FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2022-0181			Date of visit: 07/06/2022				
Time spent on site:	2hrs	Main Inspec	stor:				
Site No: FS0698 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Quarry Point The Scottish Salmon Compa	any				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 11.43	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-42				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?NIf yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Clinical signs of disease observed?NIf yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Gross pathology observed?NIf yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.Diagnostic samples taken?N							
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail re	ason below:					

Additional Case Information:

For this new cycle, site is trialling the introduction of wrasse before salmon are input onto site. Wild caught wrasse were introduced onto site on 09/08/2021, with the first salmon input occurring on 27/08/2022.

Fish are harvested via live haul and taken to Ardyne and the onto Cairndow for processing. First harvest at quarry point will begin in July 2022.

Seal pro netting were introduced onto site at the start of the cycle. Hardly predation seen since. In addition, aeration is constantly switched on site due to the loch having a history of severe algal blooms.

Lumpfish Mortality (most mortality of lumpfish is either culled due to end of cycle or black losses):

2020: WK6, 1439, 21.18% Wk7, 2176, 40.63% Wk8, 1568, 49.31% Wk50, 1710, 10.37%

2021: Wk2,1424, 10.11% Wk4, 2723, 21.78% Wk7,1849,20.45% Wk8, 6192, 86.10% Wk10, 127, 12.70% Wk11, 611, 69.9% Wk12, 262, 10.0%

2022: Wk14, 1472, 16.17% Wk15, 1054, 13.81%

Wrasse mortality: 2022- Wk14, 1071, 8.94% Wk15, 420, 3.85%

Remote paperwork inspection conducted by and supervised by and sup

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ied by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0181]	Site No:	FS0698				
Date of Visit:		07/06/2022	2		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho								-
 Business/site deta Changes made to 		/ checked by s	site representa	ative?			Y V	
2. Onanges made to	actails:						1	1
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all sect						
Total No facilities		10	Facilities sto	ocked	10	No facilitie	s inspected	10
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM					
Age group	2021 S0	wild	2021					
No Fish	304,767	10,158	4,957					
Mean Fish Wt	3.16kg	mixed	mixed					
Next Fallow Date (S	· ·	April 2023		Next Input Da	_ ` `	Aug 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	-			Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	PD diagnos	ed (2-3 week	s ago)					
Movement Records	5							
1. Movement record	-	or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspect							30/01/2020	-
3. Are records comp		rectly entered	7				00,0	Y
4. Are movement re		•		,				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		-		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen								IN
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place	for maintenan	ice of transpo	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed (of?			Other (detail))		-
If other detail:	ensiled on s	site and then t	aken to Dunda	as chemicals				
3. Mortality records of	complete an	d correctly ent						Y
				1, 299, 0.10%;	Wk20, 311, 0.	.10; Wk19, 2	263, 0.09%; V	Vk18, 228,
4. Recent mortality (0.07%					
5. Evidence of recen		••						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality pe	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality d	uring poriod of	nonkod2					N
If yes, detail:		uning period ci	leckeu?					N
7. Have increased (u	Inovalained	mortalities he	on ronorted to	a vot or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	mortaillies be	en reported to					N/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been r	aported to EU	2 If no enter	details on mor	tality events of	heet		N/A
o. have mortality ev	ents been fe		enter	details on mon	cally events si	ieet.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records							
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y						
If yes, detail: T.M.S							
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y						
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S							
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y						
Biosecurity Records							
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y						
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of an	ıy						
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y						
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed dis	sease						
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or high	er Y						
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minim	ise Y						
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?							
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y						
If no, detail:							
Results of Surveillance							
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y						
3. Any significant results?	Y						
SAV detected from routine sam	ples taken on						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). 11/05/2022 from pen 8.							
Reduction of handling and stress will be put in place. They have been vaccinated.							
Records checked between: 30/01/2020-07/06/2022							

FHI 059, Version 13							Issued by: FHI						
	Case no:	2022-01	181	Site No	:	FS0698			Date of		07/	06/2022	07/(
l	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Sampling: MG				
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:3	80:00	14:3	80:00]	Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. [24
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No					5							
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4	5							
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL							
	Average weight		3.2kg	3.2kg		3.2kg							
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A							_
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW							
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Landcatch Ormsary (FS0575)	Landcatch Ormsary (FS0575)	Landcatch Ormsary (FS0575)	Applecross Hatchery (FS0500)	Applecross Hatchery (FS0500)							
õ	Facility No	3	5	6	9	10							

06/2022	2 Additional Sample Information:														
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, VEISION 15		ISSUED by. FILI			Date	JI ISSUE.	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0181		Site No:	FS0698		Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/06/2022		No of mo	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	6
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices	anno upor can		None ·	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		Secure	Onsecure		
processors			0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	-	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products		esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0]			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2]			
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0181	Site No:	FS0698
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (ir well as access to suitable biological and/or me	ncluding deltamethrin, Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp6. Do records adequately reflect the required sea	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bell records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	<i>nonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
•	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> <i>longatus</i> is considered to have welfare implica	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	pplicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice lo out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations ar	?? Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage recognised scenarios during the escalation of	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a sea lice infestation?	actions to deal with Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons. Y
	ge due to predators in the current or previous p he predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
 Have escape incidents or events been exp 	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since th	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	•	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
•	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	
	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0181	Site No: FS0698	
Date of Visit: 07/06/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located v		Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
-	Management Agreements and Statement	
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for instance 	eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare spection?	ed? Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	•	Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farr	m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	Y V
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		Y
Arrangements for Eich Health Manag	omont	
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	tion requirements for stocks held in the area	
	es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	
individual farm?	turn stocking density of any performany fam	
12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang farm in the area or the individual farm?	gements for the storage and disposal of any	dead fish from any fish Y
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
	ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	e treatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the Y
area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area Y
or individual farms?		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocl 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 20/08/2021	statement?

Case No:	2022-0181]		Date of visit:	07/06/2022]					
Site No:	FS0698	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
					-						
					-						
Report Summary	_			1							
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	13/06/2022		2 1100								

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS0698

 CASE NO
 20220181

DATE OF VISIT07/06/2022SITE NAMEQuarry PointINSPECTORImage: Constraint of the second second

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 13/06/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/