FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of is	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0076				Date of visit: 2	24/03/2022
Time spent on site: 4h	1	\neg	Main Inspector	r:	
Site No: FS0212 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Invasion Bay Mowi Scotland L	_td		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 8.1	Thermometer No:	T173		FHI 045 complet	ted
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-34
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	n If yes, see a	additional inforn	nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco	re sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Fish just been input from organic sea harvest. These to be ongrown to harvest. Risk assessment had been completed for the sea water to sea water transfer with satisfactory mitigation in place. FW treatment administered during wellboat transfer.

Stock is grown to organic standards so new input does not alter status of stocks held on site. The fish sampled appeared in good health with no clinical signs of disease, gross pathology or lice observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0076		Site No:	FS0212				
Date of Visit:		24/03/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?	·					N	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		18	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	18
Species	SAL	WRA					I	
Age group	2020 Q4	Mixed wild						
No Fish	51,200	4,729						
Mean Fish Wt	5.2kg	N/A						
Next Fallow Date (S		May 2022		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Oct 2022	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)				N	Any escapes	s (since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:							·	
 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific Transport Records 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sys 	olete and corre ates for introd a nts carried ou	ectly entered? luctions (outw	? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	-			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:	Waste to Ba		das					
3. Mortality records		•						Y
4. Recent mortality ((last 4 wks):		Wrasse mor	ts: 499/site las	t four weeks.	Salmon 332	2/site last four	weeks
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			'	
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	heet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	V
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	V
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	,
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	у
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	У
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	У
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	У
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	У
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	у
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 4/8/2021 to 23/3/2022	

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infoi	mation:							
0	1	Total To	ests ass	signed	0	1					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0076		Site No:	FS0212		Insp:	
Date of Visit	24/03/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	10
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	10	26	
opeoleo	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	10
Marrananta aff				<u> </u>	l l		
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trambol of doo	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through	T				
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	•	0				
diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	†				
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Management practices Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		Jecure	Offsecure		
processors	rary processing	, plant disonarying into adjasont waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	T 0				
the rules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent statu		4	1			
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10]			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shore base	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		Το	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				J			
Practices in accordance	Yes		T 0	1			0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		2	-			
code of practice			1 3	J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		36
					Rank		36 HIGH
					Tank		111311

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0076	Site No:	FS0212
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problem		year class basis?
	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in s well as access to suitable biological and/or med od of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for in:6. Do records adequately reflect the required	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the per	Imonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or iod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. h is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where		Y
·	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	d out in cooperation between participating farms , where fewer populations or part populations are	
sea lice?	, where lewer populations of part populations are	e neid without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manag scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	pement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment dama	age due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	v)
Top nets Tensioned nets		
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been expenses.	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk	ip to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min	isters?	
·	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37$, 5.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO ar	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a	agreed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu		200(0)
To. is the site inspected as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

TH 050 Marriag 40	leaved by FUI	Data of income 40/05/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0076 S	ite No: FS0212	
Date of Visit: 24/03/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located wit	hin a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require completion	on.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma	anagement Agreements and Statement	ts
2. Has a current farm management agree	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	red?
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insp		<u>y</u>
 Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant f Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	•	y v
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of co		ment?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	——————————————————————————————————————	у
Arrangements for Fish Health Managen	nent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?		oduced to the area or y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination	on requirements for stocks held in the are	a or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximulindividual farm?		
Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger fish farm in the area or the individual farm		dead fish from any y
Arrangements for The Management of S	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangemen		bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabil of statement?	ity and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirer	nents for the sensitivity testing of availabl	e treatments for sea
lice on farms in the area or individual farm	•	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst		d cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual far 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger		ms within the area?
17. 2003 the Fiving/O identity the attailger	none for synonionous treatments on fair	y y
Live Fish Movements		16 4
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst area or farm?	tances when live fish may be introduced of	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger or individual farms?	ments for the movement of live fish on an	nd off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates be date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be falked?	llow and the earliest y
•	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	o sites covered by the
_	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	ept on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o	operated in accordance with the agreement o	or statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue of		

0	2222	-				200					
Case No:	2022-0076	J		Date of vi	sit: 24/03/20	J22					
Site No:	FS0212	7		Inspect	or:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Detahas	a linen		Date of Noti		laan	- nd -			
		Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Ins			
	- 										
Report Summary				7							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	04/04/2022	2									
	_										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business
 No
 FB0119
 Date of Visit
 24/03/2022

 Site No
 FS0212
 Site Name
 Invasion Bay

 Case No
 20220076
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, farm management and statements, containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/