FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0459			Date of visit: 03/10/2022			
Time spent on site:		Main I	Inspector:			
Site No: FS1321 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery In Scottish Sea Farms Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 4.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	Y If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	I reason below:				

### **Additional Case Information:**

Most recent mortality attributed to ova mortality or hatch fail. No treatments have been carried out on site for at least two years yolk sac fry on site. A very small number of dead observed.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons

FHI 059, Version 13	;		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0459		Site No:	FS1321				
Date of Visit:		03/10/2022			Inspector(s)	):		
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	nils						
_			ite representa	ative?			Y	1
	•	•	·				Υ	1
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		1	_ ′	cked	1	No faciliti	ies inspected	1
Species	sal							
Age group								
No Fish								
Mean Fish Wt								
Next Fallow Date (S		17/10/22		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	9/1/22		•
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	ems?		N	Any escape	s (since las	t visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
2. Date of last inspectations. 3. Are records completed. Are movement responsible to the second of t	ection: plete and corrected available plete and corrected available plete and corrected at the corrected available plete and corrected available stem in place for introductions and the corrected available stem in place for introductions and the corrected available and the corrected	ectly entered? e for dead fis ectly entered? luctions (outw t by (or on be or maintenan	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not us			27/04/2021	Y Y Y Y Y
•		•			Ensiled - or	site		
If other detail:								
	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
•	•			0.64% wk37.0	95% wk36	0.84% wk3	35 0 72%	
Date of Visit: 03/10/2022 Inspector(s):  Registration/Authorisation Details 1. Business/site details summary checked by site representative? 2. Changes made to details?  Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)  Total No facilities								
		• •		/reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	rina period ch	necked?					l N
If yes, detail:		3						
	unexplained) i	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
•	vents' boon ro	ported to EUI	2 If no onter	dataile on mort	tality avanta	choot		N/A
o. Have mortality e	venus peemie	polited to FIII	: If fio, effici	u <del>c</del> talis on mon	ianty events	oneet.		IN//A

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N			
If yes, detail:					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		N/A			
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?					
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N			
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		N/A			
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y			
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording	g and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scotti	ish Ministers or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y			
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified		Y			
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
		V			
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented		I			
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visito	· · ·	V			
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place t	to maintain the physical containment of	I			
aquaculture animals held on site?	tad an aita?				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implement If no, detail:	ed on site?				
ii iio, detaii.					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or or	hehalf of the husiness?	Y			
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Therian of, the business:	Y			
3. Any significant results?	<u> </u>	N			
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
ii yoo, astaii (ii not astaiisa anasi recent aisease problems).					
Records checked between: 27	7/4/22- 28/9/22				

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0459			FS1321		Insp:	
Date of Visit	03/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	upp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	4.0	26	0
Species	Number of supp	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		0	_	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Transcr or desi	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I	1	2	4		
,	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V	1	1	8		
Management practices	lainis upstream	TOT WITHIT T TIGAL EXCUISION	None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters		Jecure	Olisecure		
processors	) 1		0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
		from Category III farm	8				
		from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	ĺ			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		27
					Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2022-0459	\$	Site No: FS1321	
Sea Lice Inspection (	Seawater Sites Only)			
•	nced sea lice problems in the	previous 4 vears?		
·	·	nt) fallowed synchronously on	a single vear class basis	s?
		n-feed and bath sea lice medic	<del>.</del> .	
azamethiphos and em		access to suitable biological a		
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or		agreement or statement releva	nt to the site and CoGP	Farm
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for inspection	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		
6. Do records adequat	ely reflect the required standa	rd specified in the SSI and the	CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoG	iP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. saln</i> records are inspected?		suggested criteria for treatmer	nt in the CoGP during the	period that
_	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) 0/6/19) during the period that	numbers per fish been at a lev records are inspected?	el of 3 or above (prior to	w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these bee	n reported to the Fish Health	nspectorate? If no, FHI see cor	nment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which is con	sidered to cause significant wel	fare problems? (CoGP 4	.3.81, 5.3.50)
		or other actions taken when <i>L.</i> us is considered to have welfar		
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where applica	ole)?		
12. Have therapeutic t	reatments or the actions take	had a significant impact upon	the lice levels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, wh	nere conducted, carried out in	cooperation between participat	ing farms?	
14. Is there a harvestir sea lice?	ng strategy for the site, where	fewer populations or part popul	ations are held without t	reatment for
	cific written lice management   scalation of a sea lice infestat	rocedure with waypoints descron?	ibing set actions to deal	with recognised
16. Do the sea lice lev	els observed on stocks reflec	sea lice count data? If no plea	se detail reasons.	
Containment Inchest	ion			
Containment Inspect		to predators in the current or p	revious production cycle	s? N
·	· ·	dation experienced on site? (De	•	V V
indoors	tice to miligate against the pre	dation expenenced on site: (De	stall below)	
If other, detail below				
ii otiloi, dotaii bolow				
3. Have escape incide	ents or events been experience	ed on or in the vicinity of the sit	e since the last FHI insp	ection?
·	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to qu	·	·	
	ported to Scottish Ministers?			
		(where they exist)? (CoGP - 4	1.4.37. 5.4.17)	
		fisheries trusts forthwith (where	· ·	.4.37, 5.4.17)
		·	• , ,	
7. Were methods (if ar	ny) used to recover escapees	If yes give detail		
8 If all nets were deal	loved was this action agreed v	vith local wild fish interests and	was permission given by	y Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoC	SP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)			
	•	e risk of further escapes? (Not	covered in code but cou	ıld
	er satisfactory measures of			
10. Is the site inspecte	ed as satisfactory with regards	to containment? If no, please of	letail reason(s)	Ý

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2022-0459			Date of visit:	03/10/2022	2		
Site No:	FS1321	]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
,,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp	1				
ECI, CNI	14/10/2022							





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

**BUSINESS No** FB0125 **DATE OF VISIT** 03/10/2022

SITE NO FS1321 SITE NAME Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation 1

Case No 20220459 Inspector

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

## Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: /

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>

Date: 14/10/2022