FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date o	f issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0174		Date of visit:	01/06/2022
Time spent on site: 5h		Main Inspector:	
Site No: FS0646 Site Name Business No: FB0119 Business N	,	td	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2 CNI	3 SLI 4 VMD	5 6	
Water Temp (°C): 9.8 Thermome	eter No:	FHI 045 comp	leted N/A
Observations: Region:	WI Water type:	S CoGP MA:	W-7
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present' Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	n If yes, see a	dditional information/clinical s dditional information/clinical s dditional information/clinical s	core sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended	I visit detail reason below:		

## **Additional Case Information:**

Additional morts salmon: 2020 wk 27 2474 (1.02%) PD/CMS, wk 28 1677 (1.42%) PD/CMS

Cleaner fish mort peaks: 2020 wk 24 8929 (17.08%)without diagnosis, wk 25 12516 (28.88%)without diagnosis, wk 26 6941 (22.52%) other infectious diseases and without diagnosis, wk 27 6612 (74.44%)without diagnosis, discussed with site manager, he wasn't sure what the undiagnosed was but thought that this was the end of cycle cull at the harvest station.

Site currently treating with slice, salmosan had been administered earlier in May.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0174		Site No:	FS0646				
Date of Visit:		01/06/2022	]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	*		·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	7	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group	221 Q2	Wild						
No Fish	774,230	599						
Mean Fish Wt	317g	N/A						
Next Fallow Date (S	_	Aug 2023	•	Next Input Da	te (Site)	March 202	24	
Recent (last 4 wks)	*	ŭ		•	Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:						`	·	
<ul> <li>4. Are movement red</li> <li>5. Are records comp</li> <li>6. Are health certification</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>1. Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a systematic red</li> </ul>	elete and corre ates for introd nts carried out	ectly entered? uctions (outw by (or on be	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not usi	-			Y Y N/A
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Ensiled - on	site		
If other detail:	·							
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					N
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks):		1553 (0.2%)	runts/physical	damage, pre	dation and w	vithout diagno	sis
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			'	
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:	see additiona	al information						
7. Have increased (u	unexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:				health manger				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on morta	ality events sl	neet.		У

Treatments and Medicines Records				
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?				Y
If yes, detail: Slice salmosa	n TMS			
If other, detail:				V
2. Medicines records available for inspection?				Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?				Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	-			Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	Slice	TMS	salmosan	
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?				Y
Biosecurity Records				
Biosecurity records available for inspection?				У
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, r	_			У
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notif	•	ers or veterina	ary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included				У
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the p		•		e
is detected been included and how and when that will b				У
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being st	ocked on the fari	n site been co	overed (equal or higher	У
health status, certification if required)?				
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implen		•		У
transmission of disease been covered (movement of sta			•	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in	place to maintai	n the physical	containment of	У
aquaculture animals held on site?	1	0		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately imp	plemented on site	9?		У
If no, detail:				
Descrite of Commellion on				
Results of Surveillance	v or an habalf a	the business	.n	V
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out b	y, or on benail o	, the business	of f	V
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?				N
3. Any significant results?	ma)			10
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease probler	115).			
Records checked between:	15/5/2020 t	0.1/6/2022		
NECOLUS CHECKEU DELWEEH.	13/3/2020 (	0 1/0/2022		

	Case no:	2022-0°	174	Site No:		FS0646	5		Date of Samplin	visit/	01/	06/2022	01/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		ig. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	12:3	80:00	13:3	0:00	]	Inspecto	or:		1	VMD No	0.	25
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Α	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL		SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL
	Average weight	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g		300g	300g	300g	300g
	Sex	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW
ck Details	Stock Origin	och Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	och Arkaig	och Arkaig	och Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	och Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	och Arkaig	Loch Arkaig
Sto	Facility No	10	10	10				9	9	12	12	12	12

06/2022	Addition	nal Sam	ple Info	mation:										
0		Total To	ests ass	igned	0									
13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL		
300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g	300g		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW		
aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig	aig		
Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark	Ark		
Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	och Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig	Loch Arkaig		
7	<u>ا</u> 7													
1	7	7	1	5	5	5	0	6	6	O	8	8		•

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0174		Site No:	FS0646		Insp:	
Date of Visit	01/06/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
1	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3		10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed		]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		T 0	]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2	]			
					<b>Total</b> Rank		14 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0174	Site No:	FS0646
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems		N
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y	year class basis?
	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med d of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	gement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
<ul><li>5. Are sea lice count records available for ins</li><li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required</li></ul>	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. sal</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the peri	<i>Imonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.  is considered to cause significant welfare problem.	N/A N N
	istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implicated.	
11. Has any other action been taken (where	applicable)?	N/A
· ·	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	d out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	ement procedure with waypoints describing set a infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail I	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment dama	ge due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	v) Y
Froya rings Seal pro nets If other, detail below:	top nets	
3. Have escape incidents or events been ex If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$ . If No ski	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th p to question 10	ne last FHI inspection?
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Mini-	sters?	
·	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP $-4.4.37, 5.4$	,
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO an	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	capees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and min	imise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure	res of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r	regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0174	Site No: FS0646	
Date of Visit: 01/06/20	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection locate	ed within a farm management area?	Υ
If N, no further questions require com	npletion.	
<ol> <li>Has a current farm management a</li> <li>Is the current FMAg/S available for</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the relev</li> <li>Does the FMAg/S identify the fish f</li> </ol>	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or sta	epared? Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the mining farm?	nagement mum health standards for the stocks to be	introduced to the area or Y
•	cination requirements for stocks held in the	
	ecies of fish which may be stocked into the eximum stocking density of any pen on any	
	angements for the storage and disposal of I farm?	any dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Managemen 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	nt of Sea Lice ements for the sharing of data on sea lice r	numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the ava of statement?	ailability and the use of medicines on farms	covered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any reqlice on farms in the area or individual	quirements for the sensitivity testing of avail farms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circ used on farms in the area or individual	cumstances under which biological controls al farms?	and cleaner fish are to be Y
	angements for synchronous treatments on	farms within the area?
Live Fish Movements  18 Does the FMAg/S identify the circ	cumstances when live fish may be introduc	ed or removed from the
area or farm?	ř	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra or individual farms?	angements for the movement of live fish or	and off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of	f issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harv	est practices on farms in the area or in	dividual farms?	Y
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by wh date when a farm or area may be restocked?			Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broods covered by the agreement or statement?			Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Managemen 24. Does the farm management agreement in parties to the agreement?		come, or cease to be,	N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and opera 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the		or statement?	Y

Case No:	2022-0174			Date of visit	: 01/06/202	22							
Site No:	FS0646	7											
Site No.		J		Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.				Date of Notification								
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
					_								
					_								
	+												
	+												
	_												
Report Summary				7									
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp										
ECI,CNI,SLI,VMD	23/06/2022	2											
case completion	30/06/2022												
		-											
	_												





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0119 DATE of Visit 01/06/2022

SITE NO FS0646 SITE NAME Soay

CASE NO 20220174 INSPECTOR

## Case completion report

Issues were raised in relation to the above case, with a requirement for records unavailable for inspection to be submitted by 23/7/2022. The required records have now been provided to Marine Scotland.

This case will now be closed. This site may be subject to further audit and recommendations in the future

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 30/06/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 DATE OF VISIT 01/06/2022
SITE NO FS0646 SITE NAME Soav

CASE No 20220174 INSPECTOR

## Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be inadequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

The following points were raised with the site representative during the inspection:

- FS numbers had not been recoded for all moments in the movement book, this was corrected during the Inspection, no further action required;
- Cleanerfish movement records were not available for inspection, records should be provided for inspection;
- Lumpfish mortality records were not maintained correctly, a number of entries were recorded
  as undiagnosed however it was determined that these were culls at the harvest station. This
  was discussed with the manager, no further action is required.

These must be addressed to ensure the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met. Cleanerfish movement records should be sent to the Fish Health Inspectorate (contact details below) within 30 days of the date this report was issued.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

## Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements, containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

## Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area<sup>(1)</sup> to;

- (a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and
- (b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement [delete as appropriate]

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].

- Fish health management
  - This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- The management of parasites<sup>(2)</sup>
  This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms
  This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to or removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish
  This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish
  removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting
   This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify
   whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement &
   identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by
   the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

<sup>(1)</sup> Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

<sup>(2)</sup> Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*