FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0113			Date of visit: 28/04/2022
Time spent on site:	hours	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0608 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Vidlin North Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.3	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-5
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Site inspection and VMD sampling by , observed by

Site has been experiencing some CMS, the worst affected cages have been harvested. Other reported cause of mortality on site is seal predation, it was thought that this was likely masking mortalities that should have been attributed to CMS. Site underwent a Thermolicer treatment at the start of April 2022, reported to have given good clearance.

Fish shoaling well and were deeper in the water. Approximately 7 seals observed in close proximity to the site and this had and affect on the salmon, with increased jumping. No equipment damage report on site and all cages have Seal Pro nets installed, all nets are fully tied to the handrail. There have been occasions where a seal has accessed a cage either over the hand rail or over the top of the net. The seals have waited for site staff to lower part of the net to the water line and the seal leaves the cage. No damaged to nets has been recorded or was reported. The seal issue has reportedly worsened this cycle, since the introduction of double nets to Dury Voe sites and the removal of ADD's. For next cycle the site plans to install double nets to all cages.

Thermometer No T304 used, option not available on case sheet

Site staff reported that O2 levels have been lower over winter than would be expected, new environmental monitoring system in use at site.

Daily water samples taken at site, no recent issues noted.

SSF are modelling for potential new site at the end of the Voe, which would be more exposed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0113		Site No:	FS0608				
Date of Visit:		28/04/2022			Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2021 S1							
No Fish	409,377							
Mean Fish Wt	3.7 Kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	*	Aug 2022		Next Input Da	_ ` ′	2023 Q1		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
1. Movement record 2. Date of last inspe 3. Are records comp 4. Are movement re 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certific  Transport Records 1. Are any movement figes, is there a system  Mortality Records 1. Mortality records 1. Mortality records	ection: colete and corrected available cords available colete and corrected attes for introde attes for introde attes available attes for introde attes for introde attes for introde attes for introde attention place for	ectly entered? le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outwood t by (or on bel	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi	_		19/02/2020	Y Y Y Y N/A
2. How are mortalities		•			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:			d of to Pelagi	a on Bressay -				
3. Mortality records				u e D. e	OTIONO C.			Y
4. Recent mortality (	•			%, Wk 13 - 0.8 <sup>o</sup>	%. Wk 14 - 0.9	9%. Wk 15		
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical mortal						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i								Y
If yes, detail:			nts Spreadshe					
7. Have increased (	unexplained) r	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	∕ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	ieet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 19/02/2020 to 19/04/2022	

П	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	sued by:	гпі			
	Case no:	2022-01	113	Site No:		FS0608			Date of Samplin		28/	04/2022	28/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		g. HI		1	
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:3	0:00	13:0	0:00	l	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. <b> </b>	14
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Ш	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
	Average weight	3.7000	3.7000										
	Sex												
	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Defails	1	D Barcaldine Smolt Unit	9 Barcaldine Smolt Unit										
U.	, 20, 110	10	10										

04/2022	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							•		
	Additional Sample Information:												
0	1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1							
	•												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue:	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0113		Site No:	FS0608		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/04/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	C	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m					10	10
Movements on	Number of desi		C			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10	_	
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C	)			0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	С	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	)			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	C				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3	3			
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	_ ]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	C	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		C				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C				0
code of practice	No		3	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes			]			
	No		2				
					Total		14
					Rank		LOW

Case No:	2022-0113		Site	e No:	FS0608	]	
Sea Lice Inspection (	Seawater Sites Only)						
• •	nced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years	s?				Υ
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	lanagement Area (or eq	quivalent) fallowed syn	chronously on a	single ye	ear class basis?		Υ
azamethiphos and ema	access to a range of lice amectin benzoate) as w in a reasonable period	vell as access to suitab		•			Υ
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or	cumented farm manage equivalent)?	ement agreement or sta	atement relevant	to the si	te and CoGP Farm		Υ
5. Are sea lice count re	cords available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, Co	GP Annex 6)				Υ
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required s	standard specified in th	e SSI and the Co	oGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Ann	ex 6)	Υ
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels belo (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criter	ria for treatment i	n the Co	GP during the period	d that	N
	female sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> 0/6/19) during the perio			of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	0/6/19) or	Y
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If n	no, FHI see comn	nent.			Υ
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which i	is considered to cause	significant welfar	re proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)	N
	eatments been adminis reatment or where <i>C. el</i>						Υ
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where ap	pplicable)?					Υ
	eatments or the actions						Υ
	ere conducted, carried	•					N/A
14. Is there a harvestin sea lice?	g strategy for the site, v	where fewer population	is or part populati	ions are	held without treatme	ent for	Υ
•	ific written lice manager scalation of a sea lice in	•	aypoints describi	ing set a	ctions to deal with re	cognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count d	ata? If no please	detail re	easons.		Υ
Containment Inspecti							
	nced equipment damag				•		Y
	ce to mitigate against th	ne predation experience	ed on site? (Deta	ili below	)		Υ
Top Nets, tension If other, detail below:							
ii otner, detail below.							
Have escape incide	nts or events been expe	erienced on or in the vi	cinity of the site s	since the	e last FHI inspection	?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip						
	oorted to Scottish Minist	•					
5. Have these been rep	oorted to local DSFB for	rthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP - 4.4	1.37, 5.4	.17)		
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts fo	orthwith (where th	ney exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give deta	il				
8. If all note were donly	oyed was this action ag	reed with local wild fich	interests and w	ae normi	ission diven by Scott	ieh	
Ministers? (Legal, CoG		reed with local wild list	i interests and Wa	us perm	ission given by Scott		
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minin	mise the risk of further	escapes? (Not co	overed in	n code but could		
be considered under	r satisfactory measure	es of the Act)					
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with re	gards to containment?	If no, please det	tail reaso	on(s)		Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

TIII 050 Marrian 40	leaved by FIII	Data at income 40/05/0000
FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0113 Sit	te No: FS0608	
Date of Visit: 28/04/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located with	nin a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require completio	n.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma	nagement Agreements and Statement	s
2. Has a current farm management agreen	nent or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for inspe		Y
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant fa</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm s</li></ol>	•	Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of cor	* *	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of rev	•	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managem	ent	
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum I		oduced to the area or
farm?		
<ol><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination</li><li>Does the FMAg/S identify the species of</li></ol>	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximun	•	
individual farm?		V 15.16
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem fish farm in the area or the individual farm?		dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of S 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement		here and treatments?
13. Does the 1 MAg/5 Identity arrangement	is for the sharing of data off sea lice fiding	oers and treatments:
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabilit of statement?	ty and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirem	nents for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
ice on farms in the area or individual farms		
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta used on farms in the area or individual farn	•	d cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangem		d cleaner fish are to be Y  ns within the area? Y
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumsta	ances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen	nents for the movement of live fish on and	
or individual farms?	is the movement of the nor off and	a chi chiso in the dreat

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or ind	ividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto oodstock or potential broodstock are to be ke	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to beco	ome, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement or 12/04/2021	r statement?

Case No:	2022-0113			Date of visit:	28/04/2022			
Site No:	FS0608	1		Inspector:				
One 140.	1 00000			пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	-							
	<u> </u>							
	-							
Report Summary				1				
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1				
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	15/08/2022		2 11100					





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0125
 DATE OF VISIT
 28/04/2022

 SITE No
 FS0608
 SITE NAME
 Vidlin North

 CASE No
 20220113
 INSPECTORS

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as Low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 15/08/22

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>