FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020			
Case No: 2022-0591			Date of visit: 06/12/2022			
Time spent on site:	Hours	Main Inspecto	r:			
Site No: FS1336 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Applecross Hatchery Bakkafrost Scotland				
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3	4 5	6			
Water Temp (°C): 12	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed			
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: F	CoGP MA			
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present? Clinical signs of disease observed? Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. N N						
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:				

Additional Case Information:

The site is split into two distinctive sections relating to development stage, a fry section containing 8 45m3 recirculation unit tanks and a separate parr section, consisting of 8 175m3 flow through unit tanks.

Fish in both sections were observed to be in good health, no clinical signs of disease were observed from the physical inspection of the site. Fish were observed shoaling well and responding positively to routine feeding regimes. Mortality has been low in recent months and the fish appear to be progressing well in their development stages.

A mortality event from 22nd - 28th August 2022 is still under internal review following a loss of 53.33% which affected all 8 tanks within the fry section of the site. It is believed that water supplied to the site had become contaminated prior to water intake which lead to a rise in hydrogen sulphide levels leading to significant mortality within the fry section. Following a deep clean of the sites water reserve and facilities, the site was restocked and no further issues have occurred.

The sites own thermometer was used to obtain a water temperature reading for biosecurity reasons.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2022-0591		Site No:	FS1336				
Date of Visit:		06/12/2022	2		Inspector(s):			
Registration/Author								
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	_			_		
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	14	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	Fry	Parr						
No Fish	1,067,082	855,830						
Mean Fish Wt	1.87g	44.68g						
Next Fallow Date (S		Jan 2023		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Feb 2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:				•		(
Movement Records								
Movement record	_	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					25/02/2020	
3. Are records comp		athy antarod	2				23/02/2020	
4. Are movement re		•		,				
								ı
5. Are records comp		•		-61-0				T NI/A
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	uctions (out)	with GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		• •		•				Y
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenar	nce of transpo	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	_				Other (detail))		
If other detail:	Whole fish re							
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent						Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks)·		Week 48 (8) Week 45 (19	16 0.04%), We	ek 47 (2393 0	.07%), Wee	k 46 (10,226,	0.42%),
5. Evidence of recer		tvnical morta		043 0.1170)				N
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
, ,		,						
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period c	hecked?					N
If yes, detail:								
7. Have increased (inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			oportod to					
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' heen re	norted to FH	12 If no enter	details on mor	tality events sk	neet		V
o. Have mortality ev	cina pecinie	ported to I'm	i: ii iio, enilei	details off fillor	tanty events Si	icet.		

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y				
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
If other, detail:					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y				
Biosecurity Records					
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y				
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?					
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y				
health status, certification if required)?					
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of					
aquaculture animals held on site?					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y				
If no, detail:					
Results of Surveillance					
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y				
3. Any significant results?	N				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
Records checked between: 25/02/2020 - 06/12/2022					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2022-0591		Site No:	FS1336		Insp:	
Date of Visit	06/12/2022		ovements/supp./dest. Score				
Live fish movements			C	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	C	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	C	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup	ocluding third country				14	0
Management						10	6
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water	rtamber er des	Site contacts					
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)					0
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
		or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	C	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	C				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	2			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8	3			
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10)			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	C				
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	3			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	5			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	(0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	5			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	C	1	2		2
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	C	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		C)			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				_			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		C				0
code of practice	No		3	3			
Platform access to cages	Yes		C				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM
					· will		

Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years? 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (L. salmonis) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis? 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time? 4. Is there a signed documented farm management agreement or statement relevant to the site and CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
Management Area (or equivalent)? 5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) 7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6) 8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> have exceeded the suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.
Containment Inspection
Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
Pest control Rent Indoor Tanks
If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act) 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no please detail reason(s)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s) Y

		_				_				
Case No:	2022-0591			Date of visit:	06/12/2022					
Olfo No.	E04000									
Site No:	FS1336			Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	. Date of Notification								
,		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp		
Poport Cummany										
Report Summary	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp							
Case Type ECI, CNI	13/12/2022	шър	z insp							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

Business No FB0169 **Date of Visit** 06/12/2022

SITE No FS1336 SITE NAME Applecross Hatchery

Case No 20220591 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed: Date: 08/12/2022
Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/