

## Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection conducted 19/04/22 by $\square$, observed by
Site inspection, collection of movement records and VMD sampling 26/04/22 by , observed by
All cages have double nets installed these have stopped issues with seal predation that had affected previous cycles. There was an increased pressure on site due to predation by cormorants, two cages particularly affected, these had additional top net supports put in place and this alleviated the problem.
Fish were deep in the water, but appeared to be shoaling well, 9 lethargic fish observed across site, attributed to Thermolicer treatment the previous week, varying degrees of physical damage on these fish. Fish sampled for VMD appeared in very good condition.
Site is counting 10 fish per cage and sea lice treatments are targeted at cages with higher numbers, rather than treat the whole site. This was reported to work well and reduces handling on cages were the sea lice numbers are low.
Nets washed by Ocean Farms Services, net washing on-going at time of inspection.
Excellent visibility at time of inspection.
Cages $5 \& 6$ are most exposed to tides and weather on site, due to this they are currently fallow, cages 1 to 4 were stocked with more fish, that will be split down to cages $5 \& 6$ in the summer once the fish have acclimatised to conditions on site and are at a size that will cope with the tides.


## Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatments (see comment)?

> If yes, detail:

If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.

If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?

## Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

## Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).



FHI 059, Version 13
Issued by: FHI
Date of issue: 12/05/2020

| Case Number: | 2022-0108 | Site No: | FS1121 |  | Insp: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Visit | 26/04/2022 | No of movements/supp./dest. |  |  |  | Score |
| Live fish movements |  | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 |  |
| Movements on (from out with GB) of susceptible species | Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
|  | Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country | 0 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 0 |
|  | Number of suppliers | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| Movements off | Frequency of movements off | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Number of destinations | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| Exposure via water | Site contacts |  | 0 1-5 | 6-10 |  |  |
| Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same diseases) | Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 2 | 4 |  | 2 |
|  | Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 |  | 0 |
|  | Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 |  | 0 |
| Management practices |  | None | Secure | Unsecure |  |  |
| Water contacts with processors | Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm processing | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Processing own fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Processing fish from MS of equivalent status | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status | 4 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Processing fish from Category III farm | 8 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Processing fish from Category V farm | 10 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Disposal of fish and fish byproducts | Site's own waste only processed. | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Common processes with other farms | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
|  | Collection point for waste from other farms | 5 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of unpasteurised feed | 0 | 2 or 3 |  |  | 0 |
|  | Feeding unpasteurised feed | 5 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Biosecurity | Number of sites | 1 |  | $\geq 4$ |  |  |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
|  | Sites sharing staff and equipment | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of footbaths etc | Yes | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | No | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |
| CoGP/Regulator |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practices in accordance with regulator or industry code of practice | Yes | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | No | 3 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | No | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  |  | Total |  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  | Rank |  |  | MEDIUM |

## Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)

1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)?
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis ) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when $L$. salmonis levels have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where C. elongatus is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

## Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)

Top Nets, Seal
If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?

If Yes proceed with questions $4-9$. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Legal, CoGP - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

| Case No: | 2022-0108 | Site No: | FS1121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date of Visit: | 26/04/2022 |  | ctor: |

## Point of Compliance

1. Is the farm under inspection located within a farm management area?


## Arrangements for Fish Health Management

8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum health standards for the stocks to be introduced to the area or farm?
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination requirements for stocks held in the area or farm?
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species of fish which may be stocked into the area or farm?
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum stocking density of any pen on any farm in the area or the individual farm?
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from any fish farm in the area or the individual farm?

Arrangements for The Management of Sea Lice
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements for the sharing of data on sea lice numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availability and the use of medicines on farms covered by the agreement of statement?
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirements for the sensitivity testing of available treatments for sea lice on farms in the area or individual farms?
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances under which biological controls and cleaner fish are to be used on farms in the area or individual farms?
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for synchronous treatments on farms within the area?

## Live Fish Movements

18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumstances when live fish may be introduced or removed from the area or farm?
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangements for the movement of live fish on and off sites in the area
 or individual farms?

## Harvesting

20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?

## Fallowing

21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest date when a farm or area may be restocked?
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement?
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?

## Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only

24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be,

## Management and operation

25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?
26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?

03/07/2021

| Case No: | 2022-0108 | Date of visit: 26/04/2022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site No: | FS1121 | Inspector: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results Summary | Freq. | Date of Notification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Database | Insp | Phone | Insp | Writing | Insp | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Insp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Report Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case Type | Date | Insp | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Insp |
| ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD | $06 / 07 / 2022$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Scottish Government Riaghaltas na h-Alba gov.scot

## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

## SUMM ARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

| Business | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| FB0125 |  |
| Site No | FS1121 |
| CASE No | 20220108 |

Date of Visit 26/04/2022
Site Name Bight of Bellister, Dury Voe
INSPECTOR


Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009
The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.
Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

## Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products(Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.
Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.


Signed:
Date: 06/07/22
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-servicecharter/

