FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0463			Date of visit: 05/10/2022
Time spent on site:	? hours	Main Inspecto	pr:
Site No: FS1067	Site Name:	Inverawe (East) Etive 2	
Business No: FB0456	Business Name:	Dawnfresh Farming Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.2	Thermometer No:	T146	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	Y If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?		mation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional infor	rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Mort reports; 29/8/22 1.63% (6593 fish) furunculosis.12/9/22 6.17% 14878 fish, plankton bloom.

Fish input; splits from other Etive sites and Rocks lodge in January.

All fish were vaccinated for Furunc. Etive 2 and 3 have had antibiotic treatment.

Aquatet treatment 1/8/22 to 9/8/22 - withdraw period ended 26/9/22. Currently on withdrawal for Azasure (azamethiphos) in pens E1 and E6.

Health reports; 12/7/22 - furunculosis, Piscine orthoreovirus (HSMI). 14/9/22 Brachiomonas cysticola and paranucleospora theridion

Certificate available for import 18/5/22; wrong number and site destination but they had consulted with at the time and email correspondence available to view.

On site inspection 3 dead fish were observed. No moribund fish were observed.

Lice numbers 17/9/22 3.1 average adult female, 24/9/22 5.4 average adult female. No lice damage to the head area of the fish on inspection. Grazing damage - grey skin observed on the dorsal surface of some fish. Two well boats available next week for treatment of all the Etive sites and tarp treatments planed at Etive 2 and 3. Very heavy and persistent rain will reduce the salinity in the loch and it is hoped that this will reduce reinfection post treatments.

FHI 059, Version 13		_	Issu	ied by: FHI	_		Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0463		Site No:	FS1067				
Date of Visit:		05/10/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	_	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all secti	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	6
Species	RTR							
Age group	2012-2022							
No Fish	276,831							
Mean Fish Wt	728g							
Next Fallow Date (S	,	Dec 2022		Next Input Da	_ ` '	Jan 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)				Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	furunculosis,	, plankton[- gil	ll issues					
 Movement record Date of last inspect Are records compt Are movement records compt Are records compt Are health certification Transport Records Are any movement fyes, is there a system Mortality Records 	ction: olete and corre cords available olete and corre ates for introd ints carried out	ectly entered? le for dead fisl ectly entered? ductions (outw	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa half) of the bu	able? usiness (not usi			07/12/2021	Y Y Y Y
Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Whole fish - I	Dundas Cho	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		5/9 1.33% fu	urunc,12/9 6.17	% plankton 19	9/9 0.07%, 2	26/9/22 0.14%	
5. Evidence of recen	it increased/a							Y
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
pens E3 14854 fish	(81724 fish in	pen) and E4	, 4222 fish (46	6483 fish in per	n) attributed to	plankton 1	2/9/22	
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	iecked?					Y
If yes, detail:	furunculosis	29/8/22 1.63	3% , 5/9 1.33%	6				
7. Have increased (u	ınexplained) r	mortalities ber	en reported to	vet or FHI?				Y
If yes, detail action:		Aquatet treat	tment for furu	nculosis				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	eet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Reco	orde		
Recent treatments (see comme			V
	·		
If yes, detail:	Azasure		
If other, detail:	imamastian?		
2. Medicines records available for	•		T
3. Are records complete and corre	-		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	'		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		Azasure	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored appropria	itely?		Y
Biosecurity Records			
1. Biosecurity records available fo	r inspection?		Y
2. Has the manner and frequency	of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in v	which the APB will notify S	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality			Y
4. Has the action that will be taken	n in the event that the pres	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how	w and when that will be no	otified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquacu	ulture animals being stock	ed on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if requir		· · · ·	
•	ŕ		
6. Have the husbandry and biosed	curity measures implemen	ited between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
		visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
		ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	•	is to maintain the physical containment of	
8. Have the biosecurity procedure		nented on site?	N
		an option on site, to be removed.	
detalled liteli	leration but not currently a	arroption on site, to be removed.	
Results of Surveillance			
Has any animal health surveilla	ince been carried out by	or on hehalf of the husiness?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for i	•	or or benan or, the business:	·
3. Any significant results?	rispection:		V
If yes, detail (if not detailed under	recent disease problems)		'
furunculosis	recent disease problems).		
Records checl	kad batwaan:	7/12/21- 5/10/22	
Records check	keu between.	1/12/21- 3/10/22	

Pri Tin sta	se no: ority samples:	2022-04 VI	163	Site No:		FS1067			Date of vi		05/1	0/2022	05/
Tin sta	ority samples:	VI							Camplina				
sta				ВА		PA	=	MG	Sampling	н			
En	ne sampling rts/ends:	11:3	0:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	r:			VMD No	. [5
LII	vironmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4	$\overline{}$	5	\Box		
Su	mmary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
	Fish/Pools - click												
	ol/Fish No												
Fis	h nos	1	2										
Po	ol Group												
Sp	ecies	RTR	RTR										
Av	erage weight	500g	500g										
Se	Χ												
Wa	ater Type	SW	SW										
ock Details	ock Origin cility No	Bocks Lodge	Rocks Lodge										

10/2022 Additional Sample Information:															
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														
				-											

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0463		Site No:	FS1067		Insp:	
Date of Visit	05/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	5
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0			14	5
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3		10	6
IVIOVEITIETIIS OII	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	•	0				
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status						
	Processing fish equivalent statu	4					
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpast	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		30 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0463	Site No:	S1067
3. Does the site have access to a range of licence	valent) fallowed synchronously on a single year ced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includ Il as access to suitable biological and/or mechan	ding deltamethrin,
	ent agreement or statement relevant to the site	and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspec	ction? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) andard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Lega	al SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGF	oduring the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmor</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period t	nis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or abo that records are inspected?	ve (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Hea	Ith Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
	considered to cause significant welfare problems	s? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
•	red or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis leve</i> agatus is considered to have welfare implications	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried ou	aken had a significant impact upon the lice levels	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manageme scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infe	ent procedure with waypoints describing set actionstation?	ons to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks ref	flect sea lice count data? If no please detail reas	sons. Y
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage of the content of the conte	due to predators in the current or previous produ predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	uction cycles? N Y
f other, detail below:		
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minister 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forth		· ')
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escape		(000)
The state of the s	700 II you give dotail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agree Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	ed with local wild fish interests and was permissi	ion given by Scottish
	se the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in co	ode but could
be considered under satisfactory measures 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with rega	ards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0463	Site No: FS1067	
Date of Visit: 05/10/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance	within a farm management area?	V.
 Is the farm under inspection located w If N, no further questions require complete 	_	Y
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for instance4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm?	ement m health standards for the stocks to be intro	oduced to the area or Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farn	a or farm?
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangements	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the available of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	ements for the sensitivity testing of available ms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	or removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	e harvest practices on farms in the area or indi	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restoc 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether of agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto stocked onto stock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to becor	me, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or of the FMAg/S?	statement? Y

		_				_							
Case No:	2022-0463			Date of visit:	05/10/2022								
Site No:	FS1067	1		Inspector:		1							
		•											
Results Summary	Freq.			Date of Notification									
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Report Summary													
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp										
ECI, CNI,SLI,VMD	14/11/2022												





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0456 **DATE OF VISIT** 05/10/2022

SITE NO FS1067 SITE NAME Inverawe (East) Etive 2

CASE NO 20220463 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 14/11/2022