FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0437			Date of visit: 20/09/2022
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Main Inspe	ector:
Site No: FS0274 Business No: FB0137	Site Name: Business Name:	Millburn Millburn Salmon Hatchery	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	VMD 3 CNI	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.2	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: OR	Water type: F	CoGP MA
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional in	formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet. formation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	ason below:	

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Infection with Flavobacterium (RTFS) in May 22 resulted in significant mortalities across the site (71,053 fish lost during the month of May). FVG were consulted and prescription provided for Florocol 19/05/22 - 29/05/22.

Fish haven't yet been counted since the mass mortality occurred in May so site manager is unsure of the exact count. Fish are being graded in November when they are vaccinated with PD1 and Alphaject6 so an accurate count will be available at this time.

Site manager only records mortality count over the month and doesn't calculate weekly mortality percentages. As a result, the mortality event in May, which resulted in a loss of almost 50% of the stock at the time, was not reported as required. This was discussed with the site manager at the time and he has agreed to record weekly mortality percentage going forward.

During the site inspection, 1 mortality and 1 moribund were observed across the site.

Fish taken for VMD sample appeared healthy both externally and internally.

Siter thermometer used to minimise biosecurity risk.

CNI case type was added to case sheet and FHR was re-issued - 12/01/23

FHI 059, Version 13		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-04	137	Site No:	FS0274	1			
Date of Visit:	20/09/2	022		Inspector(s	s):		ı
Registration/Authorisation	Details						
Business/site details sumr		by site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to details?	-					N	1
Site Details (include cleane	er fish for all s	sections)					
Total No facilities	14	Facilities sto	ocked	2	No facilities	s inspected	14
Species SAL							
Age group 22 S1							
No Fish 40,000							
Mean Fish Wt 18-20g							
Next Fallow Date (Site)	Feb 23	_	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Apr 23		
Recent (last 4 wks) disease	problems?		•		es (since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:			•		,	,	
Movement Records							
Movement records availab	le for inspection	on?					Y
Date of last inspection:						14/06/2022	
Are records complete and	•						Y
4. Are movement records av			?				Y
5. Are records complete and	•						Y
6. Are health certificates for i	ntroductions (d	outwith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records							
1. Are any movements carrie	d out by (or or	n behalf) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?	,		Y
If yes, is there a system in pla	• •	•	•				Y
·· <b>,</b> · · · · · · · · · · · <b>,</b> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Mortality Records							
1. Mortality records available	for inspection	?					Y
<ol><li>How are mortalities dispos</li></ol>	ed of?			Other (deta	ail)		
		ss shorebase who	ere they are sto	ored in skips	prior to uplift.		
<ol><li>Mortality records complete</li></ol>	•	entered?					Y
<ol><li>Recent mortality (last 4 wk</li></ol>	•		fish, Septembe	er 25 fish			
<ol><li>Evidence of recent increas</li></ol>	ed/atypical mo	ortalities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no mortality	/ per facility/no	stock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in mortali	ty during perio	d checked?					V
		une was 907 fish	Attributed to	hacterial infe	ection		<u> </u>
7. Have increased (unexplain				Dacterial IIII	odon.		N/A
If yes, detail action:							
8 Have 'mortality events' her	en reported to	FHI? If no enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet		I N

_ , , ,		
Treatments and Medicines Records		N
Recent treatments (see comment)?  If yes, detail:		IN
If other, detail:		
Medicines records available for inspection?		Y
Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y
Biosecurity Records		
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco	•	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify S	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	V
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included		ı
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presist detected been included and how and when that will be n	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stock		Y
health status, certification if required)?	led on the familiance been covered (equal of higher	
Trouter status, sortinisation in required,		
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemen	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff,	•	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in pla		Y
aquaculture animals held on site?		
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implen	mented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
D 11 CO 111		
Results of Surveillance	or on behalf of the business?	NI
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, o	or on behall of, the business?	IN
<ul><li>2. If yes, are results available for inspection?</li><li>3. Any significant results?</li></ul>		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems)		
il yes, detail (il not detailed under recent disease problems)	<i>.</i>	
Records checked hetween:	14/06/2022 - 19/09/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0437		Site No:	FS0274		Insp:	
Date of Visit	20/09/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0			10	3
Movements on	Number of desi		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	, 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status						
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	1			
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10	1			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	]			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		10 LOW

Case No:	2022-0437		Site No:	FS0274	
<ol> <li>Is the CoGP Farm M</li> <li>Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema</li> </ol>	Seawater Sites Only) Inced sea lice problems in the problems an agement Area (or equivalent) Inccess to a range of licenced incommectin benzoate) as well as action a reasonable period of time?	fallowed synchronously on feed and bath sea lice medic cess to suitable biological a	cations (in	cluding deltamethrin,	ures, and
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm management agrequivalent)?	reement or statement releva	ant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm	
	cords available for inspection? ( ely reflect the required standard		CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	ex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the su (CoGP Annex 6)	ggested criteria for treatme	nt in the Co	oGP during the period	i that
	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) nu 0/6/19) during the period that red		vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Insp	pectorate? If no, FHI see co	mment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is consid	ered to cause significant we	lfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)
suggested criteria for tr	eatments been administered or reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i>	is considered to have welfa			
•	n been taken (where applicable eatments or the actions taken h		the lice le	vels recorded?	
· ·	ere conducted, carried out in co				_
	g strategy for the site, where fev		_		nt for
	ific written lice management pro scalation of a sea lice infestation		ribing set a	actions to deal with re-	cognised
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect se	a lice count data? If no plea	ase detail r	easons.	
Containment Inspecti  1. Has the site experier	on nced equipment damage due to	predators in the current or p	previous pr	oduction cycles?	N
•	ce to mitigate against the predat	•	•	•	Y
Site indoors		nets			
If other, detail below:					
If Yes proceed with que 4. Have these been rep 5. Have these been rep	nts or events been experienced estions 4 – 9. If No skip to quest ported to Scottish Ministers? ported to local DSFB forthwith (woorted to the SSPO and local fish	on 10 /here they exist)? (CoGP –	4.4.37, 5.4	l.17)	
	y) used to recover escapees? If		e triey exis	i): (COOF = 4.4.57, 5	.4.17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If	yes give detail			
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG	byed was this action agreed with $P - 4.4.38$ , 5.4.18)	local wild fish interests and	l was perm	nission given by Scotti	sh
9. What action was tak	en to prevent and minimise the	risk of further escapes? (No	t covered i	n code but could	
	satisfactory measures of the			()	
10. Is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to	containment? If no, please	detail reas	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No: 2022-0437 Site No: FS0274 Date of visit: 20/09/2022

Start date:	End date: (if applicable)	Size of fish:	Average weight of affected population:	Species:	Yearclass (SW SAL only):	Timescale	Mortality rate recorded(%):	Explained/ unexplained:	If explained, select reason(s):
01/05/22	31/05/2022	5g to smolting	15g	SAL		5 weeks	50.00	Explained	RTFS

If unexplained, select observations:	Total mortality during event (if available):	Additional information (e.g. action taken by company):	Action taken by FHI (include case no where applicable):	Yearclass Year
	71,053	Treatment with Florocol prescribed by FVG.	Mortality picked up during routine inspection. No further action.	2022

		-						
Case No:	2022-0437	J		Date of visit	20/09/202	2		
Site No:	FS0274	1		Inspector				
One IVO.	1 002/4	J		ilispector.				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ation		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	<u> </u>							
							-	
	-	-						
	<del> </del>							
	<b>†</b>	<del> </del>						
Damant Cumana								
Report Summary	Dete	la sa	ond .					
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, VMD Re-issue report (CNI)	29/09/2022 12/01/2023							
ine-issue report (CNI)	12/01/2023							
	<del> </del>							
	1							
	<b>†</b>							
	1							





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0137 DATE OF VISIT 20/09/2022 SITE NO FS0274 SITE NAME Millburn

CASE NO 20220437 INSPECTOR

This report replaces the fish health report R04 issued on the 29<sup>th</sup> of September 2022, amending the section concerning containment and escapes. The previous report should be discarded.

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had not been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate. I would like to remind you of the industry agreement in relation to mortality reporting as detailed in A Code of Good Practice for Scottish Finfish Aquaculture.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any assistance or clarification in implementing any requirement or recommendation detailed in this report.

Signed: Date: 12/01/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>