FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0056			Date of visit: 23/03/2022
Time spent on site:	rs	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0209 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Scallastle Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	T152	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-35
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving for Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry of	out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Fish came on in March 21 from Barcaldine Smolt Unit and have been performing well since input.

Wildcaught wrasse and lumpfish from Ireland are stocked in the cages and are reported to have been performing well in keeping lice numbers low on the Salmon stock. 2.9% mortality since input.

Only 2 sea lice treatments done on site since input. SLICE treatment in April 21 and Azasure treatment in June 21. A peroxide treatment was conducted in November 21 for gills.

Average adult female Leps across the site for the period 01/09/21 to 13/03/2022 was 0.06. Caligus across the site for the same period was 1.25.

Site does live haul harvests to South Shian. Harvesting is currently ongoing across the site to manage stocking density.

Seals are present around the site in high numbers, however the site hasn't experienced any damage from predators this cycle. Sealpro nets are on half the cages and STAR nets are on the other half of cages on the site. Site manager reported that the site intends to replace the STAR nets with Sealpros before next crop comes on.

Fish were sitting deep in the water so were difficult to observe. Fish that were observed appeared active and in good condition. A few individuals were noted across the site with physical damage. These fish were not moribund and so were not attempted to be caught using a handnet.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0056		Site No:	FS0209				
Date of Visit:		23/03/2022	2]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM	T			I	
Age group	20S0		2021					
No Fish	567,957	11,644	25,600					
Mean Fish Wt	3.5kg	87g	50g					
Next Fallow Date (S		September 2		Next Input Da	te (Site)	December	· 22	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,	•		•	Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:	alscase proble	SITIS:			Arry Cocapco	(Sirice last	vioit):	14
ii yes, detaii.								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe-		·					22/09/2021	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement re		•		•				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				Y
		()	,					
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ng a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpor	rtation records?	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed of	f?			Biogas - Bar	kip		
If other detail:	Whole fish a	nd waste stor	red in skips at	t the shorebase	uplifted by b	illy bowie an	d taken to ba	rkip biogas.
3. Mortality records of					,	•		Y
			Wk7: 140 (0	.02%), Wk8: 33	38 (0.06%), W	/k9: 285 (0.0	05%), Wk10:	313 (0.05%).
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		· ·	,,	, ,,	`	,,	` ,
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	unexplained) ı	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y				
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y				
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	·				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y				
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y				
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance	V				
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y				
3. Any significant results?	N				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
Records checked between: 22/09/2021 - 14/03/2021					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0056		Site No:	FS0209		Insp:	
Date of Visit	23/03/2022	ovements/s	rements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			9	18	26	
	Number of supp		C			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m	novements off	C	3	6	10	10
Wovernents on	Number of dest		C			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	С	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	C				0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	C	- 1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	C	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		С				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1]			
CoGP/Regulator				,			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C	1			0
code of practice	No		3	<u> </u>			
Platform access to cages	Yes			7			0
	No		2				
				1			
					Total Rank		26 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0056	Site No:	FS0209
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fall 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	owed synchronously on a single y and bath sea lice medications (inc	cluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreen Management Area (or equivalent)?	nent or statement relevant to the s	te and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legality Countries of the countries of the sea lice countries available for inspection? (Legality Countries of the coun	•	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the sugge records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	sted criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) number 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records	•	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered		N/A N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had an an an anti-considered of the site. Where fewer processes a lice?	onsidered to have welfare implicat significant impact upon the lice le ation between participating farms?	vels recorded?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management proceduscenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	ure with waypoints describing set a	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	e count data? If no please detail re	easons. Y
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to precedure to the site experienced equipment damage due to precede. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation of Sealpro and Top nets of the site		
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on of the state of	they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of the considered under satisfactory measures of the Act	of further escapes? (Not covered into	n code but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0056 Site	• No: FS0209	
Date of Visit: 23/03/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located within	n a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completion	ı.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Man	agement Agreements and Statements	
2. Has a current farm management agreeme		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspec		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant far	•	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm sitDoes the FMAg/S identify the date of com		ient?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of reviews	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manageme	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum he		duced to the area or Y
farm?		
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	•	
 Does the FMAg/S identify the species of Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum 	•	
individual farm?	stocking density of any periori any family	The died of the
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	ents for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		
Arrangements for The Management of Se		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability	and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement
of statement?		
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requireme lice on farms in the area or individual farms?		treatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstar		cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual farms	~	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangement	ents for synchronous treatments on farm	cleaner fish are to be Y s within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstar area or farm?	nces when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the Y I off sites in the area
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangeme	ents for the movement of live fish on and	I off sites in the area
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? or more year classes may be stocked onto so odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managen 24. Does the farm management agreemen parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? Nov-22	statement?

Case No:	2022-0056			Date of visit:	23/03/2022			
Site No:	FS0209]		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
			-					
	-							
Report Summary				1				
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	28/03/2022							
	1							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 23/03/2022

 Site No
 FS0209
 Site Name
 Scallastle

 Case No
 20220056
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 28/03/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/