FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0128			Date of visit: 27/04/2022
Time spent on site:	hr	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS0671 Business No: FB0398	Site Name: Business Name:	Outer Bay (Loch Droighniche) Loch Duart Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.6	Thermometer No:	T307	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-7
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

Additional Case Information:

Wrasse mix of wild caught (Skye, Dorset, Cornwall) and farmed (Otter Ferry).

Three pens stocked. The weather was calm and sunny and the fish were being hand fed during the site inspection so they could be observed well. The fish were in great condition with no signs of damage and very little sea lice could be seen. Not all of the fish are selected for broodstock so some of the population is currently being harvested.

Sea lice only assessed visually so no records available. No treatments have been necessary since the site has started stocking broodstock. The fish had sea lice issue last summer at Clashnessie Bay, so they were temporarily transferred to Sound of Harris before being moved to Outer Bay in December 2021. The movement had been risk assessed and the fish have not had sea lice issues since.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0128		Site No:	FS067′	1			
Date of Visit:		27/04/2022			Inspector(s):		•
Registration/Author								_
1. Business/site det	•	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		8	Facilities sto	cked	3	No facilitie	s inspected	8
Species	SAL	WRA						
Age group	2020 S1	Mix						
No Fish	2,319	301						
Mean Fish Wt	9.5kg	120g						
Next Fallow Date (S		August 2022	2	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Novembe	r 2022	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escape			N
If yes, detail:					,	(0.11.00		
Movement Record		. : 0						V
1. Movement record		r inspection?					00/00/0040	Ť
2. Date of last inspe		(1 16	_				20/03/2019	- V
3. Are records comp								Y
4. Are movement re				,				Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Other (deta	il)		
If other detail:			charabasa ti	hen to Greys o	•	,		
3. Mortality records				nen to Greys c	omposing.			l Y
5. Wortainty records	complete and	Correctly Crit		2: 31 (0.73%),	wk 12: 21 (0	50/) wk 1/1:	29 (0.670/) 14	ık 15: 16
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):			eanerfish morta	,	, .	` , .	
5. Evidence of recei	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		ig poriod ci	.ookou.					, ,
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities bo	en reported to	vet or FHI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	arickpiairied)	Tortainies be	on reported to	701011111				14// (
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	norted to FHI	2 If no enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet		N/A
J. Have Hortanty C			. II IIO, OIIIOI	actaile on mor	COLLY OVOLIGO	J. 100t.		,, .

Tuestments and Madicines December					
Treatments and Medicines Records	V				
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	- 1				
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
Optomease					
also used					
If other, detail: 2. Medicines records available for inspection?	V				
Nedicines records available for inspection? 3. Are records complete and correctly entered?) V				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	\ \ \ \ \ \ \				
	'				
If other, detail: Optomease					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	- '				
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y				
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
ricaliti status, certification ii required;					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y				
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y				
If no, detail:					
ii iio, dotaii.					
Results of Surveillance					
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y				
3. Any significant results?	N				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
you, actum (ii not actumed and notice allocated problems).					
Records checked hetween: 20/03/2019 - 21/04/2022					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0128		Site No:	FS0671		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/04/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or			9	18	26	0
CFC0.00	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off			0			10	3
Movements on	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	1.10.1.00.01	Site contacts	0	1-5			
Water contacts with other		ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or t	or in a coastal zone with category I	0				
diseases)		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V					
	tarms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the fules of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
	l v			1			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2	J			
					Total		13
					Rank		LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0128	Site No: FS	50671
Gea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivale 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as can these be deployed in a reasonable period of times.	ent) fallowed synchronously on a single year in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includ access to suitable biological and/or mechan	ling deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Management Area (or equivalent)?	agreement or statement relevant to the site a	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection6. Do records adequately reflect the required standa		N/A N/A N/A
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the ecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP	o during the period that N/A
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N/A
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health I D. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is con-	•	N/A N
0. Have therapeutic treatments been administered suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongat</i>	us is considered to have welfare implications	s? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applical12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taker13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where sea lice?	n had a significant impact upon the lice levels cooperation between participating farms?	N/A
15. Is there a site specific written lice management pacenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestat	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ons to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect rish are only assessed visually, no counts are recorand very little sea lice could be seen.	·	
Containment Inspection I. Has the site experienced equipment damage due 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the pre-		ction cycles?
f other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienc f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to que 1. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local	estion 10 (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17))
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees	? If yes give detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the considered under satisfactory measures of 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards	ne risk of further escapes? (Not covered in co	ode but could

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0128	Site No: FS0671	
Date of Visit: 27/04/2022	2 Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require compl	letion.	
2. Has a current farm management agr3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ir4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far	nt farm management area? rm site(s) to which it applies? f commencement of the agreement or stateme	Y Y Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccing 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specing 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximal individual farm?	um health standards for the stocks to be introduced ation requirements for stocks held in the area dies of fish which may be stocked into the area dies of the which may be stocked into the area dies are mum stocking density of any pen on any farm in gements for the storage and disposal of any dies.	or farm? or farm? in the area or the
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice nents for the sharing of data on sea lice number	ers and treatments?
of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farms cover	
lice on farms in the area or individual fa	arms? mstances under which biological controls and o	_
	gements for synchronous treatments on farms	within the area?
area or farm?	mstances when live fish may be introduced or gements for the movement of live fish on and	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restored. 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether cagreement or statement?	one or more year classes may be stocked onto so proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	ites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or see of the FMAg/S? Mar-22	statement?

Case No:	2022-0128			Date of visit:	27/04/2022			
Site No:	FS0671]		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
			_					
			-					
Report Summary				1				
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI	28/04/2022							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0398 Date of Visit 27/04/2022

SITE NO FS0671 SITE NAME Outer Bay (Loch Droighniche)

Case No 20220128 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 28/04/2022