FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No: 2022-0066			Date of visit: 30/03/2022					
Time spent on site: 4h	irs	Main Inspecto	r:					
Site No: FS0875 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Walters (East Lismore) Scottish Sea Farms Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 VMD	4 SLI 5	6					
Water Temp (°C): 8	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed					
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-36					
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?  Clinical signs of disease observed?  Gross pathology observed?  Diagnostic samples taken?  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  N  N								
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:								

#### **Additional Case Information:**

A previous site inspection was scheduled for the 22/03/2022, but due to bad weather was cancelled. Therefore, a REG case was assigned (2022-0021). All the paperwork was collected for that case on 17/02/2022. A new date was set to reschedule the cancelled site inspection; paperwork dating back to the 17/02/2022 was collected prior to the new inspection date on the 30/03/2022. Therefore, case 2022-0066 depicts the data collected from the 17/02/2022 to the 22/03/2022.

The recent low level mortality has been attributed mostly to predation from seals. Indirect affects of seal predation are seen through mortality attributed to physical damage.

Wrasse mortality has been very low. Wrasse were input onto site prior to salmon in October 2021. The wrasse have been feeding and swimming deep. From week 7 to 11, no wrasse mortalities were recorded.

Site thermometer used as inspectors thermometer did not work.

Remote paperwork inspection conducted by on 22/03/2022 and supervised by Site inspection conducted by and on 30/03/2022.

VMD sampled by on 30/03/2022.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0066	]	Site No:	FS0875	]			
Date of Visit:		30/03/2022	3		Inspector(s):			i
Registration/Author								
<ol> <li>Business/site deta</li> </ol>	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	i
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect						
Total No facilities		9	Facilities sto	cked	9	No facilities	s inspected	9
Species	SAL	WRS						
	2021 Q3	Wild caught						
Age group								
No Fish	299,596	7,567						
Mean Fish Wt	1.4kg	Mixed						
Next Fallow Date (Si	ite)	Dec/Jan 202	2	Next Input Da	ite (Site)	October 20	)23	
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last)	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Mayamant Dagarda								
Movement Records							ĺ	V
Movement records		r inspection?					47/00/0000	I
2. Date of last inspec							17/02/2022	
3. Are records comp		•						Y
4. Are movement red								N/A
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	its carried out	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not usi	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	em in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Incinerated -	on site		
	Ash to local							
3. Mortality records of			ered?					Y
•	•	concomy one		Vk11· 0 11% 3	815: Wk10 0 1	11% 331. \\	/kg n 27% 8	03· Wk8
	4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):  2022 SAL: Wk11: 0.11%, 315; Wk10, 0.11%, 331; Wk9, 0.27%, 803; Wk8,  5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?							
If yes, facility nos/no		••		/reason:				
ii yes, lacility 1105/110	mortality per	Tacility/110 Sto	ick per racility/	ricason.				
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	• ′		·					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S.,	
If yes, detail: Slice	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	1
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	1
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	'
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	·
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
in you, detail (in not detailed direct recent disease problems).	
Records checked hetween: 17/02/2022-22/03/2022	

Add Fish/Pools - click	
Priority samples:  VI BA PA MG HI  Time sampling 11:00:00 11:30:00 Inspector:  Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5  Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total	22 30/0
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5  Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total  Add Fish/Pools - click	
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total  Add Fish/Pools - click	10
Add Fish/Pools - click	
	Samples
Pool/Fish No	
Fish nos 1 2	
Pool Group	
Species SAL SAL	
Average weight 1.4kg 1.4kg	
Sex N/A N/A	
Water Type SW SW	
Stock Details  Barcaldine Smolt  Unit (FS1328)  Barcaldine Smolt  Unit (FS1328)	

03/2022 Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0												
	•			.5									

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12						: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0066		Site No:	FS0875		Insp:	
Date of Visit	30/03/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	٥	18	26	0
Species .	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	0
							40
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0			10 10	3
Exposure via water	Ivalliber of des	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
,		or in a coastal zone with category III					
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
	iamis apstream	Tot within I take exception	'	7	<u> </u>		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent state	us n from Category III farm	4				
	_	r from Category ∀ farm	8	-			$\vdash$
			10	]			
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM
					Nank		MEDION

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0066		Site No:	FS0875	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites On 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems.)				N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (		n a single y	ear class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) can these be deployed in a reasonable po	of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice med as well as access to suitable biological	lications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Υ
4. Is there a signed documented farm ma Management Area (or equivalent)?		ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for	r inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6	)		Υ
6. Do records adequately reflect the requ		•	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)		ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Υ
3. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L</i> . 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the		evel of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	N
f yes, have these been reported to the Fi	•			N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level w	•		·	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been ad suggested criteria for treatment or where	C. elongatus is considered to have welf			Υ
11. Has any other action been taken (who		- 4h Ii I-		N/A
<ol> <li>Have therapeutic treatments or the action</li> <li>Are treatments, where conducted, car</li> </ol>				Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the sea lice?		_		Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice man scenarios during the escalation of a sea I	• •	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on sto	ocks reflect sea lice count data? If no ple	ase detail r	easons.	Y
Containment Inspection				
Has the site experienced equipment da	amage due to predators in the current or	previous pr	roduction cycles?	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate agai	nst the predation experienced on site? (	Detail below	·)	Υ
Bird nets, Seal				
oro nets, tension				
nets If other, detail below:				
Totalor, dotain polow.				
3. Have escape incidents or events been	experienced on or in the vicinity of the	site since th	e last FHI inspection?	N
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No				
4. Have these been reported to Scottish N		4427 57	1 17)	
<ol> <li>Have these been reported to local DSF</li> <li>Have these been reported to the SSPC</li> </ol>				
o. Have these been reported to the Sor C	o and local lisheries trusts for this time (whe	ire triey exis	si): (COOF = 4.4.57, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover	escapees? If yes give detail			
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18		id was perm	nission given by Scottish	
9. What action was taken to prevent and	minimise the risk of further escapes? (N	ot covered i	in code but could	
be considered under satisfactory mea				
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory w	ith regards to containment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued	by: FHI	Date of i	issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0066	Site No: FS087	5		
Date of Visit: 30/03/2022	Inspector:			
50/00/2022	mopeotor.			
Daint of Committees o				
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	vithin a farm manageme	ent area?		V
If N, no further questions require comple	_	int area:		T
Points of Compliance for Both Farm I				V
<ol><li>Has a current farm management agre</li><li>Is the current FMAg/S available for in</li></ol>	· ·	viAg/S) been prepared?		Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	•	a?		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm				Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the date of</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the date of</li></ol>		agreement or statemen	t?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/3 identity the date of	Teview:			
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag				
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	m health standards for t	he stocks to be introdu	ced to the area or	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	tion requirements for st	ocks held in the area or	farm?	Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	•			Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density of	any pen on any farm in	the area or the	Y
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	•	and disposal of any dea	ad fish from any	Υ
fish farm in the area or the individual fa	r <b>m ?</b>			
Arrangements for The Management o				
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	ents for the sharing of d	ata on sea lice number	s and treatments?	Υ
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal	bility and the use of med	dicines on farms covere	d by the agreement	Y
of statement?				V
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far		ly testing of available tre	salments for sea	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum		ological controls and cl	eaner fish are to be	Υ
used on farms in the area or individual f				
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for synchronou	s treatments on farms v	vithin the area?	Υ
Live Fish Movements				
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	istances when live fish	may be introduced or re	moved from the	Υ
area or farm: 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the movement	ent of live fish on and of	ff sites in the area	Y
or individual farms?				

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 1	12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or ir	ndividual farms?	
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by a date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one a agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brook covered by the agreement or statement?	d? or more year classes may be stocked on	to sites covered by the	
Point of Compliance for Farm Manageme 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be, N/A	
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of t		or statement?	

		_				_					
Case No:	2022-0066			Date of visit:	30/03/2022						
Site No:	EC0075	1		l							
Sile No.	FS0875	J		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion					
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI, VMD, CNI, SLI	04/04/2022										





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 30/03/2022

SITE NO FS0875 SITE NAME Walters (East Lismore)

CASE NO 20220066 INSPECTOR

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 04/04/2022