FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0031			Date of visit: 01/03/2022
Time spent on site:	5hrs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0614 Business No: FB0572	Site Name: Business Name:	Holywood Breeding Centre AquaGen Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.6	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: DG	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?			mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

No site wide fallows planned but individual holding units are emptied, cleaned and disinfected between cycles.

Site inspection done by observed by

No fish held currently for production purposes, but may have smolts in autumn 2023 for future broodstock. Some brood fish on site are landlocked and have been held only at Holywood. Other broodfish have come in from sea sites.

FHI 059, Version 13			Iss	ued by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0031]	Site No:	FS0614				
Date of Visit:		01/03/2022	2		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Author 1. Business/site deta 2. Changes made to	ails summary		site represent	tative?			Y Y	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fic	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	ic cicalici lis	15	Facilities st	ocked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	15
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL		140 Idollide	Inspected	
Age group	2020	Brood	2019	2021 Ova		1		
No Fish	1,966	2,808	926	9,562,800				
Mean Fish Wt	5.8kg	8.7kg	11.8kg	0.1g				
Next Fallow Date (S		No fallow pla		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	July 2022	- Turness, Sh	etland
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes	_		N
If yes, detail:					, ,	·	,	
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? Transport Records 1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?								Y Y N/A
Mortality Records							,	
1. Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed d	of?			Whole fish -	Dundas Che	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Month of Fe	ebruary 2022: 5	brood fish (0.	06%)		
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	atypical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per facilit	y/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	uring period cl	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sh	neet.		N/A

_ , , ,,								
Treatments and Me 1. Recent treatments			V					
If yes, detail:	Formalin							
If other, detail:	ı omlallı							
	available for inspection?		Y					
	lete and correctly entered?		Y					
4. Are fish in a withd	•		Y					
5. If yes, what treatm	•	Formalin						
If other, detail:		- Official Control of the Control of						
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?		Y					
Biosecurity Record	s							
1. Biosecurity record	s available for inspection?		Y					
		rding and safe disposal been considered?	Y					
		cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any						
	ned) mortality at the site been included?		Y					
	•	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease						
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?								
	5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certific	ation if required)?							
6 Have the bushens	lr, and hissocurit, massures implement	ted between each epidemiological unit to minimise						
		isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
		ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y					
aquaculture animals		ce to maintain the physical containment of						
•	rity procedures been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y					
If no, detail:	ny procedure been adequately implem							
· ·								
Results of Surveilla	ince							
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or	r on behalf of, the business?	Y					
_	available for inspection?		Y					
3. Any significant res	ults?		N					
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems).							
F	Records checked between:	03/03/2020 - 25/02/2022						

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue:	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0031		Site No:	FS0614		Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/03/2022		No of movements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent zone or					
species	compartment in	ncluding third country	0		18	26	
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of desi	tinations	0	3	6	10	6
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through	0				0
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	orehole) or in a coastal zone with category I					0
diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category in or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
,		or in a coastal zone with category III					
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V			0		
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	_	,			
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1	1			1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				·
		from zone or compartment of	_				
	equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∀ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	Ī			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Lies of uppostouriesed foods	No fooding of u	innactourised food		, 1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds		npasteurised feed					0
"	Feeding unpas		1]	5.4		
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Citos energting	Number of sites	_	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0		2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	1			
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry	No		2				
code of practice				1			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		17
					Rank		MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0031	\$	Site No:	FS0614	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)				
	nced sea lice problems in the previou	us 4 years?			
•	lanagement Area (or equivalent) fall	•	a single ye	ear class basis?	
azamethiphos and ema	access to a range of licenced in-feed amectin benzoate) as well as access byed in a reasonable period of time?	s to suitable biological a			es,
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm management agreem equivalent)?	nent or statement releva	int to the si	te and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for inspection? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required standard spec	cified in the SSI and the	CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex	(6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	oonis) record levels below the suggest (CoGP Annex 6)	sted criteria for treatme	nt in the Co	oGP during the period t	hat
	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbe 0/6/19) during the period that records		el of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10/6	5/19) or
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspecto	orate? If no, FHI see co	mment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is considered	to cause significant we	lfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.	3.50)
•	reatments been administered or othe reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is co				
•	on been taken (where applicable)?				
•	reatments or the actions taken had a	-			
	ere conducted, carried out in coopera		_		for
sea lice?	g strategy for the site, where fewer p				1101
	ific written lice management procedu during the escalation of a sea lice infe		ribing set a	ctions to deal with	
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea lic	e count data? If no plea	ise detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspecti	on				
•	nced equipment damage due to pred	dators in the current or p	revious pro	oduction cycles?	N
· ·	ce to mitigate against the predation e	•		•	Y
Site inside, pest					
If other, detail below:					
3 Have escape incide	nts or events been experienced on o	or in the vicinity of the si	te since the	last FHI inspection?	N
·	estions $4 - 9$. If No skip to question 1	•	to onico tric	ridot i i i inopoditori.	14
	ported to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been rep	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where	e they exist)? (CoGP -	4.4.37, 5.4	.17)	
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and local fisherie	es trusts forthwith (where	e they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4	1.17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail			
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG	oyed was this action agreed with loca EP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	al wild fish interests and	was perm	ission given by Scottish	n
, •	en to prevent and minimise the risk of	of further escapes? (Not	t covered in	n code but could	
	r satisfactory measures of the Act				
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to cont	tainment? If no, please	detail reaso	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2022-0031			Date of visit:	01/03/2022			
Site No:	FS0614	1		Inspector:		1		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	ļ							
Report Summary								
Case Type ECI, CNI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI	07/03/2022							

Page 1 of 1





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0572 Date of Visit 01/03/2022

SITE No FS0614 SITE NAME Holywood Breeding Centre

Case No 20220031 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Fish Health Inspector

Date: 07/03/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/