| FHI 059, Version 13 | lssเ | ied by: FHI | Date of issue: 12/05/2020 |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Case No: 2022-0546 | | | Date of visit: 10/11/2022 |
| Time spent on site: 2 | Hours | Main Inspecto | pr: |
| Site No: FS0612 Business No: FB0341 | Site Name: Business Name: | Loch Merkland Migdale Smolts Ltd | |
| Case Types: 1 ECI | 2 CNI 3 VMD | 4 5 | 6 |
| Water Temp (°C): 9.12 | Thermometer No: | Т309 | FHI 045 completed |
| Observations: | Region: HI | Water type: F | CoGP MA |
| Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? | - | N If yes, see additional infor | mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. |
| UNI/REG only - if unable to carry | out intended visit detail rea | ason below: | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Additional Case Information:

Site inspection and paper work conducted by **setting**, supervised by **setting**.

Only 3 stocked cages left onsite. Site is due to fallow by the end of November. Mortality has been very low throughout the current production cycle.

From the physical inspection of the site, the water was very dark and peaty, therefore visibility was limited. Fish sampled for VMD appeared healthy.

Fish currently in withdrawl for AQUACEN (Formalin)

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | | Issu | ied by: FHI | | | Date of issu | e: 12/05/2020 |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Case No: | 2022-0546 |] | Site No: | FS0612 | 2 | | | |
| Date of Visit: | | 10/11/2022 | 2 | | Inspector(s) |): | | |
| Registration/Author 1. Business/site det | | | ite represent: | ative? | | | V | 1 |
| 2. Changes made to | - | checked by a | ne representa | | | | Y | 1 |
| Site Details (includ | le cleaner fis | sh for all sect | tions) | | | | | |
| Total No facilities | | 20 | Facilities sto | ocked | 3 | No facilitie | s inspected | 20 |
| Species | SAL | | | | | | 1 | |
| Age group | 2022 | | | | | | | |
| No Fish | 114,833 | | | | | | | |
| Mean Fish Wt | 55g | | | | | - | - | |
| Next Fallow Date (S | | 11/2022 | | Next Input Da | ate (Site) | 05/2023 | | |
| Recent (last 4 wks) | | | | | Any escape | | visit)? | N |
| If yes, detail: | | | | | ,, | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Movement Record | s | | | | | | | |
| 1. Movement record | | or inspection? | | | | | | Y |
| 2. Date of last inspe | | | | | | | 29/10/2019 | |
| 3. Are records comp | | ectly entered | ? | | | | | Y |
| 4. Are movement re | | • | | ? | | | | Y |
| 5. Are records comp | | | | | | | | Y |
| 6. Are health certific | | • | | able? | | | | Y |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Transport Records | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 1. Are any moveme | | it by (or on be | half) of the bu | usiness (not us | ing a STB)? | | | N |
| If yes, is there a sys | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | • | | | |
| Mortality Records | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Mortality records | available for i | inspection? | | | | | | Y |
| 2. How are mortalitie | | • | | | Ensiled - on | site | | |
| If other detail: | | te collected b | v Billy Bowie | | | | | |
| 3. Mortality records | | | | | | | | Y |
| | | | |).01%), Wk 41 | (32 0 01%) V | Vk 42 (0 01% | 6) Wk 43 (0 0 |) Wk 44 (5 |
| 4. Recent mortality | (last 4 wks): | | 0.01%) | | (02 0.0170) | 11. 42 (0,017 | o) VIII 40 (0,0 | / WR 44 (0, |
| 5. Evidence of recei | • • • | atvoical morta | | | | | | N |
| If yes, facility nos/no | | •• | | /reason: | | | | |
| | o montainty poi | i luointy/ilo ote | on por raomy | | | | | |
| 6. Any other peaks i | in mortality du | ring period cl | necked? | | | | | N |
| If yes, detail: | | ing period of | | | | | | |
| 7. Have increased (| unexplained) | mortalities be | en reported to | o vet or FHI2 | | | | N/A |
| If yes, detail action: | (intercention) | | | | | | | |
| 8. Have 'mortality ev | ents' been re | eported to EH | 2 If no enter | details on mor | tality events | sheet | | N/A |
| o. Have mortality et | ionto beenne | | . In no, enter | details on mor | cally events a | incot. | | |

| Treatments and Medicines Records | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Recent treatments (see comment)? | N |
| If yes, detail: | |
| If other, detail: | |
| 2. Medicines records available for inspection? | Y |
| 3. Are records complete and correctly entered? | Y |
| 4. Are fish in a withdrawal period? | Y |
| 5. If yes, what treatment(s)? Aquacen | |
| If other, detail: T.M.S | |
| 6. Are medicines stored appropriately? | Y |
| | |
| Biosecurity Records | |
| 1. Biosecurity records available for inspection? | Y |
| 2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered? | Y |
| 3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any | |
| increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included? | Y |
| 4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease | |
| is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers? | Y |
| 5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher | Y |
| health status, certification if required)? | |
| | |
| 6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise | Y |
| transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)? | |
| 7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of | Y |
| aquaculture animals held on site? | |
| 8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? | Y |
| If no, detail: | |
| | |
| Results of Surveillance | V |
| 1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business? | Y |
| 2. If yes, are results available for inspection? | ř N |
| 3. Any significant results? | IN |
| If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). | |
| Records checked between: 29/10/2022 - 10/10/2022 | |
| | |

| F | HI 059, Version 13 | | | | | | | lss | ued by: | FHI | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------|--------|----------|-----|---------|----------|-----|----------|--------|
| | Case no: | 2022-0 | 546 | Site No: | | FS0612 | | | Date of | visit/ | 10/ | 11/2022 | 10/* |
| | Priority samples: | 1/1 | | BA | | PA | | MG | Samplin | g: HI | | | |
| | r nonty samples. | VI | | | | ' ^ | | MO | | | | I | |
| | Time sampling starts/ends: | 12:0 | 0:00 | 12:3 | 0:00 | | Inspecto | or: | | | | o. | 10 |
| | Environmental conditions: | 1 | Indoors | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | | 5 | | l – | |
| | Summary samples | HIST | | BA | | MG | | VI | | PA | | Total Sa | amples |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | dd Fish/Pools - click | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Г | Pool/Fish No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fish nos | 1-6 | 7-14 | 15-20 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pool Group | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Species | SAL | SAL | SAL | | | | | | | | | |
| | Average weight | 85g | 85g | 85g | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sex | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| | Water Type | FW | FW | FW | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ≡ | ≡ | ≡ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Σ | Σ | Σ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ő | õ | Ö | | | | | | | | | |
| ails | | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - | ÷ ÷ | -) - | | | | | | | | | |
| eta | | 83 grun | 83 gru | 3un 83 | | | | | | | | | |
| $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ | | Mill Burn (Old Mill (FS0831) | Mill Burn (Old Mill (FS0831) | SO | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock Details | Stock Origin | | | Mill Burn (Old Mill (FS0831) | | | | | | | | | |
| St | Facility No | 18 | 19 | 20 | | | | | | | | | |

| 11/2022 | Additio | nai Sam | pie intor | mation: | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | I | Total T | ests ass | igned | 0 | I | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

FHI 059, Version 13

Issued by: FHI

| FHI 059, Version 13 | | Issued by: FHI | | | Date | l issue | 12/05/2020 |
|--|------------------------------------|---|----------|------------|---------------|---------|------------|
| Case Number: | 2022-0546 | | Site No: | FS0612 | | Insp: | |
| Date of Visit | 10/11/2022 | | No of mo | ovements/s | supp./dest. | | Score |
| Live fish movements | | | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | >10 | |
| Movements on (from out | | novements on from equivalent MS | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| with GB) of susceptible species | | novements on from equivalent zone or ncluding third country | 0 | 9 | 18 | 26 | 0 |
| | Number of sup | | 0 | 5 | 10 | 14 | 0 |
| Movements off | Frequency of n | novements off | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| | Number of des | | 0 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 |
| Exposure via water | | Site contacts | 0 | 1-5 | 6-10 | | |
| Water contacts with other farms (holding species | Farm is protect disinfection or | ed (secure water supply through | 0 | | | | |
| susceptible to same diseases) | Farm is on-line | or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 2 | 4 | | 2 |
| | farms upstrean | or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 3 | 6 | | |
| | | or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion | 1 | 4 | 8 | | |
| Management practices | | | None | Secure | Unsecure | | |
| Water contacts with processors | Any processing | g plant discharging into adjacent waters | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 0 |
| On farm processing within the rules of the directive | No on farm pro | cessing | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | Processing ow | n fish (re-cycling risk) | 1 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | n from MS of equivalent status | 2 | | | | |
| | equivalent stat | | 4 | | | | |
| | - | n from Category III farm | 8 | | | | |
| | Processing fish | n from Category V farm | 10 | | | | |
| Disposal of fish and fish by- | Site's own was | te only processed. | 0 | | | | 0 |
| products | Common proce | esses with other farms | 3 | | | | |
| | Collection poin | t for waste from other farms | 5 | | | | |
| Use of unpasteurised feeds | No feeding of u | inpasteurised feed | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | Feeding unpas | teurised feed | 5 | | | | |
| Biosecurity | _ | Number of sites | 1 | 2 or 3 | ≥ 4 | | |
| Contacts with other sites | | from single shorebase | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| | Sites sharing s | taff and equipment | 0 | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| Disinfection of equipment | Yes | | 0 | 1 | | | 0 |
| between sites, use of footbaths etc | No | | 1 | | | | |
| CoGP/Regulator | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| Practices in accordance | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| with regulator or industry code of practice | No | | 3 | | | | |
| Platform access to cages | Yes | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| | No | | 2 | | | | |
| | | | | | Total Rank | | 10 LOW |

| Case No: 2022-0546 | Site No: | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|
| | Sile No. | FS0612 |
| Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problem 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e | | year class basis? |
| | enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med d of time? | |
| 4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)? | gement agreement or statement relevant to the s | site and CoGP Farm |
| 5. Are sea lice count records available for ins6. Do records adequately reflect the required | spection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (| Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) |
| 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) | low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C | CoGP during the period that |
| 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sal</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the peri | <i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected? | above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or |
| | Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. n is considered to cause significant welfare prob | lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) |
| suggested criteria for treatment or where C. | istered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica | |
| Are treatments, where conducted, carried Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, | applicable)? ns taken had a significant impact upon the lice le d out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations ar | \$? |
| sea lice? 15. Is there a site specific written lice manag scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice | ement procedure with waypoints describing set | actions to deal with recognised |
| - | s reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail | reasons. |
| Containment Inspection | | |
| Has the site experienced equipment dama Are measures in place to mitigate against | ge due to predators in the current or previous p the predation experienced on site? (Detail belov | - |
| Top Nets Tensioned net If other, detail below: | | |
| Have escape incidents or events been ex If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No ski Have these been reported to Scottish Mini | · · · | he last FHI inspection? N |
| • | orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5. Ind local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist | |
| 7. Were methods (if any) used to recover eso | capees? If yes give detail | |
| 8. If gill nets were deployed was this action a Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) | greed with local wild fish interests and was perr | nission given by Scottish |
| be considered under satisfactory measu | | |
| 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with r | regards to containment? If no, please detail reas | son(s) Y |

Site No: FS0612

Case No: 2022-0546

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

| Case No: | 2022-0546 |] | | Date of visit: | 10/11/2022 | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|------|----------------|-----------------|---------|------|----------------------|
| Site No: | FS0612 |] | | Inspector: | | I | | |
| Results Summary | Freq. | | | Da | te of Notificat | tion | | |
| | | | | Du | te of Hounda | | | |
| | | Database | Insp | Phone | | Writing | Insp | 2 nd Insp |
| | | Database | Insp | | | | Insp | 2 nd Insp |

| | | | |
|------|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Report Summary | | | | |
|----------------|------------|------|----------------------|--|
| Case Type | Date | Insp | 2 nd Insp | |
| ECI, CNI, VMD | 15/11/2022 | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0341 SITE NO FS0612 CASE NO 20220546 **DATE OF VISIT** 10/11/2022 SITE NAME INSPECTOR

Loch Merkland

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

R04

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Date: 15/11/2022

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>