FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2022-0564			Date of visit: 17/11/2022
Time spent on site: 5h	1	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0375 Business No: FB0224	Site Name: Business Name:	Loch Damph Torridon Smolts Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.5	Thermometer No:	T173	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	· · · · · ·	Y If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

### **Additional Case Information:**

w/b 14/11/2022 2170 (1.4%) fungus, w/b 7/11/2022 1634 (1.0%) fungus, w/b 4/7/2022 9879 (1.1%) post transfer

Fish vaccinated 27/10/2022, touch of fungus in the stock post vaccine. Formalin treatments being administered.

Some fungus evident during inspection.

FHI 059, Version 13	<b>;</b>		Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0564		Site No:	FS0375				
Date of Visit:		17/11/2022			Inspector(s):			ı
Registration/Author	orisation Det	ails						
1. Business/site det			te representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	•	,	·				Υ	
Site Details (include	le cleaner fis	h for all secti	ons)					
Total No facilities	de oleaner ne	20	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilities	sinspected	20
Species	SAL		r dominos sto	l		Tto raomino	mopostou	
Age group	2022							
No Fish	176,677							
Mean Fish Wt	82g							
Next Fallow Date (S		Feb to May	2023	Next Input Da	te (Site)	May/June 2	2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:	Touch of fur					(0.1.00.100.1	, .	
<ol> <li>Date of last inspects</li> <li>Are records complete.</li> <li>Are movement respects.</li> <li>Are records complete.</li> <li>Are health certified.</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>Are any movement.</li> <li>Are a system.</li> </ol>	plete and correctords available end corrected and corrected for introduced and carried outs carried outs carried outs	le for dead fis ectly entered? ductions (outw	ith GB) availa	able? siness (not usi			27/07/2021	N/A N/A N/A N/A
<b>Mortality Records</b>								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						У
2. How are mortaliti	es disposed d	of?			Incinerated -	on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ente	red?					Y
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):		4485 (2.7%)	across the site	e in the last for	ur weeks due	e to fungus	
5. Evidence of rece	nt increased/a	atypical mortal	ties?					Y
If yes, facility nos/no	o mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
as above								
6. Any other peaks		<u> </u>	ecked?					Y
If yes, detail:		al comments						
7. Have increased (	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Rec	ords						
1. Recent treatments (see comme	ent)?			у			
,	TMS Aquacen						
If yes, detail:	(formalin)						
If other, detail:							
2. Medicines records available for	r inspection?			Y			
3. Are records complete and corr	ectly entered?			Y			
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	?			Y			
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		Aquacen	TMS				
If other, detail:							
6. Are medicines stored appropria	ately?			Y			
<b>Biosecurity Records</b>							
1. Biosecurity records available for	or inspection?			у			
2. Has the manner and frequency	of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe	disposal been considered?	у			
3. Has the manner and period in	which the APB will notify S	cottish Ministe	rs or veterinary professional of any				
increased (unexplained) mortality	y at the site been included	?		Y			
4. Has the action that will be take	n in the event that the pres	sence or suspic	cion of the presence of a listed diseas	e			
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher							
health status, certification if required)?							
6. Have the husbandry and biose	curity measures implemen	ited between e	ach epidemiological unit to minimise	Y			
transmission of disease been cov	vered (movement of staff, v	visitors, equipm	nent, live or dead fish etc.)?				
7. Is documentation available reg	arding the measures in pla	ace to maintain	the physical containment of	Y			
aquaculture animals held on site?	}						
8. Have the biosecurity procedure	es been adequately implem	nented on site?	?	Y			
If no, detail:							
Results of Surveillance							
1. Has any animal health surveilla	ance been carried out by, c	or on behalf of,	the business?	N			
2. If yes, are results available for	inspection?						
3. Any significant results?							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under	recent disease problems)						
Records chec	ked between:	27/7/2021 to	17/11/2022				

Case no: 2022-0564 Site No: FS0375 Date of visit/ 17/11/ Sampling:	/2022 17/ <sup>-</sup>
Sampling:	_
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI	
Time sampling 11:00:00 11:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. starts/ends:	7
Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 4 5	
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA To	otal Samples
Add Fish/Pools - click	
Pool/Fish No	
Fish nos 1-20 21-35	
Pool Group	
Species SAL SAL	
Average weight 60g 60g	
Sex N/A N/A	
Water Type FW FW	
Details tail tail	
Stock Origin ig	
සි Facility No 4 17	

Additional Sample Information:  0 Total Tests assigned 0								
	11/2022 Additional Sample Information:							
0 Total Tests assigned 0								

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0564		Site No:	FS0375		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/11/2022		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
1	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3		10	10
Wovernerits on	Number of dest		0			10	6
Exposure via water		Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	i I			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	<del>!</del>	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2	]			
					<b>Total</b> Rank		18 MEDIUM

Case No:	2022-0564		Site No:	FS0375	
Sea Lice Inspection (	Seawater Sites Only)				
1. Has the site experie	nced sea lice problems in the prev	rious 4 years?			
2. Is the CoGP Farm M	lanagement Area (or equivalent)	fallowed synchronously or	n a single ye	ear class basis?	
azamethiphos and ema	access to a range of licenced in-fer amectin benzoate) as well as according a reasonable period of time?				ures, and
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	cumented farm management agre equivalent)?	ement or statement releva	ant to the sit	te and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for inspection? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)			
6. Do records adequate	ely reflect the required standard sp	pecified in the SSI and the	e CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Anne	ex 6)
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salm</i> records are inspected?	nonis) record levels below the sug (CoGP Annex 6)	gested criteria for treatme	ent in the Co	GP during the period	that
	female sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) num 0/6/19) during the period that reco		vel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or
If yes, have these been	reported to the Fish Health Inspe	ectorate? If no, FHI see co	omment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infe	station at a level which is consider	ed to cause significant we	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5	5.3.50)
	reatments been administered or ot reatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is				
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where applicable)?				
·	eatments or the actions taken had	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	ere conducted, carried out in coop		_		
sea lice?	ng strategy for the site, where fewer	er populations or part popu	ulations are	neid without treatme	nt for
	ific written lice management processcalation of a sea lice infestation?	edure with waypoints desc	cribing set a	ctions to deal with red	cognised
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea	lice count data? If no plea	ase detail re	easons.	
0					
Containment Inspecti	i <b>on</b> nced equipment damage due to p	redators in the current or a	previous pro	aduction cycles?	N
•	ce to mitigate against the predation	·	-	•	Y
	ensioned nets			/	
If other, detail below:					
				=	
·	nts or events been experienced o estions 4 – 9. If No skip to questio		ite since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
	corted to Scottish Ministers?	11 10			
•	ported to local DSFB forthwith (wh	ere they exist)? (CoGP –	443754	17)	
	ported to the SSPO and local fisher				.4.17)
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If y	es give detail			
O. K. W.					
8. If gill nets were deplements with Ministers? (Legal, CoG	oyed was this action agreed with learning P - 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	ocal wild fish interests and	d was permi	ission given by Scotti	sn
	en to prevent and minimise the ris		ot covered in	code but could	
	r satisfactory measures of the				
10. Is the site inspecte	d as satisfactory with regards to co	ontainment? If no, please	detail reasc	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Site No: FS0375

Case No: 2022-0564

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2022-0564			Date of visit:	17/11/2022			
Site No:	FS0375	]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
results Cultillary	1 104.	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
	+							
	+							
	+							
			-					
	+							
	+							
	+							
Report Summary			1	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI,CNI,VMD	05/12/2022							
	+							
	+							





# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0224 Date of Visit 17/11/2022
Site No FS0375 Site Name Loch Damph
Case No 20220564 Inspector

# Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

# Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

# Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <a href="https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/">https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</a>