FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0055			Date of visit: 22/03/2022
Time spent on site:	.5hrs	Main Inspec	tor:
Site No: FS1320 Business No: FB0571	Site Name: Business Name:	Glenforsa Hatchery Benmore Estate Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 6.4	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· ·	N If yes, see additional info	ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet. ormation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Triploid trout fry came on from Ae fishery in September 21 @ 5.9g. A batch of trout ova also came onto the site from Ae in January 22. Site not currently authorised to hold Brown Trout.

Trout are ongrown and released into a nearby loch (Loch Bearnach) for angling as part of the estate. Loch is not currently registered as a fishery. Stocking consent will need to be sought. Transport records for the movements are also required to be recorded.

Salmon ova are stripped from adults caught on the river Forss, ongrown in tanks and then released back into the same river catchment.

Fish on site were active in the tanks and no moribunds or mortalities were observed in any of the tanks.

Water through the site is sourced from the River Forss. It is directed through a header tank before being distributed into the holding tanks. No treatment on intake or discharge

No medicines have been used on the site since it was authorised.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ied by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0055		Site No:	FS1320				
Date of Visit:		22/03/202	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities		21	Facilities sto	ocked	5	No facilities	inspected	21
Species	SAL	TRO	TRO					
Age group	22S1	2021	Fry					
No Fish	350	4,135	7,340					
Mean Fish Wt	25g	150g	<1g	N (I (B	(811)			
Next Fallow Date (S		No plan.		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	Next catch.		NI
Recent (last 4 wks) If yes, detail:	disease proble	ems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last v	ISIT) ?	N
ii yes, detaii.								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?	•			_		Y
2. Date of last inspe							First Inspect	
3. Are records comp						_		N
4. Are movement re				?				N/A
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (out	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	t by (or on be	ehalf) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ng a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintena	nce of transpo	rtation records?	?			N
Mortality Records								
Mortality records:	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Domestic was	ste - <25kg		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly en	tered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		No mortalitie	es observed in	the last month			
5. Evidence of recer		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no st	ock per facility	//reason:				
C. A ath an analysis			la a al a al O					V
6. Any other peaks i				::	+ 04 Th · ·		al 4 a . a a a 4	Y
If yes, detail:			ties in the beg ncreased run (inning of Augus	st 21. These w	ere attribute	d to nearby f	orestry
7. Have increased (N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	II? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records					
Recent treatments (see comment)?	N/A				
If yes, detail:	14/7				
If other, detail:					
Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A				
appropriately.					
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	and safe disposal been considered?				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottisl	n Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence	or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease				
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on	the farm site been covered (equal or higher				
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented be					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to	maintain the physical containment of Y				
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented					
If no, detail: Site has stocked brown trout without authoris	sation. This species has not been included in the BMP.				
Results of Surveillance					
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on by	pehalf of the business?				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Jenan Or, the business:				
3. Any significant results?					
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
you with the document and the problems).					
Records checked between: 31/0	07/2018 - 22/03/2022				

FHI 059, Version 13		No of movements/supp./dest. 0 1-5 6-10 >10 ency of movements on from equivalent MS ency of movements on from equivalent zone or entrement including third country or of suppliers or of suppliers or of destinations Site contacts or of destinations Site contacts or of a coastal zone with category I upstream or within 1 tidal excursion s on-line or in a coastal zone with category V upstream or within 1 tidal excursion or son-line or in a coastal zone with category V upstream or within 1 tidal excursion occasing plant discharging into adjacent waters occasing plant discharging into adjacent waters of sing fish from MS of equivalent status sing fish from Category V farm None Secure Unsecure O 1 2			: 12/05/2020		
Case Number:	2022-0055		Site No:	FS1320		Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/03/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species			0	q	18	26	
•	Number of supp	·					0
Movements off			1 0	3		10	6
Wovernonts on							3
Exposure via water	,	Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)			1	2	4		2
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1		6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8	8 26 0 14 0 6 6 10 3 0	
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	_	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu		4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	!	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		11 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2022-0055	Site No:	FS1320
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only		
Has the site experienced sea lice problem		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of li	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in swell as access to suitable biological and/or med	ncluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for in-	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ($\it L$. sa 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the per	Imonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or iod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	h is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where		
	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	d out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site sea lice?	, where fewer populations or part populations are	e neid without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice managescenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	pement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
-	age due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Pest control Tanks covered		
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been ex-	sperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk		it last i ii iiopection.
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB to	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO at	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a	agreed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		,
	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measurements. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	ures of the Act) regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)
,		

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

					22/22/2			
Case No:	2022-0055	J		Date of vi	sit: 22/03/20	022		
Site No:	FS1320	7		Inspec	tor:			
		4						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Ins
		+						
		+						
Dan aut Currens au .		1		7				
Report Summary	Date	Inco	and I.e.	-				
Case Type ECI, CNI	31/03/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case completion	08/04/2022	-)						
eace completion	00/01/2022							
Ì								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0571 Date of Visit 22/03/2022

SITE NO FS1320 SITE NAME Glenforsa Hatchery

Case No 20220055 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were not available for inspection.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be inadequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- Site has stocked Brown trout without prior authorisation. An authorisation amendment application (found here: <u>Aquaculture Production Business (APB)</u>: forms and <u>quidance-gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u>) should be submitted to the FHI requesting the addition of Brown trout as a species held on the site. This application should be accompanied by a revised biosecurity measures plan (BMP) for the site, taking into consideration the risks associated with stocking this species in terms of disease introduction and spread, and detail any biosecurity measures that will be implemented to mitigate these risks.
- Transport records for the transfer of Brown trout from Glenforsa Hatchery to Loch Bearnach were not available for inspection. Movements of fish from the site to the Loch must be recorded. Historic movements should be collated and submitted to the FHI.
- Loch Bearnach is currently being stocked with Brown trout from Glenforsa Hatchery for recreational fishing. As such, it is required to be registered as a stocked fishery and an application to register the loch (found here: Registration of a stocked fishery (FIS): forms and quidance - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)) should be submitted to the FHI. Additionally, stocking consent is required to be sought from Marine Scotland prior to the introduction of freshwater fish and ova to inland waters.
- Movement records for the movement onto site of Atlantic salmon broodstock for stripping, or ova that have been stripped on the riverbanks of the River Forss, must be recorded. Details should include the beat of the river where the broodstock were caught or a grid reference.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Records or documentation demonstrating that these points have been addressed should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: Date: 31/03/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

R04





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0571 Date of Visit 22/03/2022

SITE NO FS1320 SITE NAME Glenforsa Hatchery

CASE NO 20220055 INSPECTOR

Case completion report

Issues were raised in relation to the above case, with a requirement for records unavailable for inspection to be submitted by 30 April 2022. The required records have now been provided to Marine Scotland.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 08/04/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/