016 - 3/11/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0473			Site No: FS0757		Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/11/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	4.0	26	0
эрсогсо	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
					ļ		
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Tramber of des	Site contacts			6-10		9
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V	1	1	8		
Management practices	iainis upstream	TOT WITHIN T HOAT EXCUISION	None '	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		Secure	Onsecure		
processors	,, processing	The state of the s	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	e only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		10
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2021-0473	Site No:	FS0757
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)	
Has the site experienced sea lice problem		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of li	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (ir s well as access to suitable biological and/or me	ncluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in6. Do records adequately reflect the required	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the per	almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or riod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
•	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. h is considered to cause significant welfare prob	olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where	applicable)?	
•	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	d out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site sea lice?	e, where fewer populations or part populations ar	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice managescenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	gement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	ss reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
	age due to predators in the current or previous p	
Are measures in place to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	w) Y
If other, detail below:		
Tank covers		
•	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	he last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk	·	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min	insters ? forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)?	
o. Have those been reported to the cer e d	The local horience trace for this in (where they ox	50). (656) 1.1.57, 6.1.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a	agreed with local wild fish interests and was perr	mission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
What action was taken to prevent and mile be considered under satisfactory measing	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
•	regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2021-0473			Date of visit:	03/11/2021				
Site No:	FS0757	Inspector:							
•									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
Report Summary]					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp						
ECI, CNI	29/11/2021								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0134 Date of Visit 03/11/2021

SITE NO FS0757 SITE NAME Carnassarie Hatchery

Case No 20210473 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 29/11/2021

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/