FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0296			Date of visit: 22/08/2022
Time spent on site:	3 hours	Main Inspect	tor:
Site No: FS0850	Site Name:	Lippie Geo	
Business No: FB0125	Business Name:	Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.2	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-11
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	•		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	ed?		rmation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet.
Diagnostic samples taken?		IN_	
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit deta	il reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection and physical site inspection conducted by a supervised by

The site was recently stocked with fish transferred from Holmes Geo, very little mortality has occurred since input and the fish appear to be doing well. All epidemiological units were inspected onsite with no clinical signs of disease observed. Fish appear to be in good health, shoaling well and were observed responding positively to feed.

Animal health survalleince conducted by the companies fish health biologist, last carried out on 01/08/2022. The health of the stock was reported as being healthy with no significant health issues noted. High numbers of phytoplankton were detected from samples taken during the sites health surveillance.

The site was stocked with wild caught ballan wrasse at an approximate stocking of 3%, no mortalities have been reported since input.

9 VMD samples collected by

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0296		Site No:	FS0850				
Date of Visit:		22/08/2022]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						N	
Site Details (include	e cleaner fis	h for all sect				_		
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	s inspected	4
Species	SAL	Wrasse						
Age group	Q1 2022	wild						
No Fish	221,664	1,180						
Mean Fish Wt	1015g	80g						
Next Fallow Date (Si	te)	Sep-23		Next Input Da	ite (Site)	Jan 2024		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	disease probl	ems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records								
Movement records		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec		порестант.					17/09/2020	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	,				1110012020	Y
4. Are movement red				,				Ÿ
5. Are records comp								
6. Are health certification				able?				N/A
		(,					
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen								N
If yes, is there a syst	em in place fo	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records?	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for ir	rspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	s disposed of	f ?			Incinerated -	Shetland W	aste to Energ	IY
If other detail:	Morts transfe	erred by site in	n secure mort	t tubs to Lerwic	k - Shetland V	Vaste to En	ergy.	
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
			SAL: Week	32 (64, 0.09%),Week 31 (5	9 0.01), We	ek 30 (57 0.0	1), Week 29
4. Recent mortality (I	last 4 wks):		(122 0.01) W	/rasse : 0 mort	s since input	•	•	,
5. Evidence of recen	t increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:			'	
			· ·					
6. Any other peaks ir	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (u	inexplained) r	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?
If yes, detail: T.M.S.
If other, detail:
2. Medicines records available for inspection?
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.
If other, detail:
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?
Biosecurity Records
Biosecurity records available for inspection?
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher
health status, certification if required)?
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of
aquaculture animals held on site?
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?
If no, detail:
Results of Surveillance
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?
3. Any significant results?
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).
Records checked between: 17/09/2020 - 22/08/2022

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0296		Site No:	FS0850		Insp:	
Date of Visit	22/08/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0			10	10
Movements on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	, 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	l 0	i			0
·	Feeding unpast	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0]			0
	No		2	1			
					Total Rank		21 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0296	Site No:	FS0850	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the preduction of the composition o	fallowed synchronously on a single yed and bath sea lice medications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management agre	eement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm	
Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (L	egal SSI_CoGP Annex 6)	Y	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard s		Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the sugrecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	gested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that	
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) nun 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that reco		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N	
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspe	ectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is conside	red to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or o suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is			
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)		_	/A
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had			
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cool 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where few sea lice?			
15. Is there a site specific written lice management proc scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?		actions to deal with recognised Y	
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea	lice count data? If no please detail r	reasons.	
Containment Inspection			
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to p		•	
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation Seal pro nets Top net Double	on experienced on site? (Detail below e netting	<i>\(\)</i>	
If other, detail below:	e netting		
 Have escape incidents or events been experienced of If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 	•	ne last FHI inspection?	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?			
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (wh	nere they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)	
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fish	eries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If y	ves give detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with	local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	The first like looks and was penn	and a second sec	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the ris	sk of further escapes? (Not covered i	in code but could	
be considered under satisfactory measures of the			
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to c	ontainment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0296	Site No: FS0850	
Date of Visit: 22/08/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	within a farm management area?	
1. Is the farm under inspection located v If N, no further questions require comple	-	Y
in 14, no farater questions require comple	Short.	
 Has a current farm management agree Is the current FMAg/S available for in Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimularm?	ement Im health standards for the stocks to be intro	duced to the area or
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any orm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numb	pers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cover	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	cleaner fish are to be Y
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	s within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced or	removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on and	off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S? 07/03/2022	statement? Y

	mi 059, version 15							133	ueu by. F				
	Case no:	2022-02	296	Site No:		FS0850			Date of v Sampling		22/0	8/2022	22/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	НІ			
ı	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:3	0:00	15:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:		_	VMD No	. [9
ı	Environmental conditions:	1		2		3		4	$\overline{}$	5	=		
ı	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
,	add Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1	2										
Г	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL										
п	Average weight	1kg	1kg										
п	Sex	N/A	N/A										
п	Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Dotaile	Stock Origin Facility No	Holms Geo	ص Holms Geo										
U	of activity 140		J										

08/2022 Additional Sample Information:															
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

Site No: FS0850

Case No: 2022-0296

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_					
Case No:	2022-0296			Date of visit:	22/08/2022						
Site No:	ECOSEO			la ana ataw							
Site No.	FS0850	ı		Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
· ·	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
Report Summary				1							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	01/09/2022										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0125 Date of Visit 22/08/2022 Site No FS0850 Site Name Lippie Geo

Case No 20220296 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also in spected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/