FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2021-0508			Date of visit: 25/11/2021					
Time spent on site:	:30	Main Inspec	etor:					
Site No: FS0226	Site Name:	Inchmore						
Business No: FB0119	Business Name:	Mowi Scotland Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see additional inf	ormation/clinical score sheet.					
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?	N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
Diagnostic samples taken?		N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:						

### **Additional Case Information:**

Remote inspection done on 18/11/21 by , observed by

Q1 fish - Q2 to be transferred to Loch Lochy

Q2 fish - to smolt at Inchmore before going to sea

### **Recent Mortalities**

Q1 Spare (Mowi Ireland) - all transferred out to Loch Lochy 17/11/21

Week 45 - 191 fish (0.01%)

Week 44 - 280 fish (0.02%)

Week 43 - 321 fish (0.03%)

Week 42 - 218 fish (0.02%)

### Q1 - Mowi Ireland

Week 45 - 1,411 fish (0.07%)

Week 44 - 1,484 fish (0.08%)

Week 43 - 890 fish (0.05%)

Week 42 - 794 fish (0.04%)

## Q2 - Aquagen Holywood

Week 45 - 3,217 fish (0.12%)

Week 44 - 2,432 fish (0.09%)

Week 43 - 3,145 fish (0.11%)

Week 42 - 3,508 fish (0.13%)

## Peaks in Mortality

Week 23 2021 - 117,908 fish (7.35%) - low oxygen issue while grading, reported to FHI

Week 26 2021 - 317,068 fish (11.22%) - hydrogen sulphide build up in supersat line while not in use, reported to FHI, APHA inspection conducted - supersat line now constantly aerated to prevent issue

Site inspection carried out on 25/11/21 by supervised by

The temperature in the fry tanks (Q2) was 14.2 degrees, while the temperature in the smolt tanks (Q1 - sampled for VMD) was 11.5 degrees. Very little mortality observed.

Some background mortality observed in the smolt tanks. The smolts are currently being vaccinated, with tanks 4, 6, 7, and 9 already completed. Very little machine damage observed on the fish.

The fish for the VMD were killed using TMS and sampled by , observed by . All fish looked healthy.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_	Date	of issue:	12/05/2020	
Case No:	2021-0508		Site No:	FS0226					
Date of Visit:		25/11/2021	]		Inspector(s):				
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	nils							
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?		Y			
2. Changes made to	details?					N			
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)						
Total No facilities	e cicuitet iis	49	Facilities sto	cked	34	No facilities insp	ected 49	9	
Species	SAL	SAL	r domines sto	I	-	Tto Idollidos Illop	colou II		
Age group	Q2 2022	Q1 2022							
No Fish	2,574,188	1,888,691							
Mean Fish Wt	6g	40g							
Next Fallow Date (S	_	Ongoing cyc	e	Next Input Da	te (Site)	January 2022 - A	Aguagen F	lolywood	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				. ' '	(since last visit)?		N	
If yes, detail:	Todase probi				, my cocapec	(Giriot last viole).			
2. Date of last inspection:  3. Are records complete and correctly entered?  4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?  5. Are records complete and correctly entered?  6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?  Transport Records  1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?  If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?									
Mortality Records									
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?					Г	Y	
2. How are mortalitie		•			Incinerated -	on site			
If other detail:									
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y	
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		See addition	al info.					
5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?									
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y	
If yes, detail:	See addition	al info.							
7. Have increased (			en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.									

Treatments and Medicines Records							
Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y					
Formalin,							
If yes, detail: Pyceze							
If other, detail: Q1 stock							
Medicines records available for inspection?		Y					
Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		Y					
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Formalin, Pyceze						
Only smolt							
If other, detail: tank 7							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y					
B: 4 B I							
Biosecurity Records		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco		1					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify So	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		<u>'</u>					
<ol><li>Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pres is detected been included and how and when that will be no</li></ol>	·						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked health status, certification if required)?	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	'					
riealiti status, certification il required):							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implement	ted hetween each enidemiological unit to minimise	Y					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, v							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in pla	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y					
aquaculture animals held on site?	oo to mamam the physical containment of						
Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y					
If no, detail:							
Results of Surveillance							
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, o	r on behalf of, the business?	Y					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?							
3. Any significant results?							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).							
Records checked between:	25/02/2020 - 25/11/2021						

	ii 059, versiori 15			_					ueu by. i	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Case no:	2021-0	808	Site No:		FS0226			Date of v		25/1	1/2021	25/
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		y. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	09:3	80:00	11:3	0:00	l	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	). <b>[</b>	44
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Н	Fish nos	1-18	19-36	37-59	60-77	78-93	0/ 112	113-130					
Н	Pool Group	1-10	19-30	31-38	00-11	70-93	34-112	113-130	,				
Н	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL					
	Average weight	40g	40g		40g	40g	40g	40g					
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A					
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW					
stock Details		Kindrum	Kindrum	Kindrum	6 Kindrum	Kindrum	Kindrum	ص Kindrum					
S	I acility NO	I	S	4	0	1	0	9					

11/2021 Additional Sample Information:														
Fish killed with TMS.														
Tank 7 in withdrawal for Bronopol and Formalin.														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0508		Site No:	FS0226	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	25/11/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or necluding third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	T 0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	6
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the fales of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	i			0
·	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Ιο	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	]			
					Total Rank		26 HIGH

Case No:	2021-0508	•	Site No:	FS0226						
Sea Lice Inspection (	Seawater Sites Only)									
•	nced sea lice problems in the previ	ious 4 vears?								
·	lanagement Area (or equivalent) fa	•	a single y	ear class basis?						
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin,										
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?										
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?										
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for inspection? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)								
<ul><li>5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)</li><li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)</li></ul>										
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the sugg (CoGP Annex 6)	gested criteria for treatmer	nt in the Co	oGP during the period	that					
	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numl 0/6/19) during the period that recor		el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6	5/19) or					
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspec	ctorate? If no, FHI see co	mment.							
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is considered	ed to cause significant we	lfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.	3.50)					
	eatments been administered or oth reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is									
11. Has any other actio	on been taken (where applicable)?									
12. Have therapeutic tr	eatments or the actions taken had	a significant impact upon	the lice le	vels recorded?						
13. Are treatments, who	ere conducted, carried out in coope	eration between participat	ting farms?	?						
14. Is there a harvestin sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fewer	r populations or part popu	lations are	e held without treatmen	t for					
	ific written lice management processcalation of a sea lice infestation?	dure with waypoints descr	ribing set a	actions to deal with reco	ognised					
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea l	lice count data? If no plea	se detail r	easons.						
					_					
Containment Inspecti	on									
1. Has the site experier	nced equipment damage due to pro	edators in the current or p	revious pr	oduction cycles?	N					
2. Are measures in place	ce to mitigate against the predation	n experienced on site? (De	etail below	·)	Υ					
Site indoors,										
screens, filters.										
If other, detail below:										
Contracted pest contro										
· ·	nts or events been experienced on	•	te since the	e last FHI inspection?	N					
If Yes proceed with que	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to question	n 10								
4. Have these been rep	ported to Scottish Ministers?									
•	oorted to local DSFB forthwith (whe	• ' '								
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and local fisher	ries trusts forthwith (where	e they exis	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)					
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If ye	es give detail								
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG	oyed was this action agreed with lo P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	ocal wild fish interests and	was perm	nission given by Scottis	h					
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minimise the risl	k of further escapes? (Not	t covered i	n code but could						
be considered under	r satisfactory measures of the A	Act)								
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to co	ntainment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ					

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

	0004.0500											
Case No:	2021-0508	J		Date of visit:	25/11/2021	J						
Site No:	FS0226	1		Inspector:								
		1										
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp				
	-											
	+											
	+											
	<b>-</b>											
	+											
	+											
	+											
	+											
Report Summary												
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp									
ECI, CNI, VMD	29/11/2021											
	+											
	+											
	+											
	+											





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0119Date of Visit25/11/2021Site NoFS0226Site NameInchmore

Case No 20210508 Inspector

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 29/11/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>