FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0506			Date of visit: 23/11/2021
Time spent on site:	ihrs	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0150	Site Name:	Loch Lochy	
Business No: FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9.5	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		N	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection done by , observed by on 16/11/2021.

Stock from Inchmore input 08/11/2021.

All stock coming onto site are from internal MOWI hatcheries. All fish vaccinated prior to transfer.

Site inspection carried out by observed by on 23/11/2021.

The fish have been recently transferred from the hatchery and are feeding deep in the cages.

Six pens had added photoperiod. No moribunds observed and all fish sampled for the VMD appeared healthy.

VMD sampled by supervised by

The fish sampled for the VMD were euthanized with TMS.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0506		Site No:	FS0150				
Date of Visit:		23/11/2021]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho			ita ranraaants	ativo?			V	
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	_	checked by S	ile representa	alive :			Y	
		h fan all aast	:\					
Site Details (includ Total No facilities	e cleaner iis	36	Facilities sto	ncked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	00	i aciiiles sto	l	12	NO lacilitie	i i i specieu	
Age group	Q1 2021							
No Fish	1,129,273							
Mean Fish Wt	80g						 	
Next Fallow Date (S		May 2022	•	Next Input Da	te (Site)	July 2022	' 	
Recent (last 4 wks)	*				Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				, any occuped	(Sirios idot	viole).	
 Are records comp Are movement ref Are records comp Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system 	cords available elete and corre ates for introd ints carried out	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw t by (or on be	h and waste? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi				N/A N/A N/A
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?					ı	Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Week 42 - 6	37 fish (0.04%)), week 43 - 2	73 fish (0.02	2%), week 44	- 19 fish
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			•	
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								N/A
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								

Treatments and M								
Recent treatment	`	,						Y
If you dotail:		Formalin,						
If yes, detail:	Treated at	Pyceze						
	I reated at Inchmore							
	before							
	transfer -							
	end of							
	withdrawal							
	on the							
	18/11/2021.							
If other, detail:								
2. Medicines record		•						Y
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are fish in a with	•							N
5. If yes, what treatr	ment(s)?							
If other, detail:								
6. Are medicines st	ored appropria	tely?						Y
Biosecurity Recor	ds							
Biosecurity record		r inspection?						Y
•		of mortality removal,	recordin	g and safe	disposal l	been consider	red?	Y
		which the APB will not		_				
		at the site been inclu	-			те., р	J. J	Y
		n in the event that the		e or suspici	ion of the	presence of a	a listed disease	
		v and when that will I						Y
		ulture animals being s					al or higher	Y
health status, certifi	•	_						
6. Have the husban	dry and biosec	curity measures imple	mented l	between ea	ach epide	miological unit	t to minimise	Y
transmission of dise	ease been cove	ered (movement of st	aff, visito	ors, equipm	ent, live o	or dead fish etc	c.)?	
7. Is documentation	available rega	arding the measures i	n place t	o maintain	the physic	cal containme	nt of	Y
aquaculture animals								
	rity procedures	s been adequately im	plemente	ed on site?				Y
If no, detail:								
Results of Surveill	ance							
1. Has any animal h	ealth surveillar	nce been carried out	by, or on	behalf of, t	the busine	ess?		Y
2. If yes, are results			•					Y
3. Any significant re								N
If yes, detail (if not o	detailed under i	recent disease proble	ems).					
	Records check	ked between:	29	/10/2019 - 2	23/11/202	21		

	Cara mai	0004.0	-00	CH- N-		E00450			D-46		20/	44/2024	20/
	Case no:	2021-0	006	Site No:		FS0150)		Date of		23/	11/2021	23/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	ig. I HI			
	Thomy samples.	V 1				1 '^		WIC		'''			
	Time sampling	11:3	0:00	13:0	0:00	1	Inspecto	or:		1	VMD N	0.	44
	starts/ends:					•	•						
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5	$\overline{}$		
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
Н	Fish nos	1-8	9-16	17-24	25-32	33-40	41-48	49-56	57-64	65-70	71-76	77-82	83-88
	Pool Group									-			
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	80g	80g	80g	80g								
	Sex	N/A		N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW								
ဟ		-								-		-	
Details		ore	ore	ore	ore								
De		Inchmore	<u>u</u>	Inchmore	Į į	Inchmore	E L						
Stock	Stock Origin	Incl	Incl	Incl	Incl	Incl	lnc	Incl	Inchmore	Incl	Inchmore	Incl	Inchmore
Stc	Facility No	1	2	3		5	6	7		9	10	11	12

	,														
11/2021	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:											
	Fish killed using TMS.														
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l									

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	t issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0506		Site No:	FS0150	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	23/11/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or notuding third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	1 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	6
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
		n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		T 0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		18 MEDIUM

Case No:	2021-0506		Site No:	FS0150	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)				
•	nced sea lice problems in	the previous 4 years?			
	• •	valent) fallowed synchronou			
azamethiphos and em		ced in-feed and bath sea lice Il as access to suitable biolog f time?			nd
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or		ent agreement or statement	relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ction? (Legal SSI, CoGP Anr andard specified in the SSI a		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> records are inspected?	•	the suggested criteria for tre	eatment in the C	oGP during the period that	
		nis) numbers per fish been a that records are inspected?	at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19)	or
If yes, have these been	n reported to the Fish Hea	ilth Inspectorate? If no, FHI s	see comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which is	considered to cause significa	ant welfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50))
· ·		red or other actions taken wl			
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where app	licable)?			
· ·		aken had a significant impac	•		
		it in cooperation between par			
sea lice?	ng strategy for the site, wh	ere fewer populations or par	t populations are	e neid without treatment for	
	eific written lice manageme scalation of a sea lice infe	ent procedure with waypoints station?	s describing set a	actions to deal with recognis	ed
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks re	flect sea lice count data? If n	no please detail r	easons.	
Containment Inspect					
		due to predators in the curre		•	N
Dyneema nets,	ice to mitigate against the	predation experienced on si	te? (Detail below	/)	Y
top nets (bird					
nets),					
If other, detail below					
	ough the top nets to be a	•		a lant El II inconnetion O	N.
	ents or events been experi estions 4 – 9. If No skip to	enced on or in the vicinity of	the site since th	e last FHI Inspection?	N
	ported to Scottish Minister				
		with (where they exist)? (Co	GP - 4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)	
6. Have these been re	ported to the SSPO and lo	ocal fisheries trusts forthwith	(where they exis	et)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	ny) used to recover escape	ees? If yes give detail			
		ed with local wild fish interes	ts and was perm	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, Coo		no the rick of fruther account	2 (Not asserted)	n code but sould	
	en to prevent and minimit r satisfactory measures	se the risk of further escapes	s? (Not covered I	n code but could	
	•	ards to containment? If no, pl	lease detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

		_				_						
Case No:	2021-0506			Date of visit:	23/11/2021							
Site No:	ECO1EO	1		la ana ataw								
Site No.	FS0150	J		Inspector:								
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification								
,	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
Report Summary]								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp									
ECI, CNI, VMD	29/11/2021											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 Date of Visit 23/11/2021 Site No FS0150 Site Name Loch Lochy

Case No 20210506 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 29/11/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/