

Case No:	<input type="text" value="2022-0022"/>	Date of visit:	<input type="text" value="22/02/2022"/>			
Time spent on site:	<input type="text" value="5.5hrs"/>	Main Inspector:	<input type="text" value=""/>			
Site No:	<input type="text" value="FS1328"/>	Site Name:	<input type="text" value="Barcaldine Smolt Unit"/>			
Business No:	<input type="text" value="FB0125"/>	Business Name:	<input type="text" value="Scottish Sea Farms Ltd"/>			
Case Types:	1 <input type="text" value="ECI"/>	2 <input type="text" value="CNI"/>	3 <input type="text" value="VMD"/>	4 <input type="text" value=""/>	5 <input type="text" value=""/>	6 <input type="text" value=""/>
Water Temp (°C):	<input type="text" value="5"/>	Thermometer No:	<input type="text" value="Site"/>	FHI 045 completed	<input type="text" value=""/>	
Observations:	Region:	ST	Water type:	F	CoGP MA	
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?	<input type="text" value="N"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Clinical signs of disease observed?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Gross pathology observed?	<input type="text" value="Y"/>	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?	<input type="text" value="N"/>					

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Additional Case Information:

Red vents observed on a few fish removed for VMD sampling. A couple also had slightly swollen spleens and yellow pseudofeces the biologist thought this likely to be the result of recent vaccination, these were not included in the VMD sample. Diagnostic samples were not taken as the fish were not moribund or lethargic and had no gross pathology indicative of listed disease.

Fungus is often seen after vaccination, but fish are not treated until there is a significant burden.

For transfers, the fish are transferred on to wellboats through gravity fed pipes. To ensure that there are no escapes, the pipes are flushed before leaving the facility, and secondary screens are in place in the pipes so that any remaining fish are contained.

One batch of parr are sent to Knock hatchery for ongrowing.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity considerations.

Remote paperwork inspection conducted by [REDACTED] and supervised by [REDACTED] on 16/02/2022.

Site inspection conducted by [REDACTED] and supervised by [REDACTED] on 22/02/2022.

VMD sampled by [REDACTED] on 22/02/2022, supervised by [REDACTED].

Case No: Site No:
 Date of Visit: Inspector(s):

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative?
 2. Changes made to details?

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	<input type="text" value="16"/>	Facilities stocked	<input type="text" value="12"/>	No facilities inspected	
Species	<input type="text" value="SAL"/>				
Age group	<input type="text" value="2021 Q1"/>				
No Fish	<input type="text" value="1,744,001"/>				
Mean Fish Wt	<input type="text" value="98.3g"/>				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="ongoing cycle"/>	Next Input Date (Site)	<input type="text" value="ongoing cycle"/>		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?			<input type="text" value="N"/>	Any escapes (since last visit)?	
If yes, detail:	<input type="text"/>				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection?
 2. Date of last inspection:
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered?
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available?

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection?
 2. How are mortalities disposed of?
 If other detail:
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:

 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked?
 If yes, detail:
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?
 If yes, detail action:
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

If yes, detail:

Formalin,
T.M.S.

If other, detail:

2. Medicines records available for inspection?

3. Are records complete and correctly entered?

4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?

5. If yes, what treatment(s)?

T.M.S

If other, detail:

6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increased (unexplained)* mortality at the site been included?4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and *how* and *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?

8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

17/09/2020-16/02/2022

12
N
Y
Y
Y
Y
N/A
Y
Y
Y
Y
7
N
N
N/A
N/A

Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
Y
N

Case no: Site No: Date of visit/
Sampling:

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI

Time sampling starts/ends: Inspector: VMD No.

Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5

Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples

Add Fish/Pools - click

Pool/Fish No													
Fish nos	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-16	17-20	21-24	25-28	29-32	33-36	37-40	41-44	45-48	
Pool Group													
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL
Average weight	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g
Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW
Stock Origin	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)
Facility No	R1-1	R1-1	R1-1	R1-1	R1-1	R1-1	R1-2	R1-2	R1-2	R1-2	R1-2	R1-2	R1-2

02/2022 Additional Sample Information:

Total Tests assigned

49-52	53-56	57-60	61-64	65-68	69-72	73-76	77-80	81-84	85-88	89-92	93-96	97-100	101-10	105-10	109-11
SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL
100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g	100g
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW
Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)	Hollywood Breeding Centre (FS0614)
R1-3	R1-3	R1-3	R1-3	R1-3	R1-3	R1-4	R1-4	R1-4	R1-4	R1-4	R1-4	R1-4	R1-5	R1-5	R1-5

Case Number:	2022-0022	Site No:	FS1328	Insp:		
Date of Visit	22/02/2022	No of movements/supp./dest.			Score	
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	10
Exposure via water	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				0
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm processing	0				0
	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-products	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc	Yes	0				0
	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice	Yes	0				0
	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0				0
	No	2				
Total Rank					24	MEDIUM

Case No:

2022-0022

Site No:

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and eman access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected?
8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from 10/6/19 onwards) during the period that records are inspected?
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Site Indoors

If other, detail below:

3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

FS1328

nectin benzoate) as well as

ivalent)?

ted? (CoGP Annex 6)

m w/b 10/6/19) during the period

or treatment or where C.

g the escalation of a sea lice

N
Y

N

Y

GP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0125	DATE OF VISIT	22/02/2022
SITE No	FS1328	SITE NAME	Barcaldine Smolt Unit
CASE No	20220022	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.


Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:



Fish Health Inspector

Date:

01/03/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/>