| FHI 059, Version 13 | Is | ssued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|
| Case No: 2022-0151 | | | Date of visit: 10/05/2022 |
| Time spent on site: | h | Main I | nspector: |
| Site No: FS1269 Business No: FB0119 | Site Name: Business Name: | Lochailort Recirculation Mowi Scotland Ltd | n Hatchery |
| Case Types: 1 ECS | 2 CNI 3 VMD | 4 5 | 6 |
| Water Temp (°C): 15 | Thermometer No: | Site | FHI 045 completed N/A |
| Observations: | Region: HI | Water type: F | CoGP MA: |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? | • | N If yes, see addition | nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. nal information/clinical score sheet. |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry | out intended visit detail | reason below: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Additional Case Information:

Currently stocked: Hatchery split into 4 sections, currently 3 sections stocked. 20 tanks in the fry unit, 4 in the Parr Unit and 4 tanks in Smolt Unit 2.

Q3 & Q4 affected by issues with external supplier for feed. This caused mortality, but not above the reporting level. External supplier investigating issue with the feed. No obvious detectable issues with the feed.

Water temperature different across the site. Hatchery 2: 4C, Hatchery 3&4: 6C Fry Unit 14C, Parr and Smolt 1 & 2: 15C, site thermometer used for biosecurity.

Fish samples for VMD, were not in withdrawal at time of sampling. Aquacen and Cress treatments used in the First feed Unit. Current plan: 4th July starting to put out fish to FW loch sites.

Some trays in the hatchery showed a number of failed eggs (these were seen prior to picking), at the time of visit in the process of picking trays in the hatchery. The remaining tanks that were stocked on site fish appeared healthy. Manager remarked that eggs from Ireland in recent years had been of poorer quality, with increased levels of hatch fails.

Paperwork provided 9/5/22-02/06/22.

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | | Issu | Date of issu | ie: 12/05/2020 | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|
| Case No: | 2022-0151 |] | Site No: | FS1269 |] | | | | | |
| Date of Visit: | | 10/05/2022 | 2 | | Inspector(s): | | | 1 | | |
| Registration/Autho | risation Deta | ails | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Business/site deta | | | site represent | ative? | | | Υ | 1 | | |
| 2. Changes made to | • | | · | | | | Υ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | - | | |
| Site Details (includ | e cleaner fis | h for all sect | ions) | | | _ | | | | |
| | | 55 tanks + | 1 | | 28 tanks + | | | 55 tanks, + | | |
| Total No facilities | | hatchery | Facilities sto | ocked | hatchery | No facilities | s inspected | hatchery | | |
| Species | SAL | SAL | SAL | SAL | | | | | | |
| Age group | 2022 Q3 | 2022 Q4 | 2023 Q1 | Ova | | | | | | |
| No Fish | 1,445,520 | 2,684,241 | 3,071,520 | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt | 20g | 1g | 0.15g | Ova | | | | | | |
| Next Fallow Date (S | | N/A | | Next Input Da | • ' | Friday | | | | |
| Recent (last 4 wks) | disease probl | ems? | | Y | Any escapes | (since last v | ∕isit)? | N | | |
| If yes, detail: | Some Fungu | ıs (not causir | ng a lot of mo | rtality) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Movement Records | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Movement record | | r inspection? | | | | | | Y | | |
| 2. Date of last inspe | | | | | | | 24/11/2021 | | | |
| 3. Are records comp | | • | | | | | | Y | | |
| 4. Are movement re | | | | ? | | | | N/A | | |
| Are records comp | | • | | | | | | N/A | | |
| 6. Are health certific | ates for introd | ductions (outv | vith GB) avail | lable? | | | | Y | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transport Records | | | | . , | | | | | | |
| 1. Are any movemen | | • ' | | • | • | | | Y | | |
| If yes, is there a sys | tem in place t | or maintenan | ice of transpo | ortation records | <i>!</i> | | | Y | | |
| Martality Decords | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mortality Records | ovojloblo for j | oonootion? | | | | | | | | |
| Mortality records a How are mortalities | | | | | Incinorated | on cito | | ' | | |
| If other detail: | es disposed o | 1 ! | | | Incinerated - on site | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records | complete and | correctly ont | orod? | | | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records (| complete and | correctly em | | 2022 - 0.2% (55 | 561\· wk17_20 | 122 N 220/ | (0.772): w/c 1 | 16 2022 | | |
| | | | | 707); wk 15, 202 | ,, | | \ ' /' | , | | |
| | | | |); wk17, 2022 - | | | | | | |
| | | | , | % (596); Hatche | , , , | | • • | , . | | |
| | | | | - 18,012 (0.589 | | | • | , , | | |
| 4. Recent mortality (| last 4 wks): | | (0%); | (0.00) | ,, | , | (112070), 1111 | , | | |
| 5. Evidence of recer | nt increased/a | typical morta | | | | | | Y | | |
| If yes, facility nos/no | mortality per | facility/no sto | ock per facility | y/reason: | | | | | | |
| Eggs to first feed: w | k16, 2022 - 1 | 49,132 (4.6% |) unviable e | ggs & alvin | | | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks i | | | , | | | | | Y | | |
| | 21-27 Febru | ary 2022 6.1 | 1% cull due to | o increase of fai | led eggs - rep | orted, howe | ver not requi | red to be | | |
| | | • | | 75% (46,993) (1 | | | • | | | |
| If yes, detail: | | , | | , , , | | | | | | |
| 7. Have increased (u | unexplained) | mortalities be | en reported t | to vet or FHI? | | | | N/A | | |
| If yes, detail action: | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Have 'mortality ev | ents' been re | ported to FHI | ? If no, enter | details on mort | ality events sh | neet. | | N/A | | |

| Treatments and Me | edicines Records | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|
| Recent treatments | | | Y |
| If yes, detail: | J (000 0011111) | | |
| , 500, 400 | Aguacen | | |
| | (Formaldeh | | |
| | yde), Cress | | |
| If other, detail: | (Bronopol) | | |
| 2. Medicines records | s available for inspection? | | Y |
| 3. Are records comp | plete and correctly entered? | | Y |
| 4. Are fish in a withd | Irawal period? | | Y |
| 5. If yes, what treatn | nent(s)? | | |
| | Aquacen | | |
| | (Formaldeh | | |
| | yde), Cress | | |
| If other, detail: | (Bronopol) | | |
| 6. Are medicines sto | ored appropriately? | | Y |
| | | | |
| Biosecurity Record | | | V |
| _ | ds available for inspection? | | Y |
| | | ding and safe disposal been considered? | Y |
| | • | ottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any | V |
| | ined) mortality at the site been included? | | Y |
| | • | ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease | V |
| | cluded and how and when that will be not | | Y |
| | | d on the farm site been covered (equal or higher | Y |
| health status, certific | cation if required)? | | |
| C. Have the hughen | dr. and hissocurity magazines implement | and between each enidemialogical unit to minimize | Y |
| | ary and biosecurity measures implements ase been covered (movement of staff, vis | ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise | , |
| | | ce to maintain the physical containment of | Y |
| aquaculture animals | , | e to maintain the physical containment of | |
| • | rity procedures been adequately impleme | onted on cite? | |
| If no, detail: | nty procedures been adequately impleme | alled on site : | |
| II IIO, ucian. | | | |
| Results of Surveilla | ance | | |
| | ealth surveillance been carried out by, or | on behalf of, the business? | Y |
| • | available for inspection? | | Y |
| 3. Any significant res | · | | N |
| | letailed under recent disease problems). | | |
| , | • | g hatch morts/fails, unviable eggs and handling. | |
| | | 24/11/2021 - 9/5/22 | |

| | Case no: | 2022-01 | 151 | Site No: | | FS1269 |) | | Date of | | 10/0 | 05/2022 | 10/0 |
|---------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | 2 | | 2.200 | | | Samplin | | . 67 | | . 57 |
| | Priority samples: | VI | | ВА | | PA | | MG | | ј н | | | |
| | Time sampling starts/ends: | 12:0 | 0:00 | 14:0 | 0:00 | | Inspecto | or: | | | VMD No | o. | 22 |
| | Environmental conditions: | 1 | Indoors | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | | |
| | Summary samples | HIST | | ВА | | MG | Y | VI | | PA | | Total Sa | amples |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | dd Fish/Pools - click | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool/Fish No | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | | | | | | |
| | Fish nos | 1-5 | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | 25-30 | 31-67 | 68-104 | 105-135 | 136-165 | 5 | |
| | Pool Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Species | SAL | | SAL | SAL | SAL | SAL | SAL | | SAL | SAL | | |
| | Average weight | 25g | 25g | 25g | 25g | | 25g | 25g | | 25g | 25g | | |
| | Sex | N/A | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | N/A | N/A | | |
| | Water Type | FW | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | 11 | | | = | | = | | |
| | | - MOWI | - MOWI | MOWI | - MOWI | - MOWI | - MOWI | - MOWI | - MOWI | - MOWI | Kindrum - MOWI Ireland | | |
| " | | Ž | Š | Ž | Š | Ž | Š | Š | Š | Š | Ž | | |
| Details | | | _ | - E | _ | | _ | | _ | | ا جٰ ا | | |
|)et | | lud Ind | aru Ind | dru | dru! | dru | dru! | dru | ind Ind | dru Ind | dru | | |
| × | Stock Origin | Kindrum Ireland | Cinc Tela | | |
| oto | Facility No | 55 | 55 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | 51 | 55 | | 50 | <u> </u> | | |
| U, | , , , , , , , , | | | UT | UT | | O I | | U-T | 00 | | | |

| Till 000, Versic | 133ueu by. 1 Til | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------|-------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 05/2022 Additional Sample Information: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Total T | ests ass | igned | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | | Date of | of issue | : 12/05/2020 |
|--|----------------------------------|--|----------|------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|
| Case Number: | 2022-0151 | | Site No: | FS1269 | | Insp: | |
| Date of Visit | 10/05/2022 | | No of m | ovements/s | supp./dest. | | Score |
| Live fish movements | | | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | Frequency of m | novements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | |
| with GB) of susceptible species | | novements on from equivalent zone or | 0 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 9 |
| • | Number of sup | ocluding third country pliers | 0 | | | 14 | 5 |
| Movements off | Frequency of m | | 1 0 | 3 | | 10 | 10 |
| Wovernonts on | Number of desi | | 0 | | | 10 | 6 |
| Exposure via water | , | Site contacts | 5 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | | |
| Water contacts with other farms (holding species | disinfection or l | • | 0 | | | | |
| susceptible to same diseases) | | or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 2 | 4 | | 1 |
| | Farm is on-line | or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | Farm is on-line | or in a coastal zone with category V | | | | | |
| | farms upstream | n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Management practices | | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with processors | Any processing | plant discharging into adjacent waters | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm pro | cessing | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | Processing own | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | from MS of equivalent status | 2 | | | | |
| | Processing fish equivalent statu | from zone or compartment of us | 4 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | from Category III farm | 8 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | from Category V farm | 10 | | | | |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | te only processed. | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| products | Common proce | esses with other farms | 3 | | | | |
| | Collection point | t for waste from other farms | 5 | | | | |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of u | npasteurised feed | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| | Feeding unpas | teurised feed | 5 | | | | |
| Biosecurity | • | Number of sites | <u> </u> | 2 or 3 | ≥ 4 | | |
| Contacts with other sites | Sites operating | from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| | Sites sharing s | taff and equipment | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| Disinfection of equipment | Yes | | | 1 | | | 0 |
| between sites, use of footbaths etc | No | | 1 | | | | J |
| CoGP/Regulator | | | | | | | |
| Practices in accordance | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| with regulator or industry code of practice | No | | 3 | | | | |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | No | | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | Total Rank | | 31 HIGH |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2 |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0151 | Site No: | FS1269 |
| Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only | v) | |
| Has the site experienced sea lice probler | | |
| | equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single | year class basis? |
| | icenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (is well as access to suitable biological and/or me od of time? | |
| 4. Is there a signed documented farm mana Management Area (or equivalent)? | agement agreement or statement relevant to the | site and CoGP Farm |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for ir6. Do records adequately reflect the require | nspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) ad standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? | (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels by records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) | pelow the suggested criteria for treatment in the (| CoGP during the period that |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice (L . so 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the pe | almonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or riod that records are inspected? | r above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or |
| • | Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. ch is considered to cause significant welfare probable. | olems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) |
| | nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> . <i>elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implica | |
| 11. Has any other action been taken (where | e applicable)? | |
| • | ons taken had a significant impact upon the lice I | |
| | ed out in cooperation between participating farms | |
| 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site sea lice? | e, where fewer populations or part populations a | re held without treatment for |
| 15. Is there a site specific written lice mana scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice | gement procedure with waypoints describing set e infestation? | actions to deal with recognised |
| 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock | ks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail | reasons. |
| | | |
| Containment Inspection | | |
| | nage due to predators in the current or previous p | |
| Are measures in place to mitigate agains | t the predation experienced on site? (Detail belo | yw) Y |
| If other, detail below: | | |
| Site inside, drain covers, jump screens on t | | |
| Have escape incidents or events been e If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sl | xperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since t | the last FHI inspection? |
| 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mir | • | |
| | forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5 | 4 17) |
| | and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they ex | |
| o. Have alooe been reported to alle eet of | and resear herieffee truete for animar (where they ex | 1.1.07, 0.1.17) |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es | scapees? If yes give detail | |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) | agreed with local wild fish interests and was per | mission given by Scottish |
| · · | inimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered | l in code but could |
| be considered under satisfactory meas | sures of the Act) | |
| 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with | regards to containment? If no, please detail rea | son(s) |
| | | |
| | | |

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Site No: FS1269

Case No: 2022-0151

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

| Case No: | 2022-0151 | | | Date of visit: | 10/05/2022 | | | |
|---------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------|----------------------|
| Cube IVO. | 2022 0101 | | | Date of visit. | 10/00/2022 | | | |
| Site No: | FS1269 | | | Inspector: | | | | |
| Results Summary | Freq. | Date of Notification | | | | | | |
| | | Database | Insp | Phone | Insp | Writing | Insp | 2 nd Insp |
| MG-VHS | 0/6 | 18/05/2022 | | 18/05/2022 | | 02/06/2022 | | |
| MG-IHN | 0/6 | 18/05/2022 | | 18/05/2022 | | 02/06/2022 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Danast Cusas saas s | | | | 1 | | | | |
| Report Summary | Date | lnon | and L | | | | | |
| Case Type | 02/06/2022 | Insp | 2 nd Insp | | | | | |
| ECS, CNI, VMD | 02/00/2022 | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | I | | | | | | | |





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 Date of Visit 10/05/2022

SITE No FS1269 SITE NAME Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery

Case No 20220151 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected.

On this occasion, due to the water temperature being above 14°C, samples were taken to test for the presence of viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) and infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Samples

30 Atlantic salmon were tested for the presence of viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) and infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV). Samples were collected according to the table below:

| Fish number | Pool number | Facility number | Stage | Origin |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------|---------|
| 1-5 | 1 | 55 | 2022 Q3, 20g | Kindrum |
| 6-10 | 2 | 55 | 2022 Q3, 20g | Kindrum |
| 11-15 | 3 | 54 | 2022 Q3, 20g | Kindrum |
| 16-20 | 4 | 54 | 2022 Q3, 20g | Kindrum |
| 21-25 | 5 | 50 | 2022 Q3, 20g | Kindrum |
| 26-30 | 6 | 51 | 2022 Q3, 20g | Kindrum |

The samples tested **negative** for IHNV and VHSV.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 02/06/2022
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/