FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0051			Date of visit: 11/03/2022			
Time spent on site:	/A	Main Inspecto	or:			
Site No: FS0240 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Linnhe Mowi Scotland Ltd				
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed N/A			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-33			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:						
Site inspection not possible due to Covid-19						

## **Additional Case Information:**

WRA wild and farmed from Anglesey

No mortality uplift this cycle.

The site can get a high freshwater influx during spate spells, salinity can drop to 18ppt in the first 3m. This does not seem to affect the cleanerfish as they can sit lower in the water column until the salinity condition improves.

Remote inspection done on 11/03/2022 by , observed by

Site inspection not possible due to Covid-19.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05	/2020
Case No:	2022-0051		Site No:	FS0240	<u> </u>				
Date of Visit:		11/03/2022	2		Inspector(s)	:			
Registration/Autho			it	ativa 2			V	-	
1. Business/site deta	_	cnecked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	4	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	J	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)						
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	es inspected	N/A	
Species	SAL	WRA							
Age group	Q4 2021	Mixed							
No Fish	988,942	33,025							
Mean Fish Wt	1.1kg	80g							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	July 2023		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Semptem	ber 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?			Y Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?		N
If yes, detail:	Furunculosis	in wrasse, tr	reating with Flo	orocol.					
Movement Records									
Movement record		r increation?							N/A
2. Date of last inspe		inspection:					28/04/2021	_	INIA
3. Are records comp		actly entered	2				20/04/2021	_	N/A
4. Are movement re		•		,					N/A
									N/A
5. Are records comp		•		oblo2					N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	uctions (outw	VIIII GD) avalla	able :				_	IN/A
Transport Records									
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?				N
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records	;?				
Mortality Records									
Mortality records a	available for ii	nspection?							Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Ensiled - on	site			
If other detail:	is disposed o				Liisiica - Oii	Site			
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					$\overline{}$	Y
o. mortanty records	somplete una	compony one		Veek 6: 695 (0.	07%) week 7	7· 805 (0 08º	%) week 8· 9	02 (0.09	%)
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):			47 (0.13%). W	* *	•	o), week o. o	02 (0.00	70),
5. Evidence of recer	•	tvpical morta		(011070). 11				$\overline{}$	N
If yes, facility nos/no		* 1		//reason:				_	
, ,	- 71								
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?						N
If yes, detail:									
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?					N/A
If yes, detail action:									
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet.			N/A

Treatments and Me	dicines Reco	ords				
1. Recent treatments	s (see comme	ent)?	Y			
	`	Florfenicol,				
If yes, detail:		T.M.S.				
	Cleanerfish					
	treatment					
	Florocol -					
	furunculosis					
If other, detail:			· ·			
2. Medicines records			Y			
3. Are records comp			Y			
4. Are fish in a withdo			Y			
5. If yes, what treatm	ient(s)?	Florfenicol, T.M.S.				
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines sto	red appropria	tely?				
Biosecurity Record						
Biosecurity record		·	Y			
		of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
	•	which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
· · ·	-	at the site been included?	Y			
		n in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
		w and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y			
	•	ulture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y			
health status, certific	ation if require	ed)?				
	•	curity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disea	transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals	held on site?					
8. Have the biosecur	rity procedures	s been adequately implemented on site?	Y			
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveilla	ance					
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillar	nce been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y			
2. If yes, are results	2. If yes, are results available for inspection?					
3. Any significant res	3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under	recent disease problems).				
Furunculosis in wras	se.					
		ved hetween: 28/04/2021 - 11/03/2022				

Case No: 2022-0051 Site No: FS0240	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)  1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?  2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?  3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	N Y Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm  Management Area (or equivalent)?	Υ
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	Υ
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?	N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.  9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N/A N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)	N/A
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?  12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?  13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?  14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?	Y Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.	
Containment Inspection  1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?  2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)  Top nets,  If other, detail below:	N Y
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?  If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10  4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?  5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)  6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	N
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)  9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)  10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0051	Site No: FS0240	
Date of Visit: 11/03/202	22 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
Is the farm under inspection located  If N no further questions require some	_	Y
If N, no further questions require com	pietion.	
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management at</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the releving</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish for</li> </ol>	rant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the mininfarm?	agement mum health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spe	ination requirements for stocks held in the area ccies of fish which may be stocked into the area ximum stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrafish farm in the area or the individual	angements for the storage and disposal of any farm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Managemen 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	et of Sea Lice Ements for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the ava of statement?	ilability and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any reqlice on farms in the area or individual	uirements for the sensitivity testing of available farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individual	umstances under which biological controls and al farms?	I cleaner fish are to be
	angements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circlarea or farm?	umstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra or individual farms?	angements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto roodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to beco	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S?  07/01/2022	statement?

Case No:	2022-0051	l		Date of visit:	11/03/2022				
Site No:	FS0240	1		Inspector					
	. 552.15	ı		mopeotor.					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	
	<u> </u>								
	<del> </del>								
	<del> </del>								
	<del> </del>								
	<del>                                     </del>								
	1								
Report Summary				1					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1					
REG	17/03/2022								
	<del>                                     </del>								
	1								





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 11/03/2022

 SITE No
 FS0240
 SITE Name
 Linnhe

 Case No
 20220051
 Inspector

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The paperwork for the above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009. On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted. The site inspection will be rescheduled later in the year.

# Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed: Date: 17/03/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter">www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter</a>
R10