FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0108			Date of visit: 26/04/2022
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS1121 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.1	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted 19/04/22 by , observed by

Site inspection, collection of movement records and VMD sampling 26/04/22 by , observed by

All cages have double nets installed these have stopped issues with seal predation that had affected previous cycles. There was an increased pressure on site due to predation by cormorants, two cages particularly affected, these had additional top net supports put in place and this alleviated the problem.

Fish were deep in the water, but appeared to be shoaling well, 9 lethargic fish observed across site, attributed to Thermolicer treatment the previous week, varying degrees of physical damage on these fish. Fish sampled for VMD appeared in very good condition.

Site is counting 10 fish per cage and sea lice treatments are targeted at cages with higher numbers, rather than treat the whole site. This was reported to work well and reduces handling on cages were the sea lice numbers are low.

Nets washed by Ocean Farms Services, net washing on-going at time of inspection.

Excellent visibility at time of inspection.

Cages 5 & 6 are most exposed to tides and weather on site, due to this they are currently fallow, cages 1 to 4 were stocked with more fish, that will be split down to cages 5 & 6 in the summer once the fish have acclimatised to conditions on site and are at a size that will cope with the tides.

FHI 059, Version 13		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022	2-0108	Site No:	FS1121				
Date of Visit:	26/04/202	2		Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Authorisat							
Business/site details su		site representa	ative?			Y	l
2. Changes made to deta	iils?					Y	J
Site Details (include cle	aner fish for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	12	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species SAL							
Age group 202	1 Q4						
No Fish 485,							
Mean Fish Wt 1.11							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	2023 Q1		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2023 Q4		
Recent (last 4 wks) disea			•	Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:			•	,	(,.	
Movement Records							
Movement records ava	•	'					Y
Date of last inspection:						16/09/2020	
3. Are records complete a	•						Y
Are movement records	available for dead fi	sh and waste?	•				Y
Are records complete a	and correctly entered	?					Y
6. Are health certificates to	for introductions (out	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records							
1. Are any movements ca	arried out by (or on be	ehalf) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a system in			•	_			Y
Martalita Baranda							
Mortality Records	able for inequation?						
Mortality records availa	·			Other (deteil)			1
2. How are mortalities dis	•			Other (detail)			
. 5.5	agia Bressay - ensiled						
3. Mortality records comp	lete and correctly en		20 (0.00() 14/1	10 1 000 (0)	20/1 14/1 4/4	1 100 (0 00	1
4 Pasant martality (last 4	1 vyko):		30 (0.3%), Wk	13 - 1,022 (0.2	2%), VVK 14	- 1,136 (0.2%	6), WK 15 -
4. Recent mortality (last 4		1,392 (0.3%)				N
5. Evidence of recent inci	• •		/raaaan:				IN
If yes, facility nos/no mort	lanty per racinty/no st	ock per racility	reason.				
6. Any other peaks in mo	rtality during period o	hecked?					Y
	er to Mortality Event s						
7. Have increased (unexp			vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	names be	our reported to					
8. Have 'mortality events'	been reported to FH	l? If no. enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records 1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y

Treatments and Medicine	s Records		
1. Recent treatments (see o	comment)?		Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S		
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records availa	ble for inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete an	d correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal p	period?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	?	T.M.S.	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored app	propriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records availa 2. Has the manner and frequency	•	rding and safe disposal been considered?	Y
•	iod in which the APB will notify So nortality at the site been included?	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	Y
• • •	•	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
	and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be no	·	Y
5. Has the health status of a	aquaculture animals being stocke	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if	required)?		
		ted between each epidemiological unit to minimise isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	Y
7. Is documentation available aquaculture animals held of		ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y
8. Have the biosecurity prod	cedures been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
1. Has any animal health su	urveillance been carried out by, o	r on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results availab	le for inspection?		Y
3. Any significant results?			N
If yes, detail (if not detailed	under recent disease problems).		
Records	s checked between:	16/09/20 to 19/04/22	

Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling 12:30:00 13:00:00 Inspector: VMD No. Starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Dry 2 Sunny 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1 2 3 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 1.5000 1.5000 1.5000 Sex Water Type SW SW SW Water Type SW SW SW Stock Origin Sampling: HI MG NG	Г	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	ued by: F	ПІ			
Priority samples:		Case no:	2022-01	108	Site No:		FS1121					26/0	04/2022	26/0
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species SAL Average weight Average weight Sex Water Type Stock Origin Stock Origin Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Sample	ı	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG					
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No	ı	· -	12:3	0:00	13:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [13
Pool/Fish No	ı	Environmental conditions:	1	Dry	2	Sunny	3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No	ı	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Fish nos	Δ													
Pool Group Species Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight Sex Water Type Sw Sw Sw Stock Origin Stock Origin Species SAL														
Species SAL SAL SAL Average weight 1.5000 1.5000 1.5000 Sex Water Type SW SW SW SW Stock Origin Stock Origin		Fish nos	1	2	3									
Average weight Sex Water Type Sw SW SW Nonit Parcaldine Sund	Г	Pool Group												
Average weight Sex Water Type Sw Sw Sw India a special series of the s		Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
Sex Water Type Shock Origin Stock Origin Stock Origin Stock Origin Stock Origin		Average weight	1.5000	1.5000	1.5000									
barcaldine Smolt Unit Barcaldine Smolt Unit Unit Unit														
		Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
16 T F ACHILLY INC. 13 19 117 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			ഗ Barcaldine Smolt Unit	ထ Barcaldine Smolt Unit	S Barcaldine Smolt Unit									

04/2022 Additional Sample Information:													
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue:	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0108		Site No:	FS1121		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/04/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0	3	6	10	10
Movements on	Number of des		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other		red (secure water supply through	0				0
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or l	orenoie) or in a coastal zone with category I	0				0
diseases)		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters			Onoccure		
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
		from zone or compartment of	4				0
	equivalent state	n from Category III farm	8	-			0
	_	n from Category V farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed		J 1			
products		esses with other farms	0	1			0
		t for waste from other farms	5				3
				J 7			0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0				0
Disconnito	reeding unpas	Number of sites	5] 2 or 3	≥ 4		U
Biosecurity Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase			2		1
		taff and equipment	0		2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		l 0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	1			0
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0108	Site No:	FS1121
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the properties of the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent and Does the site have access to a range of licenced interest in the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent and Does the Site have access to a range of licenced interest in the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent Area).) fallowed synchronously on a single ye feed and bath sea lice medications (inc ccess to suitable biological and/or mech	eluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm management ag Management Area (or equivalent)?		te and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard		egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the surecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	uggested criteria for treatment in the Co	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) no 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that re	•	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Ins 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is consid	•	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i>		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken h 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in co 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where federal lice?	ad a significant impact upon the lice lev operation between participating farms?	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management pro scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect se	ea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons.
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the preda Top Nets, Seal If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced	on or in the vicinity of the site since the	e last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to quest 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (v 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fis	where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If	yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the be considered under satisfactory measures of the	risk of further escapes? (Not covered in	n code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to	containment? If no, please detail reaso	on(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Da	ate of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0108	Site No:	S1121		
Date of Visit: 26/04/2022	Inspec	etor:		
Point of Compliance				
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm man	agement area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.			
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Ag	eements and Statemen	ts	
2. Has a current farm management agre	eement or stateme	ent (FMAg/S) been prepa	red?	Y
Is the current FMAg/S available for in	spection?			Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant				Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish fare	• •	* *		Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		of the agreement or state	ment?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?			Υ
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag				
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	m health standard	ds for the stocks to be into	roduced to the area o	or Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina				Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the specie				Y
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking dens	sity of any pen on any far	m in the area or the	Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa		orage and disposal of an	y dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice			
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	ents for the sharin	ig of data on sea lice nun	nbers and treatments	? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use	of medicines on farms co	vered by the agreem	ent Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir	rements for the se	nsitivity testing of availab	le treatments for sea	Y
lice on farms in the area or individual far		,.		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun	nstances under wi	nich biological controls ar	nd cleaner fish are to	be Y
used on farms in the area or individual f		· ·		
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synch	ronous treatments on far	ms within the area?	be Y Y
Live Fish Movements				
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live	e fish may be introduced	or removed from the	Y
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the m	ovement of live fish on ar	nd off sites in the area	a Y
or individual farms?				

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fa ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto odstock or potential broodstock are to be k	o sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only nt include arrangements for persons to bec	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 03/07/2021	or statement?

Site No: FS1121
Case No: 2022-0108
Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0108			Date of visit:	26/04/2022]		
Site No:	FS1121	1		Inspector:		1		
D " 0	-							
Results Summary	Freq.	D ()			te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Dan ant Community								
Report Summary	5 .		- nd -					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	06/07/2022							

Page 1 of 1





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 26/04/2022

SITE NO FS1121 SITE NAME Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe

Case No 20220108 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R25

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



Signed: Date: 06/07/22

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/