FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020		
Case No: 2022-0497			Date of visit: 10/11/2022		
Time spent on site:	hrs	Main Inspe	ector:		
Site No: FS0681 Business No: FB0061	Site Name: Business Name:	Ormsary Hatchery Landcatch Natural Selection	n Ltd		
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6		
Water Temp (°C): 13.4	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed		
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:		
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving of Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional in	nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet. nformation/clinical score sheet.		
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:					

Additional Case Information:

Site thermometer used due to biosecurity protocols on site.

No issues noted on site, start stripping broodfish held on site began in August, slightly earlier than usual. No fungus observed on ova and these are being stored in incubators, no dead eggs observed.

Site also holding eyed ova from Iceland (Benchmark Genetics - Vogavik), these arrived on site 05/10/22 and first sorting of ova conducted 10/10/22 - 486 dead eggs removed from the whole consignment. A further consignment from Iceland is due during week 44, 2022.

Most production for Bakkafrost, however, do stock for Loch Duart. All batches are kept separate.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0497		Site No:	FS0681				
Date of Visit:		10/11/2022]		Inspector(s)	:		1
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ils						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	
2. Changes made to	•	Í	·				N	
Site Details (includ	o cloanor fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities	c cicarici iis	1	Facilities sto	rked	1	No facilitie	es inspected	1
Species	SAL	SAL	i aciiilica ato	I		140 facilitie	Т	
Age group	Ova (green)							
No Fish	1,842,764	1,507,118						
Mean Fish Wt	0.1g	0.1g						
Next Fallow Date (Si		May 2023		Next Input Da	te (Site)	Nov 2022		
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escape			N
If yes, detail:	alocase proble	51110 :			rary cocape	3 (311100 1431	violt):	14
4. Are movement red 5. Are records comp 6. Are health certificator Transport Records 1. Are any movemen If yes, is there a systematical	lete and corre ates for introd ats carried out	ectly entered? luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able? usiness (not us	-			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a		•			la sia sasta d			Y
How are mortalitiesother detail:	es aisposea o	ſ <i>?</i>			Incinerated	- on site		
			10					
3. Mortality records of	•	correctly ente						Ť
4. Recent mortality (t		nd Ova, no mor	talities noted	I in Ormsary		NI NI
5. Evidence of recen		* *		/				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	tacility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased (u	nexplained) i	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events s	sheet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records				
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?		N		
If yes, detail:				
If other, detail:				
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y		
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?		Y		
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?		N		
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?				
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y		
Biosecurity Records				
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y		
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scot	tish Ministers or veterinary professional of any			
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?		Y		
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the present	ce or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease			
is detected been included and how and when that will be notifi	ied to Scottish Ministers?	Y		
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked	on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y		
health status, certification if required)?				
Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented	between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y		
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visit				
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place	· · ·	Y		
aquaculture animals held on site?				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemen	ited on site?	Y		
If no, detail:				
Results of Surveillance				
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or o	n behalf of, the business?	Y		
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y		
3. Any significant results?		N		
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
Records checked between: 0	1/11/21 to 10/10/22			

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0497		Site No:	FS0681		Insp:	
Date of Visit	10/11/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
ороскоо Станата и постава	Number of sup	ocluding third country	0			14	5
Movements off	ļ		1 0			10	10
wovernents on	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	1.14.11.50.01.450	Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	Ì			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				O
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		33 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2
Case No: 2022-0497	Site No:	FS0681
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
Has the site experienced sea lice problem		
	equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	vear class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lie	cenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in swell as access to suitable biological and/or medications)	ncluding deltamethrin,
	gement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
	d standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	elow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sa</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the per	<i>Imonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or iod that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	n is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	nistered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
11. Has any other action been taken (where		
	ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le	
	d out in cooperation between participating farms	
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site sea lice?	, where fewer populations or part populations ar	e held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manag scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice	ement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stock	s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection		
•	age due to predators in the current or previous p	roduction cycles?
	the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
Site inside, drains	· · · · · ·	,
If other, detail below:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	reperienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the	ne last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No sk	• •	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Min		4.47\
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.	
o. have these been reported to the SSPO at	nd local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exi-	st)? (COGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover es	capees? If yes give detail	
If gill nets were deployed was this action a	agreed with local wild fish interests and was perr	mission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)		
	nimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measu		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with	regards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) Y

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Case No:	2022-0497			Date of visit:	10/11/2022				
Site No:	FS0681	Inspector:							
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification							
ricounio Cummany		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp	
					-				
							_		
Donort Curemen			1	1					
Report Summary	Date	lnon	ond Language						
Case Type ECI, CNI	05/12/2022	Insp	2 nd Insp						
201, 0111	00/12/2022								
	Ī								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0061 **DATE OF VISIT** 10/10/2022

SITE NO FS0681 SITE NAME Ormsary Hatchery

Case No 20220497 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 05/12/22

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/