FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05	5/2020
Case No: 2021-0568			Date of visit: 08/11/2021	1
Time spent on site:	hrs	Mair	n Inspector:	
Site No: FS0067 Business No: FB0398	Site Name: Business Name:	Badcall Bay Loch Duart Ltd		∃
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5 E	SC 6	
Water Temp (°C): 11	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed	
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-4	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?		onal information/clinical score sheet.	
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		onal information/clinical score sheet.	
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additi	onal information/clinical score sheet.	
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:		

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Fish came on from Clachbreac and Loch Gairloch.

Fish were FW treated during transfer and also received FW treatments in August, September and the most recent one on 24/11/2021. Paramove was used in August 21 but lice numbers have remained <2 throughout the cycle.

Wrasse mortality since input is 1,500 (~1%).

Fish appeared in good body condition with the exception of some individuals displaying seal damage. Two wrasse mortalities and one salmon mortality observed across the site.

Fish carcass was discovered on site, outwith the net of cage 5. Suspected seal predation. Dive reports inspected from the previous day (7/12) and noted that a hole was discovered in the net of cage 7 measuring 8 mesh across. The hole was stitched immediately and an initial escape notification was received by the business on 08/12 - no fish are expected to have escaped. The nets on site are HDPE and are tensioned. Top nets are also deployed on all the cages. Site no longer uses ADDs and no longer has a MML. Business is considering moving to predator nets beginning of next year. Divers were on site on the day of inspection, dive report was requested from the business - no further holes were discovered in any of the nets. Site was inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment on the day of inspection.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy and displayed a good feed response.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2021-0568	]	Site No:	FS0067				
Date of Visit:		08/11/2021	]		Inspector(s):			]
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	]
Site Details (includ	a claanar fis	th for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	e cleaner na	14	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	14
Species	SAL	WRA	1 domines sto	I		140 Idollide		
Age group	2020 S0	Wildcaught						
No Fish	236,400	11,024						
Mean Fish Wt	3kg	40-70g						
Next Fallow Date (S		March 22		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Oct 22		
Recent (last 4 wks)	,			•	Any escapes		visit)?	Y
If yes, detail:	AGD			•			,	
<ol> <li>Movement record</li> <li>Date of last inspect</li> <li>Are records compt</li> <li>Are movement rest</li> <li>Are records compt</li> <li>Are health certification</li> </ol> Transport Records <ol> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a syst</li> </ol>	ction: elete and corrected availablete and corrected and corrected and corrected at a corrected	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw	th and waste? vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi	-		31/10/2019	Y Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								V
1. Mortality records a		•			Other (deteil)			ī
2. How are mortalities If other detail:	·			d a alla ata d la vil	Other (detail)		a of Doutoon	
3. Mortality records				d collected by I	DK waste for	composting	g at Portsoy.	
4. Recent mortality (	•	Correctly erit		3 (0.67%), Wk4	IG: G 17E (2 2	00/\\\\\\	E 211 /1 070/	\ \\/\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
5. Evidence of recer		atypical mortal		5 (U.O7 %), VVK4	10. 6,175 (2.30	5%), VVK45.	5,211 (1.977	), VVK44.
If yes, facility nos/no				/reason:				'
Mortalities attributed		•						
6. Any other peaks in				J.				Y
10 1 4 11				jill health exace	erhated by env	/ironmental	/nhvtoplankto	n insult
7. Have increased (u					Dibated by en	Orini Critai	, priytopiariktoi	N/A
If yes, detail action:			,					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
H2O2,	
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Υ
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
04/11/2021	
Records checked between: 31/10/2019 - 03/12/2021	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2021-0568		Site No:	FS0067		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/11/2021		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or		0	40	200	
Species .	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	0
Manager							0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6 6	10	0
Exposure via water	Trainibor or door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	T 0	<u>.</u> 			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity	<del>!</del>	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					<b>Total</b> Rank		7 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0568	Site No:	FS0067
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
<ol> <li>Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previo</li> <li>Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fal</li> </ol>	-	N N/A N/A
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as acces can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?		
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreen Management Area (or equivalent)?	nent or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm
<ul><li>5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Leg</li><li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified.</li></ul>		gal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggerecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	sted criteria for treatment in the CoG	SP during the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) number 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that record		ove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspect 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered		N/A N N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or othe suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is c		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?		Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a		els recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooper		Υ
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer present lice?	oopulations or part populations are h	eld without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedus scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	ure with waypoints describing set act	tions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	e count data? If no please detail rea	rsons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to pred	·	duction cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation	experienced on site? (Detail below)	Y
HDPE, tensioned Top nets		
f other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on a	or in the vicinity of the site since the l	last FHI inspection?
f Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$ . If No skip to question	•	
1. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?		Y
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where	e they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.1	7) Y
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheric		,
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail	N/A
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with loc Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	al wild fish interests and was permis	sion given by Scottish N/A
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk	of further escapes? (Not covered in	code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Ac	t) Hole was stitched at time	of discovery. Tensioned HDPE nets
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to con	tainment? If no, please detail reason	n(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2021-0568	Site No: FS006	7	
Date of Visit: 08/11/2021	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm manageme	ent area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreeme	nts and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agre	~		Υ
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in	spection?		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	it farm management are	a?	Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far</li></ol>			Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		agreement or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?		Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag			
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	m health standards for	he stocks to be introduced to	the area or Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina</li></ol>	•		
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the specie</li></ol>	•		
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density of	any pen on any farm in the a	rea or the Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa</li></ol>	-	and disposal of any dead fish	n from any Y
Arrangements for The Management of	of Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharing of d	ata on sea lice numbers and	treatments? N/A
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of med	dicines on farms covered by t	he agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requinulate on farms in the area or individual fa		y testing of available treatme	ents for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun		ological controls and cleaner	fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual f		ological controls and cleaner	iisii are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronou	s treatments on farms within	fish are to be Y the area? Y
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish	may be introduced or remove	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the moveme	ent of live fish on and off sites	s in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	ridual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow ked? he or more year classes may be stocked onto s	
agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	
covered by the agreement or statement?  Point of Compliance for Farm Manage		
	ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? Sep-21	statement? Y

Case no:    2021-0568   Site No:   FS0067   Date of visit/   08/11/2021	08/ <sup>-</sup> 5
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI  Time sampling 11:30:00 12:00:00 Inspector: VMD No. starts/ends:	5
starts/ends:	5
Environmental conditions: 1 Indears 2	
Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5	
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sa	amples
Add Fish/Pools - click    Pool/Fish No	
Fish nos F1	
Pool Group	
Species SAL SAL	
Average weight 3kg	
Sex N/A	
Water Type SW SW	
Stock Origin Clachbreac LS08805 Facility No	
ਲੋਂ Facility No 4	

11/2021	Addition Human	nal Sam ely dispa	ple Infoi atched b	rmation: by T.M.S	overdo	se.					
0	l	Total To	ests ass	signed	0	1					

Site No: FS0067

Case No: 2021-0568

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

O N.	0004 0500			5		204			
Case No:	2021-0568	J		Date of vi	sit: 08/11/20	021			
Site No:	FS0067	]		Inspect	tor:				
Results Summary	IFrog	Date of Notification							
Results Sulfilliary	Freq.	Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Ins	
					ep	77.11.19	шор	2 1113	
		<u> </u>							
	+								
		+							
		1							
Report Summary				7					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp						
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	13/12/2021								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD ESC	13/12/2021								
	1								
	1								





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0398
 Date of Visit
 08/12/2021

 Site No
 FS0067
 Site Name
 Badcall Bay

 Case No
 20210568
 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 13/12/2021
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0398
 Date of Visit
 08/12/2021

 Site No
 FS0067
 Site Name
 Badcall Bay

 Case No
 20210568
 Inspector

# **Escape Investigation**

During an inspection of the above site, circumstances which gave rise to a significant risk of escape were found to have occurred. This had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required under regulation 31D 1(b) of The Aquatic Animal Health (Miscellaneous Modifications) (Scotland) Regulations 2011.

Following discussion with the business representative, an initial escape notification was submitted the same day.

I would like to remind you of your obligation to immediately notify the Fish Health Inspectorate of the circumstances on, or in the vicinity of, the fish farm which—

- (a) are believed by that person to have caused an escape of fish; or
- (b) gave rise to a significant risk of an escape of fish.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 13/12/2021

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>