FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2022-0126			Date of visit: 26/04/2022				
Time spent on site:	ır	Main Inspecto	r:				
Site No: FS0858 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Fada Scottish Sea Farms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 8.9	Thermometer No:	Т307	FHI 045 completed N/A				
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-10				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	il reason below:					

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection done on 22/04/2022.

Farmed wrasse from Otter Ferry, wild caught wrasse from Orkney. No longer importing cleanerfish from Ireland.

The nets had been washed the day before the inspection and therefore were very clean. The fish looked healthy, with a good feeding response. No moribunds or dead fish observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0126		Site No:	FS0858	3			
Date of Visit:		26/04/202	22		Inspector(s):		ı
Registration/Autho	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	tive?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to		·	·				Υ	Ī
Site Details (includ	a claanar fis	sh for all sec	rtions)					
Total No facilities	e cleaner na	6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	6
Species	SAL	WRA	i aciiitics sto	I	Ü	140 facilitie	з пореске	Ü
Age group	2021 S1	Mix					_	
No Fish	114,449	5,842						
Mean Fish Wt	4.2kg	120g						
Next Fallow Date (Si		October 20	22	Next Input D	ate (Site)	April 2023	•	
Recent (last 4 wks)	,				N Any escape			N
If yes, detail:					, , ,		,	
Movement Records			2					V
1. Movement records		or inspection	?				04/00/0004	Y
2. Date of last inspec			10				01/06/2021	T V
3. Are records comp								Y N/A
4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?5. Are records complete and correctly entered?						N/A N/A		
·		•		blo?				N/A
6. Are health certification	ales for introd	ductions (out	willi GD) avalla	ible !				IN//A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	it by (or on b	ehalf) of the bu	siness (not us	sing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place	for maintena	nce of transpor	tation records	s?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Incinerated	- on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly en	ntered?					Y
			Wk 12, 2022	2: 47 (0.04%),	wk 13: 45 (0.	04%), wk 14	: 51 (0.04%),	wk 15: 31
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		(0.03%). WR	RA mortality 1,	584 since inp	out 25/06/21.		
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	r facility/no st	tock per facility/	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	o mortality du	ring period o	shookod?					N
If yes, detail:	Thortality at	anny penou (SHECKEU!					IN
7. Have increased (unexplained) mortalities been reported to vet or FHI?							N/A	
If yes, detail action:	oxpiairieu)	ortainies D	oon reported to	700011111:				14/74
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	eported to FE	112 If no enter (details on mou	tality events	sheet		N/A
o. Have mortality ev	orno boen le	portou to 11	ii iio, ciitei t		tainty CVCIIIS	J. 100t.		14/71

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y				
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y				
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V				
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Ť				
aquaculture animals held on site?	V				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Ť				
If no, detail:					
Desulte of Compalitions					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	I				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	T NI				
3. Any significant results?	N				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
December the about his transport					
Records checked between: 01/06/2021 - 22/04/2022					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0126		Site No:	FS0858		Insp:	
Date of Visit	26/04/2022		ovements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country			9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		C			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m			3		10	10
wovernerits on	Number of des		C			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	C				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III nor within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	С	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	C	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity	<u>'</u>	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	C	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		С				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1	<u> </u>			
CoGP/Regulator	_			_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			
alioini access to eages	No		2	-			0
	J. **			1			
					Total Rank		20 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0126	Site No: FS	60858
3. Does the site have access to a range of	ms in the previous 4 years? r equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanic	ing deltamethrin,
	agement agreement or statement relevant to the site a	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for i	nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ed standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	I SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP	during the period that
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L.</i> s 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe	calmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or aboveriod that records are inspected?	ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	h Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. ch is considered to cause significant welfare problems	? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
suggested criteria for treatment or where Council 11. Has any other action been taken (where 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carri	inistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis leve C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications e applicable)? It can taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels and out in cooperation between participating farms? The terms where fewer populations or part populations are hele	?? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51) N/A Y Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice mana scenarios during the escalation of a sea lic	agement procedure with waypoints describing set actione infestation?	ns to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stoo	cks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reaso	ons. Y
	nage due to predators in the current or previous products the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	ction cycles? N Y
3. Have escape incidents or events been	experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the las	st FHI inspection?
•		
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover e	escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and make to be considered under satisfactory measure.	•	de but could
Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	h regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s	S) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0126 S	Site No: FS0858	
Date of Visit: 26/04/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located wit	thin a farm management area?	Y
f N, no further questions require completi		<u> </u>
•	anagement Agreements and Statements	
	ement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare	ed?
 Is the current FMAg/S available for insp Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant f 		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	<u> </u>	Y
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ommencement of the agreement or statem	nent?
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	eview?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manager		
	health standards for the stocks to be intro	duced to the area or Y
arm? Ones the FMAa/S identify the vaccination	on requirements for stocks held in the area	or farm?
•	of fish which may be stocked into the area	
	ım stocking density of any pen on any farm	in the area or the
ndividual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any
ish farm in the area or the individual farm		acad non nom any
Arrangements for The Management of	Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangemer	nts for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabil of statement?	lity and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any required	ments for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea
ice on farms in the area or individual farm	is? stances under which biological controls and	cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual far	•	I cleaner fish are to be Y In swithin the area?
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrange	ments for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circums area or farm?	stances when live fish may be introduced or	r removed from the
	ements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocke 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	which the area or individual farm will be fallod? or more year classes may be stocked onto a odstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Managem 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	nent Agreements Only It include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or the FMAg/S? 23/01/2021	statement? Y

Case No:	2022-0126			Date of visit:	26/04/2022			
Site No:	FS0858]		Inspector:		l		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			=					
			=					
Report Summary				1				
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	28/04/2022							
	Î							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0125 DATE OF VISIT 26/04/2022
SITE NO FS0858 SITE NAME Fada

Case No 20220126 Inspector ■

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/04/2022