FHI 059, Version 13	Issue	ed by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0014			Date of visit: 07/02/2023
Time spent on site:	2 Hours	Main Inspecto	r:
Site No: FS0666	Site Name:	Burrastow	4-1
Business No: FB0095	Business Name:	Cooke Aquaculture Scotland L	ta
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-10
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir	-		mation/clinical score sheet.
Clinical signs of disease observ	ved?		mation/clinical score sheet.
Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to car	ry out intended visit detail rea	son below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Additional Case Information:

Paper work and site inspection conducted by

Burrastow have had a successful cycle, very low mortality has occurred onsite since input. There are currently 4 cages stocked and the site plans to fallow the site by July of this year.

From the physical inspection of the stock, fish appeared healthy and were observed responding positively to routine feeding regimes and shoaling well. No clinical signs of disease were observed during the inspection.

The site has recently had a spike in sea lice, sea lice counts were last taken on 03/02/2023 and an average of 4.35 AF was recorded for the site. The site are planning to conduct a hydrolicer treatment as soon as possible. This will be the first sea lice intervention treatment this cycle, prior to this spike the site have had good success keeping sea lice at low levels by employing sea lice skirts at each cage. No physical damage, typical of a high burden of sea lice infestation was observed on the date of inspection.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI	_		Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0014		Site No:	FS0666				
Date of Visit:		07/02/2023	1		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Author	orisation Deta	ıils						
 Business/site det 	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		4	Facilities sto	cked	4	No facilitie	es inspected	4
Species	SAL							
Age group	2021 S0							
No Fish	119,887							
Mean Fish Wt	5.24							
Next Fallow Date (S		05/2023		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	09/2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	•				Any escapes		visit)?	N
If yes, detail:				•		(,	
Movement Record								
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
Date of last insper							03/11/2021	
Are records comp		•						Y
Are movement re	cords availabl	e for dead fis	sh and waste?	•				Y
Are records comp	olete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introd	luctions (outv	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	;							
1. Are any moveme	nts carried out	by (or on be	half) of the bu	ısiness (not us	ing a STB)?			N/A
If yes, is there a sys								
Mortality Records								
Mortality records	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortaliti		•			Other (detail)		
If other detail:	Whole fish S				,	,		
3. Mortality records								Y
·	·	•	Week 5 (278	3, 0.1), Week 4	(860 0.28%)	,Week 3 (87	78 0.28%) We	ek 2 (923
4. Recent mortality		4 : 1 4 - 1	0.29%)					N.
5. Evidence of recei		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	racility/no sto	ock per racility	reason:				
6. Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		3 21,12 01						
7. Have increased (unexplained)	nortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	vents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	heet.		N/A

Tours Associated and Managerian December	
Treatments and Medicines Records	N
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	IN
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	V
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
Ticality Status, Octahoador it required).	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	V
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	'
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	1
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 03/1//2021 - 07/02/2023	

02/2023 Additional Sample Information:														
1	Total To	ests ass	igned	0	1									
					Additional Sample Information: Total Tests assigned O						Additional Sample Information:	Additional Sample Information:	Additional Sample Information:	Additional Sample Information:

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	f issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0014		Site No:	FS0666		Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
openio c	Number of supp	cluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off			I 0			10	6
Movements on	Frequency of m Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water	Trainist or door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through orehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm prod	•	0				0
	Processing own	fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of s	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category ∨ farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3	1			3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5	1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	, 1			0
	Feeding unpast		5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	ı 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2	1			
					Total Rank		16 MEDIUM
					· tarift		5.5111

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0014	Site No:	FS0666	
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previou 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallo 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access can these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	owed synchronously on a single y and bath sea lice medications (in	cluding deltamethrin,	N Y Y
Is there a signed documented farm management agreem Management Area (or equivalent)?	ent or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm	Υ
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Lega			Y
Do records adequately reflect the required standard spec	fied in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the sugges records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ted criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that	N
Have average adult female sea lice (L. salmonis) number or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records		above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or	Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspecto	rate? If no, FHI see comment.		Y
9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered	to cause significant welfare probl	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)	N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is co			Υ
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?			Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a	• •		Y
Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in coopera			Y
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	opulations or part populations are	e held without treatment for	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	re with waypoints describing set a	actions to deal with recognised	Υ
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail r	reasons.	Υ
Containment Inspection			
 Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation e 		•	N
Tensioned nets Top nets	Apericined on site: (Betail Belov	*/	
If other, detail below:			
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or	•	ne last FHI inspection?	N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10)		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?		>	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where			
Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries	s trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes	give detail		
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with loca	I wild fish interests and was norm	nission given by Scottish	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	mia non interests and was peni	modern giron by ocollisin	
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk o	f further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could	
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act			
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to conta	ainment? If no, please detail reas	son(s)	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0014	Site No: FS0666	
Date of Visit: 07/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a form management area?	N.
If N, no further questions require comple	_	Ÿ
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	nt farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	Y Y Y Y
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	pement Im health standards for the stocks to be intro ation requirements for stocks held in the area es of fish which may be stocked into the area	a or farm?
individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on any farm gements for the storage and disposal of any arm?	
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	e treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circumused on farms in the area or individual f	nstances under which biological controls and farms?	d cleaner fish are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	d off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13 Issued by: FHI Date of iss	
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only	Y
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement? 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S? 09/08/2021	Y

Site No: FS0666

Case No: 2023-0014

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

		_				_		
Case No:	2023-0014			Date of visit:	07/02/2023]		
Site No:	FS0666	ı		Inspector:				
Che 110.	1 00000			порсоют.				
Results Summary	Freq.				te of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	D /		- nd -					
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CIVI, SLI, VIVID	14/02/2023							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0095
 Date of Visit
 07/02/2023

 Site No
 FS0666
 Site Name
 Burrastow

 Case No
 20230014
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 14/02/2023