FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0143			Date of visit: 17/05/2022
Time spent on site: 4	hours	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0767 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Tarbert South The Scottish Salmon Company	1
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 9	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-42
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional inform N If yes, see additional inform N If yes, see additional inform N	nation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

Additional Case Information:

10 cages from Heb Smolt (Clachan hat) - 1st input 23/09/2021 & 4 cages from Loch Damph 1st input 5/10/2021

Four SLICE treatments this cycle Oct 2021, Dec 2021, Jan 2022 and 11 - 17 April 2022. Also FW treatment; 3.1.22 and week 17 2022 - 1 hour treatments.

Cleanerfish farmed and wild- Wrasse -Mull, Anglesey - Lumps

Live haul harvest unloaded at Ardyne.

FW treatment April, Wellboat for gill health. - 1 hour. Cleaner fish also treated. Fine with very low mortality. If longer treatment have wellboat the Ronja Kvaloy has a dewaterer that can remove lumps and wrasse. Ronja star being build to include cleaner fish removal system.

Cleaner fish mortality in this cycle to date; 67% since input. Ballan wrasse input; 2227, Lumpsucker input 25308, wrasse mixed species 6093 input. Mortality not attributed to anything specific with 11500 mort were attributed to "black losses".

FHI 059, Version 13			ls	sued by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0143]	Site No:	FS0767	7			
Date of Visit:		17/05/20	22		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho								-
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	•	/ checked b	y site represei	ntative?			Y	-
2. Changes made it							1	1
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	sh for all se	ections)					
Total No facilities		14	Facilities s	stocked	14	No facilities	s inspected	14
Species	sal	Ballan	Lumps	Mix wrasse				
Age group	2021 Q4	mixed	28g	mixed				
No Fish	418,710	6,825	5,889	5,281				
Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (S	2kg	mixed June/July	28g	mix Next Input Da	oto (Sito)	Sept/Oct 2	0.22	
Recent (last 4 wks)			2023		Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:	PD but mor			<u> </u>	rary cooupee			
n yoo, aotaii.	r b bat mor							
Movement Record	s							
1. Movement record	ls available fo	or inspection	ר?					Y
2. Date of last inspe	ction:						09/12/2020	
3. Are records comp								Y
4. Are movement re				e?				Y
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (or	utwith GB) ava	ailable?				N/A
Transport Records		it by (or on	hobalf) of the	business (not us	ving a STR\2			
1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sys								Y
II yes, is there a sys	tern in place	ior mainten	ance of transp	Jonation records	, <u>f</u>			Ľ'
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie					Whole fish -	Dundas Che	micals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	d correctly e	entered?					Y
				6 (0.11%) wh17 8	351 (0.2%), wk	16 995 (0.24	%), wk15 60	01 (0.14%)
4. Recent mortality (· ,		2022					
5. Evidence of recer								N
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality pe	r facility/no :	Stock per facil	ity/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality d	uring pariod	checked?					
0. Any other peaks i				s post transfer, 6	78%(2/557)	1 5/10/ 5103	and 2 60% 1	2756 -
If yes, detail:	fungus	110 40 2021	- IIIee weeks	post transfer, o	.70%(24557),	1.04 /0 0190	anu 2.0970 i	2750 -
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities	been reported	to vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	. ,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	eported to F	HI? If no, ente	er details on mor	tality events sl	heet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: SLICE	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE TMS	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	-
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). 2.11.22 AGD 2/14	
PD - Nov 2021	
Records checked between: 9/12/2-17/5/22	

Fł	HI 059, Version 13							lss	ued by: I	FHI			
	Case no:	2022-0 ⁻	143	Site No:	:	FS0767	,		Date of		17/0	05/2022	17/(
	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:		00:00	-	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D.	26
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No	4	0	0	4	_							
_	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5							
	Pool Group Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL							
	Average weight	2kg	2kg		2kg	2kg							
	Sex	ZKY	ZKY	ZKY	ZRY	ZKY							
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW							
		-	-	-	-	-							
Stock Details		N Clachan hatchery	ഗ Clachan hatchery	0 Clachan hatchery	5 Damph FB0169	14 Damph FB0169							

)5/2022	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infoi	mation:						
		ith Trica								
0	l	Total Te	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		issued by: FHI			Date	JIISSUE	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0143		Site No:	FS0767		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/05/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	-	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or neuliding third country	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup		0	-	10	14	
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0	[0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
		n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				0
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		23
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0143	Site No	FS0767
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ea 3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications	including deltamethrin, Y
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as a can these be deployed in a reasonable period		echanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	e site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required sea		(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels believed are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that Y
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr.</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period		or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which		blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e</i>	longatus is considered to have welfare implie	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, ysea lice?		
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in		et actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta	il reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
 Has the site experienced equipment damage Are measures in place to mitigate against the 		
top nets, seal pro If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	the last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip		_
 Have these been reported to Scottish Minis Have these been reported to local DSFB for 		5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and		,
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pe	rmission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minin be considered under satisfactory measur	and the second	d in code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re		ason(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0143	Site No: FS0767		
Date of Visit: 17/05/2022	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located w	-		Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	uon.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm M 2. Has a current farm management agree			Y
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins	spection?		Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	-		Y Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identity the date of	eview?		
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?		o be introduced to the area or	Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina			Y
 Does the FMAg/S identify the specie Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim 	-		Y
individual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for the storage and dispos	al of any dead fish from any	Y
fish farm in the area or the individual far			
Arrangements for The Management o	f Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme	ents for the sharing of data on sea	lice numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement?	ility and the use of medicines on fa	arms covered by the agreemen	t Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual far		available treatments for sea	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	stances under which biological cor	ntrols and cleaner fish are to be	Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for synchronous treatment	s on farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	stances when live fish may be intro	oduced or removed from the	Υ
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for the movement of live fi	sh on and off sites in the area	Y
or individual farms?			

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify accept	able harvest practices on farms in the area or individ	lual farms?
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dat date when a farm or area may be res	tes by which the area or individual farm will be fallow stocked?	and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement?	er one or more year classes may be stocked onto site	es covered by the Y
-	er broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept o ent?	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Man 24. Does the farm management agre parties to the agreement?	agement Agreements Only eement include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed a 26. What is the version no/date of iss	and operated in accordance with the agreement or sta sue of the FMAg/S? 20.8.2021	atement? Y

FHI 059, Version 13

2022-0143]		Date of visit	17/05/2022	2		
FS0767]		Inspector		•		
Freq.			Da	ate of Notifica	ation		
	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
						_	
						_	
		-				-	
						-	
	FS0767	FS0767 Freq.	FS0767 Freq.	FS0767 Inspector	FS0767 Inspector: Freq. Date of Notifica	FS0767 Inspector: Date of Notification	FS0767 Inspector: Date of Notification

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	31/05/2022		

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS0767

 CASE NO
 20220143

DATE OF VISIT17/05/2022SITE NAMETarbert SouthINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 31/05/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>