FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2	2020
Case No: 2022-0487			Date of visit: 12/10/2022	
Time spent on site:	5 hours	Mai	n Inspector:	
Site No: FS0567 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Furnace Quarry The Scottish Salmor	n Company	Ξ
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 12.2	Thermometer No:	T155	FHI 045 completed	⊐
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-42	
Dead/weak/abnormally behavin Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	red?	N If yes, see addit N If yes, see addit N	ional information/clinical score sheet. ional information/clinical score sheet. ional information/clinical score sheet.	

FHI 059, Version 13	3		lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0487		Site No:	FS0567	7			
Date of Visit:		12/10/2022]		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	orisation Def	tails						
1. Business/site det	ails summar	y checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ]
2. Changes made to	o details?						Y]
Site Details (includ	de cleaner fi	sh for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		6	Facilities sto	ocked	5	No facilitie	s inspected	6
	Atlantic							
Species	salmon							
Age group	2021 Q4							
No Fish Mean Fish Wt	76,829							
	3.63 Kg	March 2023		Next Input D		Droboble i	n 2024	
Next Fallow Date (S Recent (last 4 wks)				Next Input Da	Any escapes	Probable i		N
If yes, detail:	disease proc			<u> </u>	Any escapes	s (SILCE Idst	visit):	IN
Movement Record	s							
1. Movement record	ds available f	or inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe							28/02/2017	
3. Are records com		•						Y
4. Are movement re				>				Y
5. Are records com		•						Y
6. Are health certific	cates for intro	ductions (out	(ith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	-							
1. Are any moveme		ut by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys				•				Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed	of?			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	•	d correctly ente						Ŷ
4. Recent mortality	•	at minal mortal		· 10/10, 0.88%	6(676) - 3/10, 2	2.18% (1674	1) - 26/09, 1.7	/3% (1329) -
5. Evidence of rece If yes, facility nos/no		••		roacon:				·
					and 6 ainea inr	ut Transfor	r mortality of '	0.00/ (1.070
5 and 6 handling fol fish) in previous sto						ut. Hanslei	monality of a	2.270 (1,072
6. Any other peaks				the peaks in	mortanty			N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				Y
If yes, detail action:				5 & 6. Gills an				
8. Have 'mortality e	vents' been r	eported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sl	heet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: TMS	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? TMS - weekly lice counts	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
 Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any <i>increased (unexplained)</i> mortality at the site been included? 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health status, certification if required)? 	Y Y Y Y
 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site? 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: 	<u>ү</u> Ү Ү
Results of Surveillance 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? 3. Any significant results? If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	Y Y N
Records checked between: 28/02/2017 and 12/10/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13

Additional Case Information:

Site used to hold split of fish from other sites.

No letharic or moribund fish observed. Water dark in colour - difficult to see stock on site. No issues or concerns. Slight increased mortality, especially cage 5 & 6 - suspected AGD, awaiting some results. Mortality dropped across the site in past week.

Physical and freshwater treatments - 3 x hydolicer, 2 FW treatments one on transfer 13 Aug and the other 29 September

Inspection completed by audited by

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI												
Case no:	2022-04	487	Site No:		FS0567			Date of v		12/ ⁻	10/2022	
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI		I	
Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	0:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D.	17
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No												
Fish nos	1	2	3									
Pool Group												
Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
Average weight			3.6000									
Sex	N/A		N/A									
Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
Stock Origin	сл Migdale	L Applecross	N Applecross									

	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:											
	VMD only - 17 samples														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0														

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

,							
Case Number:	2022-0487		Site No:	FS0567		Insp:	
Date of Visit	12/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or			10	00	
species	compartment in Number of sup	ncluding third country	0	_	18 10	26 14	0
			_				
Movements off	Frequency of n Number of des		0		6	10 10	6
Exposure via water	Number of des	Site contacts			6-10	10	J
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through		1-5	0-10		
farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I			4		
uiseases)		n or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III	1	2	4		2
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
	-	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstrean	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters					
processors			0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				0
	-	from zone or compartment of		•			
	equivalent stat		4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	n from Category ∨ farm	10				0
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5	1			0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of L	inpasteurised feed		1			
Use of unpasted ised ieeus	Feeding unpas	•	5				0
Biosecurity	r coung unpue	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0		2		1
		taff and equipment	0		2		1
	-			· ·	2		
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes						
i lationn access to cayes	No		0				0
	10		2	J			
					Total		17
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of iss	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0487		Site No:	FS0567	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?			Ν
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed	quivalent) fallowed synchronously o	n a single y	/ear class basis?	Y
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as v				Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relev	ant to the s	site and CoGP Farm	Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)		Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the	e CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels beli records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatme	ent in the C	OGP during the period that	Ν
8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. saln	<i>nonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a le	evel of 3 or	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Ν
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see c	omment.		N/A
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant w	elfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	Ν
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C</i> . e				Y
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?			Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions	s taken had a significant impact upo	n the lice le	evels recorded?	Y
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	out in cooperation between participa	ating farms	?	Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site,	where fewer populations or part pop	ulations are	e held without treatment for	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage	ment procedure with waypoints des	cribing set	actions to deal with recognised	Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no ple	ase detail r	reasons.	Y
Containment Inspection				
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage			-	N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	• • •	Detail belov	∨)	Y
seal pro nets, bird nets, double meshed b	ottom			
If other, detail below:				
 Have escape incidents or events been exp 	origneed on or in the visibility of the	aito oineo th	o lost EUL increation?	N
	•	ate since th		IN
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip				
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		4 4 27 5	4 47)	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo				
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	a local fisheries trusts forthwith (whe	re they exis	(COGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail			
O If all make ware device a state of the		al sure a	elector alver by Or III t	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		·		
9. What action was taken to prevent and minin		ot covered i	in code but could	
be considered under satisfactory measur		doteil		V
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	gards to containment? If no, please	detail reas	son(s)	1

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0487 S	ite No: FS0567	
Date of Visit: 12/10/2022	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located with If N, no further questions require completion	•	Y
Points of Compliance for Both Farm Ma 2. Has a current farm management agreed 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for insp 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant for 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of co 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of re	ment or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare ection? arm management area? site(s) to which it applies? ommencement of the agreement or statem	ed? Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Managen 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimum farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccination 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximum individual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangen fish farm in the area or the individual farm	health standards for the stocks to be intro on requirements for stocks held in the area of fish which may be stocked into the area m stocking density of any pen on any farm ments for the storage and disposal of any	a or farm? a or farm? n in the area or the Y
Arrangements for The Management of S 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement		pers and treatments?
 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availabil of statement? 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requirer lice on farms in the area or individual farm 16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst used on farms in the area or individual farm 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranged 	nents for the sensitivity testing of available s? ances under which biological controls and ms?	e treatments for sea Y
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumst area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arranger or individual farms?		r removed from the

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable ha	arvest practices on farms in the area or individ	dual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement?	or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	tes covered by the Y
covered by the agreement or statement?	dstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	on any site Y
parties to the agreement?	t include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and ope 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	erated in accordance with the agreement or st the FMAg/S? 20-Aug-21	tatement? Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2022-0487	Date of visit: 12/10/2022									
Site No:	FS0567	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
		1									
			_				_				
			_				_				
			_				_				
			_				_				
			_				-				
			_				-				
			-				-				
	-		_				-				
	+		_								
			_								
		Ì									
Damant Courses				-							
Report Summary Case Type ECI FHR amended			and a	-							
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
EUI EUR amondad	01/11/2022 12/12/2022										
	12/12/2022										
	+										
	+										
	+										
	1										
	1										





AMENDED FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0169

 SITE NO
 FS0567

 CASE NO
 20220487

DATE OF VISIT 12/10/2022 SITE NAME Furnace INSPECTOR

This report replaces the fish health report R25 issued on 3 November 2022, amending the section relating to mortality event reporting and the section concerning transporter records. The previous report should be discarded.

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained. Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 24 November 2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/