

Case No: **2022-0052** Date of visit: **08/03/2022**

Time spent on site: **N/A** Main Inspector: **[REDACTED]**

Site No: **FS1317** Site Name: **Rum**
 Business No: **FB0119** Business Name: **Mowi Scotland Ltd**

Case Types: 1 **REG** 2 **[REDACTED]** 3 **[REDACTED]** 4 **[REDACTED]** 5 **[REDACTED]** 6 **[REDACTED]**

Water Temp (°C): **[REDACTED]** Thermometer No: **[REDACTED]** FHI 045 completed **N/A**

Observations: Region: **HI** Water type: **S** CoGP MA **None**

Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Clinical signs of disease observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Gross pathology observed? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
 Diagnostic samples taken?

UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:

Site visit not possible due to weather.

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection done on 08/03/2022 by [REDACTED], observed by [REDACTED].

Recent high mortalities due to CMS. Harvesting targeted for worst affected pens. All events reported to FHI.

Stock from Hellisay. Mowi made the decision to transfer the fish to Rum in order to fallow Hellisay to avoid stocking the site during winter/poor weather conditions. Risk assessment completed prior to transfer.

Lumpfish farmed at Otterferry, input in October.

Some issues with Caligus in summer due to high numbers of wild fish, regular Alphamax treatments to keep it under control. Site avoids stocking smolts during this period.

The farm is not currently part of a CoGP management area, however they had prepared a satisfactory farm management statement and this was available for inspection.

Site inspection not possible due to weather.

Case No: **2022-0052** Site No: **FS1317**
 Date of Visit: **08/03/2022** Inspector(s): **[REDACTED]**

Registration/Authorisation Details

1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? **Y**
 2. Changes made to details? **Y**

Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)

Total No facilities	12	Facilities stocked	3	No facilities inspected	N/A
Species	SAL LUM				
Age group	Q4 2020 2021				
No Fish	116,605 15,292				
Mean Fish Wt	4.9kg 60g				
Next Fallow Date (Site)	April 2022	Next Input Date (Site)	August 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease problems?		Any escapes (since last visit)?	Y		N
If yes, detail:	CMS				

Movement Records

1. Movement records available for inspection? **N/A**
 2. Date of last inspection: **09/07/2019**
 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
 4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste? **N/A**
 5. Are records complete and correctly entered? **N/A**
 6. Are health certificates for introductions (outwith GB) available? **N/A**

Transport Records

1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)? **N**
 If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records? **[REDACTED]**

Mortality Records

1. Mortality records available for inspection? **Y**
 2. How are mortalities disposed of? **Ensiled - on site**
 If other detail: **[REDACTED]**
 3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered? **Y**
 4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): **2022: Week 6 - 3,033 (1.25%), week 7 2,680 (1.12%), week 8 2,315 (1.38%), week 9 1,270 (0.94%). LUM mortality in the past 4 weeks: 6 fish.**
 5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities? **Y**
 If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason: **[REDACTED]**

CMS
 6. Any other peaks in mortality during period checked? **Y**
 If yes, detail: **Mortalities over the threshold due to CMS throughout the months of December 2021 and January-March 2022. All reported to the FHI.**
 7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI? **N/A**
 If yes, detail action: **[REDACTED]**
 8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet. **Y**

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.		
If other, detail:		
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	T.M.S.
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	
If no, detail:		

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
3. Any significant results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).		

CMS identified as main cause of mortality. Mild to moderate PGD.

Records checked between: 14/10/21 - 08/03/2022

Case No: **2022-0052**

Site No: **FS1317**

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

- 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
- 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
- 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
- 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
- 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
- 7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
- 8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
- If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
- 9. Is *C. elongatus* infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis* levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

- 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
- 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Tension nylon
 If other, detail below:

- 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
- If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
- 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
- 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
- 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
- 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
- 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
- 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

Case No: 2022-0052

Site No: FS1317

Date of Visit: 08/03/2022

Inspector: [REDACTED]

Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?

N

If N, no further questions require completion.

Points of Compliance for Both Farm Management Agreements and Statements

2. Has a current farm management agreement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepared?

[REDACTED]

3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspection?

[REDACTED]

4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant farm management area?

[REDACTED]

5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm site(s) to which it applies?

[REDACTED]

6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of commencement of the agreement or statement?

[REDACTED]

7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of review?

[REDACTED]

Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?

[REDACTED]

9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?

[REDACTED]

10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?

[REDACTED]

11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?

[REDACTED]

12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

[REDACTED]

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice

13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?

[REDACTED]

14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?

[REDACTED]

15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?

[REDACTED]

16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?

[REDACTED]

17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

[REDACTED]

Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?

[REDACTED]

19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area or individual farms?

[REDACTED]

Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?

22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?

23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?

Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?

26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No	FB0119	DATE OF VISIT	08/03/2022
SITE No	FS1317	SITE NAME	Rum
CASE No	20220052	INSPECTOR	[REDACTED]

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The paperwork for the above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009. On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted. The site inspection will be rescheduled later in the year.

Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

[REDACTED]
Signed:

Date: 17/03/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter

R10