FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2022-0021			Date of visit: 17/02/2022					
Time spent on site:	N/A	Main Inspecto	r:					
Site No: FS0875	Site Name:	Walters (East Lismore)						
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6					
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-36					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	g fish present?	If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet.					
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		mation/clinical score sheet.					
Gross pathology observed?		If yes, see additional inform	mation/clinical score sheet.					
Diagnostic samples taken?								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								
Due to adverse weather, not able to inspect site								

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Wrasse mortality (2022)

Wk6: 0%, 0 Wk5: 0.42%, 32 Wk4: 0%,0 Wk3:0%,0

Wrasse had not been imported since the last inspection and are not planning to do so in the future.

The wrasse have been wild caught in the Sound of Mull and were input onto site in October 2021, prior to the the input of salmon. The wrasse have been not been very active, nor feeding very well. In addition they are found very deep in the pen. These observations have been attributed to colder temperatures.

Harvests are live haul using wellboats. In terms of the wrasse, Solway Transport is used for transfers.

Salmon were transferred onto site in October 2021. The stock origin is Barcaldine Smolt Unit (FS1328).

Due to bad weather, physical site inspection scheduled for the 23/02/2022 was not able to be carried out.

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2022-0021		Site No:	FS0875				
	2022 0021			1 00010	•			
Date of Visit:		17/02/2022	2		Inspector(s):			ı
_	Authorisation D							
 Business/sit Changes ma 		ary checked by s	ite representativ	ve?			Y	
								•
Site Details (in Total No faciliti		fish for all sect	ions) T Facilities stock	red	9	No facilities in	spected	0
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group No Fish	2021 Q3 301,669	Wildcaught 7,567						
140 1 1311	1.078kg	Mixed						
Mean Fish Wt		D/I 0000		No. 4 loo 4 Do4	(0:1-)	0-1-10000		
Next Fallow Da Recent (last 4)	ate (Site) wks) disease pr	Dec/Jan 2022 oblems?		Next Input Date	_ ` ′	October 2023 since last visit)?		l N
•	AGD				,			
Movement Re	cords							
		for inspection?						Y
2. Date of last	•	orroothy optorod	,				20/10/2020	N
	•	orrectly entered? lable for dead fis						N/A
	•	orrectly entered?						N/A
6. Are health c	ertificates for int	roductions (outw	vith GB) availab	le?				N/A
Transport Red								
_		out by (or on be e for maintenan		ness (not using a	a STB)?			N
ii yes, is triere	a system in plac	e ioi maintenan	ce or transporta	mon records:				
Mortality Reco		iti2						
•	ords available fo rtalities dispose	•			Incinerated - o	n site		1
If other detail:	Ash to local lar	ndfill.						
•	ords complete a tality (last 4 wks)	and correctly ento		13% 387·\/\/\/5	0 17% 506·\Nk	4 0 23% 705·\	Vk3, 0.12%, 374	Y
		,. d/atypical morta		1370, 307, VVK3,	0.17 70, 300, 000	4, 0.23 %, 703, V	VKJ, U. 1270, 374	N
If yes, facility n	os/no mortality	per facility/no sto	ock per facility/re	eason:				
6. Any other pe	eaks in mortality	during period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
Have increaIf yes, detail ac	•	d) mortalities be	en reported to v	et or FHI?				N/A
		reported to FHI	? If no, enter de	tails on mortality	events sheet.			Y
Treatments ar	nd Medicines R	ecords						
	tments (see com							Y
If yes, detail:		T.M.S., Slice						
If other, detail: 2. Medicines re	ecords available	for inspection?						Y
3. Are records	complete and co	orrectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Slice								Y
If other, detail:				T.WI.O., OHOC				
6. Are medicine	es stored appro	priately?						Y
Biosecurity R	ecords							
		e for inspection?						Y
				ng and safe disp ttish Ministers or			increased	Y
	•	site been include	•		veterinary prote	costolial of ally i	norcasca	Y
		ken in the event hen that will be i		ice or suspicion	of the presence	of a listed disea	ise is detected	
				on the farm site	been covered (equal or higher	health status,	Y
certification if r	•		, and the second		,	,	,	
6. Have the hu	sbandry and bio	security measur	es implemented	d between each	epidemiological	unit to minimise	transmission of	Y
				, live or dead fish		Jane to minimise	Janomiosion of	
	tation available r	egarding the me	easures in place	to maintain the	physical contain	ment of aquacu	lture animals	Y
held on site? 8. Have the bid	osecurity proced	ures been adeq	uately implemer	nted on site?				
If no, detail:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		, .,					
Results of Su	rveillance							
1. Has any ani	mal health surve		rried out by, or o	on behalf of, the	business?			Y
If yes, are results available for inspection? Any significant results?								Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD								\'
				20/40/2022 47	00/2022			
	records ch	necked between:		20/10/2020-17	02/2022			

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0021		Site No:	FS0875		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/02/2022		No of movements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	6
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
		from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	Ī			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	1			
					Total		12
					Rank		LOW

HI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0021		Site No:
3. Does the site have access to a	lice problems in the p ent Area (or equivalen a range of licenced in	nt) fallowed synchronously on a single	ncluding deltamethrin, azamethiphos and eman
l. Is there a signed documented	farm management a	greement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equ
i. Are sea lice count records avaii. Do records adequately reflect		? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) reco	ord levels below the	suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that records are inspec
Have average adult female se hat records are inspected?	a lice (L. salmonis) r	numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from
		spectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
). Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a	a level which is consi	dered to cause significant welfare prob	llems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
elongatus is considered to have	welfare implications?	? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	s levels have exceeded the suggested criteria f
Has any other action been ta			avele recorded?
•		had a significant impact upon the lice le coperation between participating farms	
		ewer populations or part populations ar	
5. Is there a site specific written nfestation?	lice management pr	rocedure with waypoints describing set	actions to deal with recognised scenarios durir
6. Do the sea lice levels observ	ed on stocks reflect	sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection			
	•	o predators in the current or previous p ation experienced on site? (Detail belov	•
Bird Nets,			
f other, detail below:			
f Yes proceed with questions 4 - . Have these been reported to 8 . Have these been reported to 8	 9. If No skip to ques Scottish Ministers? ocal DSFB forthwith (d on or in the vicinity of the site since the stion 10 (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4) (sheries trusts forthwith (where they exist))	4.17)
. Were methods (if any) used to	recover escapees?	If yes give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was	this action agreed wi	th local wild fish interests and was perr	mission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, Co
). What action was taken to prev	vent and minimise the	e risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfac			
	•	o containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)

Issued by: FHI

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0021	Site No: FS0875	
Date of Visit: 17/02/20	22 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
Is the farm under inspection locate If None further questions require some		Y
If N, no further questions require com	ipietion.	
2. Has a current farm management a3. Is the current FMAg/S available for4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevance5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish to	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Man 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum?	agement mum health standards for the stocks to be intro	duced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spe	cination requirements for stocks held in the area ecies of fish which may be stocked into the area ximum stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrafish farm in the area or the individual	angements for the storage and disposal of any of an	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	nt of Sea Lice ements for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	ailability and the use of medicines on farms cove	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any reclice on farms in the area or individual	quirements for the sensitivity testing of available farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individual	cumstances under which biological controls and al farms?	cleaner fish are to be
	angements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circurates or farm?	cumstances when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra or individual farms?	angements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoo 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether o agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	o sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to become	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 20/10/2021	or statement?

Ones New	2022 0024			D-4 6 - :- : 4-	47/00/0000			
Case No:	2022-0021	J		Date of visit:	17/02/2022			
Site No:	FS0875	1		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,	· '	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	1							
	+							
	-							
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	16/03/2022		2 11150					
case completion	25/03/2022							
•								
	1							
	1							
	i							
	Ī							
	1							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 17/02/2022

SITE NO FS0875 SITE NAME Walters (East Lismore)

CASE NO 20220021 INSPECTOR

Case completion report

Recommendations in relation to the above case were made for implementation by 26/04/2022. Following submission of the required documentation, evidence has now been provided to Marine Scotland to demonstrate that the recommendations have been implemented.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 25/03/2022





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0125 **DATE OF VISIT** 17/02/2022

SITE NO FS0875 SITE NAME Walters (East Lismore)

CASE NO 20220021 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted due to adverse weather. This inspection will be rescheduled later in the year. Site records were inspected remotely.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

The movement records did not include the FS numbers.

This has now been addressed, therefore no further action required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The farm management agreement/statement was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained. Please see the attached annex detailing the points that must be addressed.

Please ensure that these points have been addressed by 26/04/2022. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below). The site may be subject to further inspection or enforcement action should the appropriate action regarding the above points not be taken within the time period stipulated.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: Date: 15/03/2022
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

- The management of parasites⁽²⁾
 This must include arrangements for the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.
- (1) Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture
- ⁽²⁾ Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*