FHI 059, Version 13	lss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0419			Date of visit: 13/09/2022
Time spent on site:	3.5hours	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS1043 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Ness of Copister Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4 SLI 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.75	Thermometer No:	T308	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-4
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	ed?	N If yes, see additional info N If yes, see additional info N	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
	y out interface visit detail re		

Additional Case Information:

Ness of Copister is not a harvest site. Smolts are ongrown here to approx. 2kg and then transferred to various other sites around Yell and Unst where they will be grown to harvest size (seen as a nursery site). Input of smolts at 85 to 120g.

Own small shorebase where mortalities are collected at and taken away by bulk transporter to either TWMN (Shetland) or SEM

Stock of fish on site either from Migdale (Jubilee) in Loch Shin (pens 1,8,9,16) and Furnace (pens 2,4,6,7,10,11,12). Remaining pens will be used for grading.

No cleanerfish on site/company wide.

From site inspection, slight AGD observed. Lice are increasing but not causing concern.

VMD samples taken on 13/09/2022, All fish sampled for VMD were observed to be in good condition.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0419]	Site No:	FS1043]			
Date of Visit:		13/09/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho	orisation Det	tails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	y checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to	o details?						Ν]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	14	No facilitie	s inspected	14
Species	SAL							
Age group	2022 S1							
No Fish	975,067							
Mean Fish Wt	799							
Next Fallow Date (S	,	February/ M	arch 2023	Next Input Da	_ ` '	May 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	olems?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Decord	_							
Movement Records		or increation?						
 Movement record Date of last inspe 		or inspection?					16/07/2019	'
3. Are records comp		rectly entered	2				10/01/2013	Y
4. Are movement re		•)				Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific				able?				N/A
		, ,	,					
Transport Records	5							
1. Are any movement	nts carried o	ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place	for maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for	inspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed	of?			Whole fish -	TWMA (She	etland)	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete an	d correctly ente						Y
	<i></i>			5, 379, 0.04%;	Wk 34, 380, 0	.04%; Wk 3	3, 1550; 0.16	5%, Wk 32,
4. Recent mortality (,	436, 0.04%					
5. Evidence of recer		• •		1				N
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality pe	r facility/no sto	CK per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality d	uring period cl	pockod2					V
		8 1		transfer morta	lity): \//15 167'	26 1 660/ (cmolt and tra	'
If yes, detail:	mortality)	+, 29000, 3.20			inty), vv 15, 167.	50, 1.00 % (IISIEI
7. Have increased (mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	. ,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been r	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y
,		•						


Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	V
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	ř
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	· · ·
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	-
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 16/07/2019- 13/09/2022	

FI	HI 059, Version 13							lss	ued by:	FHI			
	Case no:	2022-04	119	Site No:		FS1043			Date of		13/0	09/2022	13/(
l	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
l	Time sampling starts/ends:	<u></u>	5:00	11:4	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	р.	10
	Environmental conditions:	1	Windy	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-2	3	4									
	Pool Group	0.41	0.41										
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									_
	Average weight Sex	1kg N/A	1kg N/A	1kg N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
		011	0.11	011									
Stock Details	Stock Origin	Furnace (FS0339)	Dubilee Loch Shin (FS0890)	Jubilee Loch Shin (FS0890)									
Ú.		15	16	9									

)9/2022	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0	I	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	l					

Case Number:	2022-0419		Site No:	FS1043		Insp:	
Date of Visit	13/09/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	C
with GB) of susceptible species	compartment in	novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country	0		18	26	C
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	C
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0		6	10	6
Exposure via water	1	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species susceptible to same	disinfection or	ted (secure water supply through borehole) e or in a coastal zone with category I	0				
diseases)	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		e or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	ocessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent stat	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	n from Category III farm	8	1			
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by- products			0				C
producis	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5]			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	unpasteurised feed	0]			0
	Feeding unpas	steurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				C
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice			3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				C
	No		2	J			
					Total Rank		17 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	sue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 202	2-0419		Site No:	FS1043	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawa	ater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experienced s	sea lice problems in the previous	-			Ν
3. Does the site have access	ement Area (or equivalent) fallov s to a range of licenced in-feed ar in benzoate) as well as access to	nd bath sea lice med	lications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	Y Y
can these be deployed in a re	easonable period of time?				
4. Is there a signed documer Management Area (or equiva	nted farm management agreemer alent)?	nt or statement relev	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	Y
	available for inspection? (Legal lect the required standard specifi			egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) records are inspected? (Co	record levels below the suggeste GP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatm	ent in the C	oGP during the period that	Y
J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J	e sea lice (<i>L. salmoni</i> s) numbers)) during the period that records a	•	evel of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Ý
If yes, have these been report	rted to the Fish Health Inspectora	ate? If no, FHI see c	omment.		Y
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation	n at a level which is considered to	o cause significant w	elfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	Ν
	ents been administered or other a ent or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is cons				Y
11. Has any other action bee					N/A
	ents or the actions taken had a si				Y
	onducted, carried out in cooperati		-		N/A
14. Is there a harvesting stra sea lice?	tegy for the site, where fewer pop	pulations or part pop	oulations are	e held without treatment for	Y
15. Is there a site specific wr scenarios during the escalati	itten lice management procedure ion of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints des	cribing set a	actions to deal with recognised	ł Y
16. Do the sea lice levels obs	served on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no ple	ease detail r	easons.	Y
Containment Increation					
Containment Inspection	equipment damage due to predat	tors in the current or	previous pr	roduction cycles?	N
	mitigate against the predation exp			•	Y
tensioned seal					
If other, detail below:					
3. Have escape incidents or	events been experienced on or i	in the vicinity of the	site since th	e last FHI inspection?	Ν
	s $4 - 9$. If No skip to question 10				
4. Have these been reported					
	to local DSFB forthwith (where the to the SSPO and local fisheries)	- , ,			
0. Have these been reported	to the SSFO and local lishenes		ie uley exis	(000F - 4.4.57, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) use	ed to recover escapees? If yes given the second s	ve detail			
8. If gill nets were deployed w	was this action agreed with local	wild fish interests ar	id was perm	hission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.	<i>,</i>				
	prevent and minimise the risk of the Act	further escapes? (N	ot covered i	in code but could	
	sfactory measures of the Act) atisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no. please	e detail reas	on(s)	Υ



Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest pr	ractices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
	e area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest	Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked?22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more agreement or statement?	year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by th	ne Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock o covered by the agreement or statement?	or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agree 24. Does the farm management agreement include parties to the agreement?	eements Only arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be	e, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMA		Y

Issued by: FHI

	Case No:	2022-0419			Date of visit:	13/09/2022]					
Results Summary Freq. Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp 2 nd Insp Image: Image	Site No:	FS1043										
DatabaseInspPhoneInspWritingInsp2 nd InspImageIma	Results Summary	Freq.										
			Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
			•				•					
Report Summary	Report Summary											
		Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 20/09/2022	Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD											

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0095

 SITE NO
 FS1043

 CASE NO
 20220419

DATE OF VISIT13/09/2022SITE NAMENess of CopisterINSPECTORInspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 20/09/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Annex - The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

Section 4A of the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, introduces the requirement for a person carrying out the business of fish farming within a farm management area⁽¹⁾ to;

(a) be party to a farm management agreement, or prepare and maintain a farm management statement, in relation to the fish farm, and

(b) ensure that the fish farm is managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement.

To ensure compliance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, the following points must be addressed in the farm management agreement/statement [delete as appropriate]

- The statement or agreement must identify the farm management area to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identify the fish farm sites to which the agreement or statement applies.
- The statement or agreement must identifying the date of commencement
- The statement or agreement must identify the date of review (farm management agreements or statements must be reviewed at least every two years).
- In the case of a farm management agreement, arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement.

The statement or agreement must include arrangements for;

NB if the FMAg/FMS includes some arrangements on health management, live fish movements or harvesting from our checklist, do not make any further recommendations regarding the missing points and delete these sections. Only include recommendations if health management, live fish movements or harvesting have not been addressed at all [delete this paragraph before issuing report].

- Fish health management
 This may include the minimum health standards for stocks introduced to the area; vaccination requirements of stocks held in the area; the species of fish to be stocked into any farm in the area; the maximum stocking density of any cage on any fish farm in the area and the arrangements for the storage and disposal of any dead fish from the area (examples for guidance).
- The management of parasites⁽²⁾ This must include arrangements for the synchronisation of treatments; the availability of and use of medicines; requirements for sensitivity testing; the sharing of data and information on sea lice numbers and treatments and the use of biological controls and cleaner fish.

- The movements of live fish on and off the farms This may include the methods and circumstances in which live fish will be introduced to or removed from the area (examples for guidance).
- The harvesting of fish This may include details of acceptable harvesting practices; whether by live or dead fish removal and details of containment and biosecurity (examples for guidance).
- Fallowing of the farms after harvesting This must include the dates for fallowing of the area, the earliest date of restocking, identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement & identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement.

A copy of this annex has been sent to [insert business name of other signatories to the FMAg] as signatories to the farm management agreement for area [insert area]. [Delete as appropriate - include only for agreements]

⁽¹⁾ Farm management area means an area specified as such in the Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture

⁽²⁾ Parasites as defined in The Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 which means *Caligus elongatus* and *Lepeophtherius salmonis*