FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2022-0496				Date of visit:	18/10/2022	
Time spent on site:	.5hrs	N	/lain Inspector	: _		
Site No: FS0770 Business No: FB0398	Site Name: Business Name:	Duartmore Loch Duart Ltd				
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 VMD	4	5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 9.7	Thermometer No:	T152]	FHI 045 comple	ted	
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type:	F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving	fish present?	N If yes, see ad	lditional inforn	nation/clinical sco	ore sheet.	
Clinical signs of disease observe	d?			nation/clinical sco		
Gross pathology observed?		N If yes, see ad	lditional inforn	nation/clinical sco	ore sheet.	
Diagnostic samples taken?		N				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detai	l reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

Fish were vaccinated with Alphaject Micro6 and PD1 wk39

Fish on site are from companies own broodstock (Geisgeil FS0885) and have been reported to be performing very well with few disease challenges since input.

Costia is generally the primary health challenge experienced on the site, however it has not been an issue this cycle with only one formalin bath conducted in July 22.

Fish appeared in good physical health, however some fin damage was noted across the site.

Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy externally and internally.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	ıe: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0496		Site No:	FS0770	O .			
Date of Visit:		18/10/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	7
2. Changes made to	•	,	·				N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		23	Facilities sto	cked	21	No facilitie	es inspected	23
Species	SAL							
Age group	22 S1							
No Fish	523,602							
Mean Fish Wt	60g					+		
		No plan		Novt Input D	oto (Cito)	January 2	2	
Next Fallow Date (S		No plan.		Next Input D				l N
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	ems?		ľ	Any escapes	s (since last	VISIT) ?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Record	S							
1. Movement record	ls available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		·					22/09/2022	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	?					Y
4. Are movement re		•						Y
								· V
5. Are records comp		•						N/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	ductions (outv	vitn GB) avalla	able?				IN/A
Transport Records	•							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	isiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a sys					-			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nepoction?						Y
•		•			Other (detail	\		
2. How are mortalitie					Other (detail	,		
If all an elete!				ns on site, bef	ore being trans	sterred to th	ie skip at Bad	call for uplift
If other detail:		d waste carrie						
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality ((lact 1 wke):		Wk39: 175 ((0.06%)	0.03%), Wk38	3: 164 (0.03%)	, Wk37: 19 ²	4 (0.04%), WI	k36: 321
•	•	turnical marta						N
5. Evidence of recer		* *		,				IN
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	iring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:				21: 2.553 (0.4	4%) both attrib	outed to vac	cination	
7. Have increased (170) Doill attill	atou to vac	on lation.	N/A
,	unexplained)	mortanties be	on reported to	, vot or i i i i				. 4// (
If yes, detail action:	rontal basis	ported to CIII	Olf no anter	dotoilo an ma	tolity overter a	hoot		NI/A
8. Have 'mortality ev	rents been re	ported to FHI	r ii no, enter (uetaiis on moi	tality events sl	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 22/09/2020	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0496	Insp:					
Date of Visit	18/10/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
DA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Tramber er deet	Site contacts	0		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
ooo or ampaoroamooa roodo	Feeding unpas	•	5				ű
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0]			0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		22
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2022-0496	Site No:	FS0770
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problems	in the previous 4 years?	
	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or medications)	icluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels believed are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salr.</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or d that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	•	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		
	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, visea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations are	e neid without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Increation		
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	e due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Triple screened Top nets on tanks	Pest control	.,
If other, detail below:		
Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	ters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
	reed with local wild fish interests and was pern	nission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		son(s)
To. 13 the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	oun(ə)

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

,	FII 009, Version 13					issued by. Fini					
Case no:	2022-04	96 Si	te No:	FS0770			te of visit/ mpling:	18/10	0/2022 18/		
Priority samples:	VI		ВА	PA		MG	HI				
Time sampling starts/ends:	10:3		11:00:00	Ξ.	Inspector	:		VMD No.	4		
Environmental conditions	: 1	Indoors	2	3		4	5	ш			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА	MG		VI	PA	Т	otal Samples		
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No											
	F1-16										
Fish nos	F1-16										
Pool Group	0.41										
Species	SAL										
Average weight	60g										
Sex	N/A										
Water Type	FW										
Stock Origin Facility No	Duartmore Hatchery FS0062										
a reacouty isin	ΙΔ1										

10/2020 Additional Sample Information: Fish dispatched by TMS overdose.														
0 Total Tests assigned 0														

Site No: FS0770

Case No: 2022-0496

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0496			Date of visit:	18/10/2022	2					
Site No:	FS0770	Inspector:									
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
ŕ	i i	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp			
	1										
	1										
Report Summary											
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp								
ECI, CNI, VMD	24/10/2022										





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business NoFB0398Date of Visit18/10/2022Site NoFS0770Site NameDuartmoreCase No20220496Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 24/10/2022

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/