| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | Date of is | sue: 12/05/2020 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Case No: 2022-0505 | | | | Date of visit: 1 | 8/10/2022 |
| Time spent on site: 4h | nrs | N | lain Inspector: | | |
| Site No: FS0361 Business No: FB0125 | Site Name: Business Name: | Sian Bay Scottish Sea Farm | ns Ltd | | |
| Case Types: 1 ECI 2 | 2 CNI 3 SLI | 4 VMD | 5 | 6 | |
| Water Temp (°C): 12.8 | Thermometer No: | T152 | ן ו | FHI 045 complete | ed |
| Observations: | Region: HI | Water type: | S | CoGP MA: | M-1 |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving | fish present? | Y If yes, see ad | ditional inform | ation/clinical sco | re sheet. |
| Clinical signs of disease observed | 1 ? | | | ation/clinical sco | |
| Gross pathology observed? | | N If yes, see ad | ditional inform | ation/clinical sco | re sheet. |
| Diagnostic samples taken? | | N | | | |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry | out intended visit deta | il reason below: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Additional Case Information:

Mortality events:
9th August 2021 - 1.5% (2,611)
16th August 21 - 1.9% (3,215)
23rd August 21 - 2.8% (5,484)
30th Aug 21 - 1.8% (2,706)
4th Oct 21 - 3.2% (339)
25th Apr 22 - 2.4% (9,403)
All attributed to gill health and viral disease

Aquagen stock. Site manager has reported good growth rates and reports that fish are performing well. Peroxide treatment completed in wk40 to promote gill health.

A seal was observed by site staff in pen 7 on 26/09. Reported to have entered the net over the handrail and was directed out over a lowered side net. Net has been checked with cameras and ROV and site manager has confirmed no holes have been discovered. The site was satisfactory with regards to containment on the day of inspection.

Fish came on from Barcaldine smolt unit.

Internal biologist visits the site every quarter. Last report from Q3 details AGD as the main cause of morbidity on the site. Fish will be split down between Sian Bay and Kempie Bay end of November. Live haul harvests.

Lumpfish are due to arrive on the site in November 22 from Otterferry. No cleanerfish were stocked at the time of inspection.

Fish were sitting deep in the water so were difficult to observe. Fish that were captured in the box net were inspected and appeared in good physical health. 2 runt mortalities observed across the site. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy externally and internally and demonstrated a strong feeding response.

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | | Issu | ed by: FHI | | | Date of issu | e: 12/05/2020 |
|--|---|---|--|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Case No: | 2022-0505 | | Site No: | FS036 | 1 | | | |
| Date of Visit: | | 18/10/202 | 2 | | Inspector(s |): | | 1 |
| Registration/Author | risation Deta | ails | | | | | | |
| 1. Business/site deta | | | site representa | ative? | | | Y | 1 |
| 2. Changes made to | • | , | · | | | | Υ | 1 |
| Site Details (include | e cleaner fis | sh for all sec | tions) | | | | | |
| Total No facilities | | 10 | Facilities sto | cked | 10 | No facilitie | s inspected | 10 |
| | SAL | | | I | | | | |
| • | 22 S1 | | | | | | | |
| | 373,430 | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | |
| | 1.3kg | 1 | | Novt Innut D | oto (Cito) | lonuom. O | | |
| Next Fallow Date (Si | • | July 23 | | Next Input D | | January 24 | | |
| Recent (last 4 wks) of | lisease probl AGD | lems? | | | Y Any escape | es (since last | visit)? | Y |
| Movement records Date of last inspect Are records completed. Are movement records completed. Are records completed. Are health certification. Transport Records Are any movement fyes, is there a systematical. | etion: ete and corrected available ete and corrected for introducts carried out | ectly entered le for dead fi ectly entered ductions (out | ? sh and waste? ? with GB) availa | able? usiness (not u | | | 27/04/2021 | Y N/A N/A N/A |
| Mortality Records | | | | | | | | - |
| Mortality records a | vailable for i | nspection? | | | | | | Y |
| 2. How are mortalitie | | • | | | Incinerated | - on site | | |
| If other detail: | o diopocod c | ,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | momorated | 011 0110 | | |
| 3. Mortality records of | omplete and | L correctly on | torod? | | | | | |
| • | • | Correctly em | | 0.40() \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ | 000 (0.40() | MILOO 004 (0 | 00() 14/1 40 | 1.40 (00() |
| 4. Recent mortality (I | | | | 0.1%), Wk38: | 320 (0.1%), | WK39: 664 (C | .2%), WK40: | |
| 5. Evidence of recen | | • | | | | | | N |
| If yes, facility nos/no | mortality per | facility/no st | ock per facility | /reason: | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks in | • | • • | | | | | | Y |
| | | nal informatio | | | | | | |
| 7. Have increased (u | nexplained) | mortalities be | een reported to | vet or FHI? | | | | N/A |
| If yes, detail action: | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Have 'mortality eve | ents' been re | ported to FH | I? If no, enter | details on mo | rtality events | sheet. | | Y |

| Treatments and Medicines Records | |
|--|---|
| 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? | Y |
| If yes, detail: TMS | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspection? | Y |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? | Y |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? | Y |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? | Y |
| | |
| Biosecurity Records | |
| Biosecurity records available for inspection? | Y |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? | Y |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any | |
| increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? | Y |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease | |
| is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? | Y |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher | Y |
| health status, certification if required)? | |
| | |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise | Y |
| transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? | |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of | Y |
| aquaculture animals held on site? | |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? | Y |
| If no, detail: | |
| | |
| Results of Surveillance | |
| 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? | Y |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? | Y |
| 3. Any significant results? | N |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). | |
| | |
| Records checked between: 27/04/2021 - 12/10/2021 | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | | Date of | of issue | : 12/05/2020 |
|---|------------------------------|--|------------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| Case Number: | 2022-0505 | | Site No: | FS0361 | | Insp: | |
| Date of Visit | 18/10/2022 | | No of m | Score | | | |
| Live fish movements | | | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | Frequency of m | novements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 5 |
| with GB) of susceptible species | | novements on from equivalent zone or | 0 | 0 | 40 | 200 | |
| Species | compartment in Number of sup | ocluding third country | 0 | | 18 10 | 26 14 | 5 |
| | ļ | | 1 | | | | |
| Movements off | Frequency of m | | 0 | | | 10 | 10 |
| Exposure via water | Number of des | Site contacts | | | 6-10 | 10 | 3 |
| Water contacts with other | Farm is protect | ed (secure water supply through | , <u> </u> | I-3 | 0-10 | | |
| farms (holding species | disinfection or l | | 0 | | | | |
| susceptible to same | | or in a coastal zone with category I | 1 | 2 | 4 | | 2 |
| diseases) | | or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category III | 1 | 2 | 4 | | |
| | | or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | Farm is on-line | or in a coastal zone with category V | | | | | |
| | farms upstream | or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Management practices | | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with | Any processing | plant discharging into adjacent waters | | | | | |
| processors | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| On farm processing within | No on farm pro | cessing | 0 | | | | 0 |
| the rules of the directive | Processing own | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | from MS of equivalent status from zone or compartment of | 2 | 1 | | | |
| | equivalent statu | | 4 | | | | |
| | | from Category III farm | 8 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | from Category V farm | 10 | | | | |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | e only processed. | 0 | <u>.</u> | | | 0 |
| products | Common proce | sses with other farms | 3 | 1 | | | |
| | - | for waste from other farms | 5 | | | | |
| Llos of uppostourised foods | No fooding of u | npasteurised feed | |]] | | | |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | Feeding unpas | • | 5 | | | | 0 |
| Diagoguritu | r eeding unpas | Number of sites | |] 2 or 3 | ≥ 4 | | |
| Biosecurity Contacts with other sites | Sites operating | from single shorebase | 1 | | | | |
| Contacto war carer case | | aff and equipment | 0 | | 2 | | 1 |
| | | an and equipment | 0 | <u> '</u> | | | |
| Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| footbaths etc | No | | 1 | | | | |
| CoGP/Regulator | • | | | J | | | |
| Practices in accordance | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| with regulator or industry code of practice | No | | 3 | | | | |
| odde of practice | | | | ı | | | |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | No | | 2 | | | | |
| | | | , | • | | | |
| | | | | | Total | | 26 |
| | | | | | Rank | | HIGH |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of iss | ue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0505 | Site No: | FS0361 | |
| Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallow 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? | wed synchronously on a single ynd bath sea lice medications (in | cluding deltamethrin, | N Y Y |
| 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)? | ent or statement relevant to the s | site and CoGP Farm | Υ |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specif | · | ₋egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) | Y Y |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggest records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) | ed criteria for treatment in the C | oGP during the period that | N |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records a | | above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or | N |
| If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to | | ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) | N/A N |
| 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other a suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con | | | Υ |
| 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a s 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperat 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer po sea lice? | ion between participating farms | ? | N/A Y Y Y |
| 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation? | e with waypoints describing set a | actions to deal with recognised | Υ |
| 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice | count data? If no please detail r | easons. | Υ |
| Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation ex Sealpro nets Tensioned nets Top nets If other, detail below: | | | Y Y |
| 3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where to Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries). | they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4 | 4.17) | Y Y Y |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes g | ive detail | | N/A |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) | wild fish interests and was perm | nission given by Scottish | N/A |
| What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to contain | Nets are checked with o | cameras and ROV prior to any | activity. |
| | | | |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Case No: 2022-0505 | Site No: FS0361 | |
| Date of Visit: 18/10/2022 | Inspector: | |
| Point of Compliance | | |
| 1. Is the farm under inspection located v | within a farm management area? | Y |
| If N, no further questions require comple | etion. | |
| Points of Compliance for Both Farm | Management Agreements and State | ements |
| 2. Has a current farm management agre | | |
| 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in | | Y |
| 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan | nt farm management area? | Υ |
| 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far | m site(s) to which it applies? | Υ |
| 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of | commencement of the agreement or | statement? Y |
| 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of | review? | Υ |
| Arrangements for Fish Health Manag | jement | |
| 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm? | um health standards for the stocks to b | pe introduced to the area or Y |
| 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina | ation requirements for stocks held in the | ne area or farm? |
| 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie | es of fish which may be stocked into the | ne area or farm? |
| 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm? | mum stocking density of any pen on ar | ny farm in the area or the |
| 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa | • | of any dead fish from any |
| Arrangements for The Management of | of Sea Lice | |
| 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem | nents for the sharing of data on sea lice | e numbers and treatments? |
| 14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement? | ability and the use of medicines on farm | ns covered by the agreement Y |
| 15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requinulate on farms in the area or individual fa | | vailable treatments for sea |
| | | ole and cleaner field are to be |
| Does the FMAg/S identify the circun used on farms in the area or individual f | | on farms within the area? |
| 17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang | gements for synchronous treatments of | on farms within the area? |
| Live Fish Movements | | |
| 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm? | nstances when live fish may be introdu | |
| 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms? | gements for the movement of live fish | on and off sites in the area |

| FHI 059, Version 13 | Issued by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable | le harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi | dual farms? |
| date when a farm or area may be restor | | |
| agreement or statement? | one or more year classes may be stocked onto sit | |
| Does the FMAg/S identify whether to covered by the agreement or statement | proodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept ? | on any site |
| Point of Compliance for Farm Manag 24. Does the farm management agreen parties to the agreement? | pement Agreements Only nent include arrangements for persons to become | e, or cease to be, N/A |
| Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and 26. What is the version no/date of issue | operated in accordance with the agreement or see of the FMAg/S? Feb-22 | tatement? Y |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | mi uba, version 15 | | | | | | | 15506 | за бу. гпі | | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|---------------------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|
| | Case no: | 2022-0 | 505 | Site No: | | FS0361 | | | ate of visit/ ampling: | | 18/10 | 0/2022 | 18/ |
| | Priority samples: | VI | | ВА | | РА | | MG | ampling. | нι[| | | |
| | Time sampling starts/ends: | | 00:00 | 14:3 | | | Inspecto | | | ١ | /MD No. | | 13 |
| | Environmental conditions: | 1 | Dry | 2 | Cloudy | 3 | Sunny | 4 W | /indy | 5 | _ | | |
| | Summary samples | HIST | | ВА | | MG | | VI | | РА | Т | otal Sa | mples |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | dd Fish/Pools - click | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool/Fish No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fish nos | F1-2 | F3-4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Species | SAL | SAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Average weight | 1.3kg | 1.3kg | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sex | N/A | N/A | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Water Type | SW | SW | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock Details | Stock Origin Facility No | Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328 | Barcaldine Smolt Unit FS1328 | | | | | | | | | | |

| 10/2022 | 10/2022 Additional Sample Information: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Fish dispatched by percussive blow. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | 0 Total Tests assigned 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Site No: FS0361

Case No: 2022-0505

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

| Case No: | 2022-0505 | | | Date of visit: | 18/10/2022 | 2 | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|---------|------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Site No: | FS0361 |] | | Inspector: | | | | | | | | |
| Results Summary | Freq. | | | Date of Notification | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | , i | Database | Insp | Phone | Insp | Writing | Insp | 2 nd Insp | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Danast Com | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Report Summary | Data | lnon | and I | | | | | | | | | |
| Case Type | Date 24/10/2022 | Insp | 2 nd Insp | | | | | | | | | |
| ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD | 24/10/2022 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 18/10/2022

 Site No
 FS0361
 Site Name
 Sian Bay

 Case No
 20220505
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 17/11/2022