| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issue | ed by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0143 | | | Date of visit: 17/05/2022 |
| Time spent on site: 4 | hours | Main Inspecto | r: |
| Site No: FS0767 Business No: FB0169 | Site Name: Business Name: | Tarbert South The Scottish Salmon Company | 1 |
| Case Types: 1 ECI 2 | 2 CNI 3 SLI | 4 VMD 5 | 6 |
| Water Temp (°C): 9 | Thermometer No: | T146 | FHI 045 completed |
| Observations: | Region: ST | Water type: S | CoGP MA: M-42 |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? | • | N If yes, see additional inform N If yes, see additional inform N If yes, see additional inform N | nation/clinical score sheet. |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry | out intended visit detail rea | son below: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Additional Case Information:

10 cages from Heb Smolt (Clachan hat) - 1st input 23/09/2021 & 4 cages from Loch Damph 1st input 5/10/2021

Four SLICE treatments this cycle Oct 2021, Dec 2021, Jan 2022 and 11 - 17 April 2022. Also FW treatment; 3.1.22 and week 17 2022 - 1 hour treatments.

Cleanerfish farmed and wild- Wrasse -Mull, Anglesey - Lumps

Live haul harvest unloaded at Ardyne.

FW treatment April, Wellboat for gill health. - 1 hour. Cleaner fish also treated. Fine with very low mortality. If longer treatment have wellboat the Ronja Kvaloy has a dewaterer that can remove lumps and wrasse. Ronja star being build to include cleaner fish removal system.

Cleaner fish mortality in this cycle to date; 67% since input. Ballan wrasse input; 2227, Lumpsucker input 25308, wrasse mixed species 6093 input. Mortality not attributed to anything specific with 11500 mort were attributed to "black losses".

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | | ls | sued by: FHI | | | Date of issu | e: 12/05/2020 |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| Case No: | 2022-0143 |] | Site No: | FS0767 | 7 | | | |
| Date of Visit: | | 17/05/20 | 22 | | Inspector(s): | | | I |
| Registration/Autho | | | | | | | | - |
| Business/site deta Changes made to | • | / checked b | y site represei | ntative? | | | Y | - |
| 2. Changes made it | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Site Details (includ | le cleaner fis | sh for all se | ections) | | | | | |
| Total No facilities | | 14 | Facilities s | stocked | 14 | No facilities | s inspected | 14 |
| Species | sal | Ballan | Lumps | Mix wrasse | | | | |
| Age group | 2021 Q4 | mixed | 28g | mixed | | | | |
| No Fish | 418,710 | 6,825 | 5,889 | 5,281 | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt Next Fallow Date (S | 2kg | mixed June/July | 28g | mix Next Input Da | oto (Sito) | Sept/Oct 2 | 0.22 | |
| Recent (last 4 wks) | | | 2023 | | Any escapes | | | N |
| If yes, detail: | PD but mor | | | <u> </u> | rary cooupee | | | |
| n yoo, aotaii. | r b bat mor | | | | | | | |
| Movement Record | s | | | | | | | |
| 1. Movement record | ls available fo | or inspection | ר? | | | | | Y |
| 2. Date of last inspe | ction: | | | | | | 09/12/2020 | |
| 3. Are records comp | | | | | | | | Y |
| 4. Are movement re | | | | e? | | | | Y |
| 5. Are records comp | | • | | | | | | Y |
| 6. Are health certific | ates for intro | ductions (or | utwith GB) ava | ailable? | | | | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Transport Records | | it by (or on | hobalf) of the | business (not us | ving a STR\2 | | | |
| 1. Are any movement If yes, is there a sys | | | | | | | | Y |
| II yes, is there a sys | tern in place | ior mainten | ance of transp | Jonation records | , <u>f</u> | | | Ľ' |
| Mortality Records | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Mortality records | available for | inspection? | | | | | | Y |
| 2. How are mortalitie | | | | | Whole fish - | Dundas Che | micals | |
| If other detail: | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records | complete and | d correctly e | entered? | | | | | Y |
| | | | | 6 (0.11%) wh17 8 | 351 (0.2%), wk | 16 995 (0.24 | %), wk15 60 | 01 (0.14%) |
| 4. Recent mortality (| · , | | 2022 | | | | | |
| 5. Evidence of recer | | | | | | | | N |
| If yes, facility nos/no | o mortality pe | r facility/no : | Stock per facil | ity/reason: | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks i | n mortality d | uring pariod | checked? | | | | | |
| 0. Any other peaks i | | | | s post transfer, 6 | 78%(2/557) | 1 5/10/ 5103 | and 2 60% 1 | 2756 - |
| If yes, detail: | fungus | 110 40 2021 | - IIIee weeks | post transfer, o | .70%(24557), | 1.04 /0 0190 | anu 2.0970 i | 2750 - |
| 7. Have increased (| unexplained) | mortalities | been reported | to vet or FHI? | | | | N/A |
| If yes, detail action: | . , | | | | | | | |
| 8. Have 'mortality ev | vents' been re | eported to F | HI? If no, ente | er details on mor | tality events sl | heet. | | Y |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Treatments and Medicines Records | |
|--|---|
| 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? | Y |
| If yes, detail: SLICE | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspection? | Y |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? | Y |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? | Y |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? SLICE TMS | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? | Y |
| | |
| Biosecurity Records | |
| 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? | Y |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? | Y |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any | |
| increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? | Y |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease | |
| is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? | Y |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher | Y |
| health status, certification if required)? | |
| | |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise | Y |
| transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? | |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of | Y |
| aquaculture animals held on site? | |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? | Y |
| If no, detail: | - |
| | |
| Results of Surveillance | |
| 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? | Y |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? | Y |
| 3. Any significant results? | Y |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). 2.11.22 AGD 2/14 | |
| PD - Nov 2021 | |
| Records checked between: 9/12/2-17/5/22 | |

| Fł | HI 059, Version 13 | | | | | | | lss | ued by: I | FHI | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-----|-----------|----------|--------|----------|-------|
| | Case no: | 2022-0 ⁻ | 143 | Site No: | : | FS0767 | , | | Date of | | 17/0 | 05/2022 | 17/(|
| | Priority samples: | VI | | BA | | PA | | MG | Samplin | g: HI | | | |
| | Time sampling starts/ends: | | 00:00 | - | 0:00 | | Inspecto | or: | | | VMD No | D. | 26 |
| | Environmental conditions: | 1 | Indoors | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | | |
| | Summary samples | HIST | | BA | | MG | | VI | | PA | | Total Sa | mples |
| A | dd Fish/Pools - click | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool/Fish No | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | _ | | | | | | | |
| _ | Fish nos | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| | Pool Group Species | SAL | SAL | SAL | SAL | SAL | | | | | | | |
| | Average weight | 2kg | 2kg | | 2kg | 2kg | | | | | | | |
| | Sex | ZKY | ZKY | ZKY | ZRY | ZKY | | | | | | | |
| | Water Type | SW | SW | SW | SW | SW | | | | | | | |
| | | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | |
| Stock Details | | N Clachan hatchery | ഗ Clachan hatchery | 0 Clachan hatchery | 5 Damph FB0169 | 14 Damph FB0169 | | | | | | | |

|)5/2022 | Addition | nal Sam | ple Infoi | mation: | | | | | | |
|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | ith Trica | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | l | Total Te | ests ass | igned | 0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

FHI 059, Version 13

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | issued by: FHI | | | Date | JIISSUE | : 12/05/2020 |
|--|----------------------------------|---|----------|------------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| Case Number: | 2022-0143 | | Site No: | FS0767 | | Insp: | |
| Date of Visit | 17/05/2022 | | No of m | ovements/s | supp./dest. | | Score |
| Live fish movements | | | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | - | novements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| with GB) of susceptible species | | novements on from equivalent zone or neuliding third country | 0 | 9 | 18 | 26 | |
| | Number of sup | | 0 | - | 10 | 14 | |
| Movements off | Frequency of n | novements off | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| | Number of des | | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| Exposure via water | | Site contacts | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | | |
| Water contacts with other farms (holding species | Farm is protect disinfection or | ed (secure water supply through borehole) | 0 | | | | |
| susceptible to same diseases) | Farm is on-line | or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 2 | 4 | | 2 |
| | farms upstrean | or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | | or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Management practices | | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with processors | Any processing | plant discharging into adjacent waters | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm pro | cessing | 0 | [| | | 0 |
| the rules of the directive | Processing ow | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | n from MS of equivalent status | 2 | | | | |
| | Processing fish equivalent state | n from zone or compartment of us | 4 | | | | |
| | | n from Category III farm | 8 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | n from Category V farm | 10 | | | | |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | te only processed. | 0 | | | | |
| products | Common proce | esses with other farms | 3 | | | | 3 |
| | Collection poin | t for waste from other farms | 5 | | | | |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of u | inpasteurised feed | 0 | | | | |
| | Feeding unpas | teurised feed | 5 | | | | |
| Biosecurity | • | Number of sites | 1 | 2 or 3 | ≥ 4 | | |
| Contacts with other sites | | from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 2 |
| | Sites sharing s | taff and equipment | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 2 |
| Disinfection of equipment | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| between sites, use of footbaths etc | No | | 1 | | | | 0 |
| CoGP/Regulator | | | | | | | |
| Practices in accordance | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| with regulator or industry code of practice | No | | 3 | | | | |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | No | | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | 23 |
| | | | | | Rank | | MEDIUM |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0143 | Site No | FS0767 |
| Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ea 3. Does the site have access to a range of lice | quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications | including deltamethrin, Y |
| azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as a can these be deployed in a reasonable period | | echanical control measures, and |
| 4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)? | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | e site and CoGP Farm Y |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required sea | | (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels believed are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) | ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the | CoGP during the period that Y |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr.</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period | | or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N |
| If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which | | blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) |
| 10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e</i> | longatus is considered to have welfare implie | |
| 11. Has any other action been taken (where a12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions | s taken had a significant impact upon the lice | |
| 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, ysea lice? | | |
| 15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in | | et actions to deal with recognised Y |
| 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks | reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta | il reasons. Y |
| Containment Inspection | | |
| Has the site experienced equipment damage Are measures in place to mitigate against the | | |
| top nets, seal pro If other, detail below: | | |
| 3. Have escape incidents or events been exp | erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since | the last FHI inspection? N |
| If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip | | _ |
| Have these been reported to Scottish Minis Have these been reported to local DSFB for | | 5.4.17) |
| 6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and | | , |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca | apees? If yes give detail | |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) | reed with local wild fish interests and was pe | rmission given by Scottish |
| 9. What action was taken to prevent and minin be considered under satisfactory measur | and the second | d in code but could |
| 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re | | ason(s) |
| | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date | of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0143 | Site No: FS0767 | | |
| Date of Visit: 17/05/2022 | Inspector: | | |
| Point of Compliance | | | |
| 1. Is the farm under inspection located w | - | | Υ |
| If N, no further questions require comple | uon. | | |
| Points of Compliance for Both Farm M 2. Has a current farm management agree | | | Y |
| 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins | spection? | | Y |
| Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm | - | | Y Y |
| 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of | | or statement? | Y |
| 7. Does the FMAg/S identity the date of | eview? | | |
| Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm? | | o be introduced to the area or | Y |
| 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina | | | Y |
| Does the FMAg/S identify the specie Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim | - | | Y |
| individual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang | ements for the storage and dispos | al of any dead fish from any | Y |
| fish farm in the area or the individual far | | | |
| Arrangements for The Management o | f Sea Lice | | |
| 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangeme | ents for the sharing of data on sea | lice numbers and treatments? | Y |
| 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availab of statement? | ility and the use of medicines on fa | arms covered by the agreemen | t Y |
| 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual far | | available treatments for sea | Y |
| 16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa | stances under which biological cor | ntrols and cleaner fish are to be | Y |
| 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang | ements for synchronous treatment | s on farms within the area? | Y |
| Live Fish Movements | | | |
| 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm? | stances when live fish may be intro | oduced or removed from the | Υ |
| 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang | ements for the movement of live fi | sh on and off sites in the area | Y |
| or individual farms? | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|--|---------------------------|
| Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify accept | able harvest practices on farms in the area or individ | lual farms? |
| Fallowing | | |
| 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dat date when a farm or area may be res | tes by which the area or individual farm will be fallow stocked? | and the earliest Y |
| 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether agreement or statement? | er one or more year classes may be stocked onto site | es covered by the Y |
| - | er broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept o ent? | on any site Y |
| Point of Compliance for Farm Man 24. Does the farm management agre parties to the agreement? | agement Agreements Only eement include arrangements for persons to become | e, or cease to be, N/A |
| Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed a 26. What is the version no/date of iss | and operated in accordance with the agreement or sta sue of the FMAg/S? 20.8.2021 | atement? Y |
| | | |

FHI 059, Version 13

| 2022-0143 |] | | Date of visit | 17/05/2022 | 2 | | |
|-----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---|--|--|
| FS0767 |] | | Inspector | | • | | |
| Freq. | | | Da | ate of Notifica | ation | | |
| | Database | Insp | Phone | Insp | Writing | Insp | 2 nd Insp |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | |
| | | | | | | _ | |
| | | - | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | - | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | FS0767 | FS0767 Freq. | FS0767 Freq. | FS0767 Inspector | FS0767 Inspector: Freq. Date of Notifica | FS0767 Inspector: Date of Notification | FS0767 Inspector: Date of Notification |

| Report Summary | | | |
|--------------------|------------|------|----------------------|
| Case Type | Date | Insp | 2 nd Insp |
| ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD | 31/05/2022 | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS
 No
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS0767

 CASE NO
 20220143

DATE OF VISIT17/05/2022SITE NAMETarbert SouthINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 31/05/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>