FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0556			Date of visit: 09/11/2022
Time spent on site:	30mins	Main Inspect	or:
Site No: FS1353 Business No: FB0568	Site Name: Business Name:	AGRF (RAS B) The Roslin Institute	
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: LO	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	If yes, see additional info	rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet. rmation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detai	il reason below:	
	Site	e currently fallow.	

Additional Case Information:

This site was intended to be used for disease challenge work, however due to difficulties with the home office licence, the site hasn't been used since authorisation in 2021.

Business is aware of requirement to notify the FHI in advance of any disease work beginning.

Business is hoping the site will be operational by early 2023.

No movements on or off site since authorisation.

FHI 059, Version 1	3		Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/0	5/2020
Case No:	2022-0556		Site No:	FS135	3				
Date of Visit:		09/11/202	2		Inspector(s)):			
Registration/Auth	norisation De	tails							
1. Business/site de			site represent	ative?			Υ	7	
2. Changes made	to details?						Υ]	
Site Details (inclu	ıde cleaner fi	sh for all sec	tions)						
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	ocked	0	No faciliti	es inspected	16	
Species	N/A								
Age group									
No Fish									
Mean Fish Wt									
Next Fallow Date ((Site)	Currently fa	llow.	Next Input D	_ ` '	Unsure.			
Recent (last 4 wks) disease prob	olems?		N/A	A Any escape	s (since last	t visit)?		N/A
If yes, detail:	de								
Movement Recor									N1/A
1. Movement reco		or inspection?					10/10/2021		N/A
2. Date of last insp			0				12/10/2021	_	N/A
 Are records con Are movement i 	•	•		2					N/A
5. Are records con				f					N/A
6. Are health certif	•	•		able?					N/A
Transport Record	ls.								
1. Are any movem		ut by (or on be	ehalf) of the b	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?				N/A
If yes, is there a sy		• •		•	•				N/A
Mortality Records	•								
Mortality records		inspection?							N/A
How are mortali	ties disposed	of?			Other (deta	il)			
If other detail:				niversities app	roved clinical	waste route.			
Mortality records		d correctly en	tered?						N/A
4. Recent mortality				ver been stock	ed.				
5. Evidence of rec		• •							N/A
If yes, facility nos/r	no mortality pe	er facility/no st	ock per facility	//reason:					
6. Any other peaks	s in mortality d	uring period c	hecked?						N/A
If yes, detail: 7. Have increased	(unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported t	o vet or FHI?					N/A
If yes, detail action	• • •	Thortanties be	John Topontou t	- TOLOI I I I I I					
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.								N/A	

Treatments and Medicines Records	NI/A
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	N/A
2. Medicines records available for inspection?3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	N/A
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	14//
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	N/A
6. Are medicines stored appropriately:	
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
 Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered. 	lered?
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary profes	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of	f a listed disease
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (ed	qual or higher Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological u transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containing	
aquaculture animals held on site?	lient of
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	N/A
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	N/A
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	
3. Any significant results?	
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 12/10/2021 - 09/11/2022	

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0556		Site No:	FS1353		Insp:	
Date of Visit	09/11/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	10	26	0
opecies .	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	14	0
Mayaranta aff							0
Movements off	Frequency of n		0			10	0
Exposure via water	i tambér er dec	Site contacts		_	6-10		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ted (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or I	•	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
		or in a coastal zone with category III		_			
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V	1	4	0		
	rarms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of	4				
		n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	Inpasteurised feed	0	,]			0
,	Feeding unpas	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase		1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0		2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		<u> </u>	1			
between sites, use of	No		0				0
footbaths etc	10		1	J			
CoGP/Regulator Practices in accordance	Yes			1			
with regulator or industry			0				
code of practice	No		3				3
Platform access to cages	Yes			1			0
i lationii access to eages	No		2				0
	1.10			J			
					Total		8
					Rank		LOW

Case No:	2022-0556			Date of vis	sit: 09/11/20	022				
Site No:	FS1353			Inspecto	or:					
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification							
•	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
					_					
	_									
	-									
					_					
Report Summary										
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
REG	17/11/202	2								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0568
 Date of Visit
 09/11/2022

 Site No
 FS1353
 Site Name
 AGRF (RAS B)

 Case No
 20220556
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site was found to be fallow.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

No mortality had been observed on site since the last inspection by Marine Scotland.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Fish Health Inspector

Signed:

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/