FHI 059, Version 13	!	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
Case No: 2023-0015			Date of visit: 07/02/2023						
Time spent on site:	1 Hours	Main Inspec	tor:						
Site No: FS0088 Business No: FB0095	Site Name: Business Name:	Cloudin Cooke Aquaculture Scotland	Ltd						
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 5	6						
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	Т309	FHI 045 completed						
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-10						
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving fish present?       Y       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.         Clinical signs of disease observed?       Y       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.         Gross pathology observed?       Y       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.         Diagnostic samples taken?       Y       If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.									
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	y out intended visit detail	reason below:							

#### Additional Case Information:

#### Paperwork and site inspection conducted by

On the date of inspection the site was stocked with 11 cages. The site is now on the harvest plan, one cage has already been harvested and two other cages are on starve with plans to harvest this week.

Cloudin have had a successful cycle and have incurred an approximate mortality of 5% since input. Upon physical examination of the stock, fish were observed responding well to routine feeding regimes and were shoaling well. There was 1 fish in cage 2, 2 fish in cage 5 and 3 fish in cage 6 that were observed with severe damage to the tail. Only one fish from cage 5 was able to be removed for closer examination and diagnostic samples were taken from this fish. This was the first fish with this condition witnessed by the site manager who claimed to have not seen a fish with this condition in the history of the site. Other than described above, no other clinical signs of disease were observed on site.

Cloudin has seen a spike in sea lice levels recently. The most recent sea lice count was taken on 03/02/2023 and accounted for an average of 4.02 AF. The site are planning to conduct a hydrolicer treatment as soon as possible. This will be the first intervention treatment for sea lice this cycle. Each pen is fitted with lice skirts and have historically worked very well at this site. Sea lice figures for the cycle have remained very low up until week 4 2023. There was no physical sea lice damage observed on site during the physical inspection of the stock.

18 VMD samples were taken from two fish, cages 1 and 5. No internal clinical signs of disease were observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue: 12/05/2020					
Case No:	2023-0015	]	Site No:	FS0088	3							
Date of Visit:		07/02/2023	3		Inspector(s)	:		I				
Registration/Autho	orisation Det	ails checked by s	ite represent	ative?			Y	1				
2. Changes made to	o details?		ne representa				Y	1				
Site Details (include cleaner fish for all sections)												
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	11	No facilitie	s inspected 12					
Species	SAL			1			1					
Age group	2021 S0					-						
No Fish	285 596					-						
Mean Fish Wt	5 35kg					-						
Next Fallow Date (S	Site)	07/2023	•	Next Input Da	•							
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	lems?		Noxt Input De	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N				
If yes detail:		iems.			Any coodpe	5 (51100 1451	visity:					
n yes, actan.												
Movement Record	s											
1 Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y				
2 Date of last inspe	ection.	inopeouori.					03/11/2021					
3 Are records com	olete and corr	ectly entered?	>				00/11/2021	Y				
4 Are movement re	cords availab	le for dead fis	h and waste?	,				Y				
5 Are records com	olete and corr	ectly entered?						Y				
6 Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (outw	, vith GB) avail:	able?				N/A				
0. Are nealth certific												
Transport Records	2											
1 Are any moveme	nts carried ou	it by (or on be	half) of the h	isiness (not us	ing a STB)2			N				
If yes is there a sys	tem in place	for maintenan	ce of transno	rtation records	2							
ii yes, is there a sys	stern in place	ior maintenan	ce of transpo	ration records	:							
Mortality Records												
1 Mortality records	available for i	inspection?						Y				
2 How are mortality	es disposed o	f?			Other (detai	N						
If other detail:	Whole fich	SEM Shotlan	d		Other (detai	')						
3 Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ared?									
5. Wortanty records	complete and	concetty enti	Wook 5 (56		4 /160 0 120	() Mook 2 (	104 0 16%)	Maak 2 (202				
4. Recent mortality	(last 1 w/ks).		0 17%)	0.05%), Week	4 (100 0.137	6), Week 5 (	194 0.10%), (	Week 2 (203				
5 Evidence of recei	(last 4 wks).	typical mortal	0.1770) litios2					N				
J. LVIGENCE OF TECH	n nciedseu/a	facility/no.cto	nues: ok por facility	lroocon:								
in yes, racility hos/ho	monality per	racinty/no sto	ock per lacility	neason.								
6 Any other peaks	in mortality du	ring pariod of	anakad2									
If yes, detail:		ing period cr	lecked?					IN				
1. Have increased (	unexplained)	montainties be	en reported to	Svelor FHI?				IN/A				
if yes, detail action:				da ta lla	- l'to	h a at		NI/A				
8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.												

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail: T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Ý
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
Aquaculture animals new on site:	T Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1 Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2 If ves, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If ves, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 03/11/2021 - 07/02/2023	

Issued by: FHI

Case Number:	2023-0015	Site No:	FS0088	I	Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/02/2023	No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements		0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of movements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	Frequency of movements on from equivalent zone or compartment including third country	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of suppliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of movements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of destinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water	Site contacts	; O	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected (secure water supply through disinfection or borehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category I farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category III farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line or in a coastal zone with category V farms upstream or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices		None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm processing		1			
the rules of the directive	Processing own fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish from zone or compartment of equivalent status	4				
	Processing fish from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish from Category ∨ farm	10	]			
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own waste only processed.	0				
products	Common processes with other farms	3				3
	Collection point for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of unpasteurised feed	0	i			0
	Feeding unpasteurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing staff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes	0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No	1				
CoGP/Regulator						
Practices in accordance	Yes	0				0
code of practice	No	3				
Platform access to cages	Yes	0	]			0
	No	2				
				Total Rank		
				I VOLINY		

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0015	Site No:	FS0088
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or er 3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as can these be deployed in a reasonable period	in the previous 4 years? quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med l of time?	year class basis? ncluding deltamethrin, chanical control measures, and
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
<ol> <li>5. Are sea lice count records available for insp</li> <li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required</li> </ol>	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. salr</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	<i>nonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare prob	lems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminissuggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. e</i> 11. Has any other action been taken (where a 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> <i>longatus</i> is considered to have welfare implica upplicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	<pre>s levels have exceeded the titions? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)  evels recorded? Y evels recorded? Y e held without treatment for Y</pre>
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons. Y
Containment Inspection 1. Has the site experienced equipment damage 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the Tensioned nets Seal Pronets If other, detail below:	ge due to predators in the current or previous p he predation experienced on site? (Detail belov	w) Y
<ol> <li>Have escape incidents or events been explif Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip</li> <li>Have these been reported to Scottish Minis</li> <li>Have these been reported to local DSFB for</li> <li>Have these been reported to the SSPO and</li> </ol>	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the to question 10 ters? rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4 d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)	4.17) st)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
<ul> <li>8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)</li> <li>9. What action was taken to prevent and mini- be considered under satisfactory measure 10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re-</li> </ul>	greed with local wild fish interests and was perm mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered res of the Act) egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	nission given by Scottish in code but could son(s)

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0015	Site No: FS0088	
Date of Visit: 07/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v If N, no further questions require comple	vithin a farm management area? etion.	Y
Points of Compliance for Both Farm I 2. Has a current farm management agree 3. Is the current FMAg/S available for ins 4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan 5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of 7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	<b>Management Agreements and Statements</b> eement or statement (FMAg/S) been prepare spection? t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or statem review?	s ed? Y Y nent? Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimul farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species 11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxim	ement m health standards for the stocks to be intro ition requirements for stocks held in the area as of fish which may be stocked into the area num stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm? a or farm? a or farm? b in the area or the
individual farm? 12. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual far	ements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice numl	bers and treatments? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	ered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir	ements for the sensitivity testing of available	e treatments for sea Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum	Instances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments on farm	ns within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	r removed from the
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang or individual farms?	ements for the movement of live fish on and	d off sites in the area Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farm	ns? Y
Fallowing		
21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	y which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the ced?	earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto sites cove	red by the Y
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether bro covered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any s	site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become, or cea	ase to be, Y
Management and operation		
25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement or statement of the FMAg/S? 09/08/2021	t? Y

F۲	II 059, Version 13							lss	ued by:	FHI			
	Case no:	2023-00	015	Site No:		FS0088			Date of	visit/	07/0	02/2023	07/(
	Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Samplin	ng: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	13:0	0:00	13:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:		5	VMD No	).	18
	Environmental conditions.	1	Indoors	2		3		4		S			
	Summary samples	HIST	Y	BA	Y	MG	Y	VI		PA		Total Sa	amples
Ac	dd Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No	F1											
	Fish nos	F1	2	3									
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL									
	Average weight	5.35kg	5.35kg	5.35kg									
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A									
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW									
tock Details	Stock Origin	n Furmace	n Fumace	Migdale									
Л	r acinty NO	5	5	1									

)2/2023	J23 Additional Sample Information:													
	9 ∨MD samples taken from cage 1 and cage 5.													
1	1 Total Tests assigned 5													
														•

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI					Date of issue: 12/05/2020					5/2020
Case no:	2023-0015	]	Site No	D:	FS0088		M	ethod of	f killing:			
Date of visit:	07/02/2023	3	Inspec	tor(s):				s	heet Re	elevant:	N	
S for strong preser	nce: M for medium presence: W for	weak pres	sence									
Fish Number		F1										
Time sampled aft	er death (if > 45 minutes)											
External Signs												
Behaviour	Moribund	5										
	Letnargic Hanging vertical	3										
	Flashing											
	Loss of equilibrium											
Body	Dark											
	Distended abdomen											
	Anorexic											
Onereule	Scale Oedema											
Opercula	Shortened											
Haemorrhaging	Throat											
nachtornaging	Ventrum											
	Base of fins											
	Elsewhere											
Eyes	Exophthalmic											
	Enophthalmic (sunken)											
	Cataract											
Cille	Haemorrhagic											
GIIIS	Zoned											
	Necrotic											
Lesions	Flank											
	Elsewhere	S										
Vent	Inflamed	S										
	Trailing faeces	_										
Lice Load	Estimate numbers											
Internal Signe												
	Clear											
Abeneo	Bloody											
Oedema	In tissues											
Heart	Pale/anaemic											
	Granulomas											
	Deformed											
Liver	Petechial haem											
	Gross naem											
	Enlarged											
	Colour number(s)	3										
	Granulomas											
	Lesions											
Pyloric caeca	Petechial haem											
	Tubules mauve											
Onlean	Lack of fat											
spieen	Granulomas	~~										
Gut	No food present											
	Yellow pseudo-faeces	М										
	External haem											
	Internal haem											
Body wall	Haemorrhaging											
Swim bladder	Haemorrhaging											
	Fluid filled											
Kidney	Swollen											
	Granular											
	Liquefied											
General	Parasites present											
	Anaemia											
												-

Case no:	2023-0015

Е

Date of visit:

07/02/2023

S for strong presence: M for medium presence: W for w

Fish Number						
Time campled after	r death (if > 45 minutes)					
External Giano	r death (n > 40 minutes)					 
External Signs	<b>1</b>					
Benaviour	Moribund		 		 	
	Lethargic					
	Hanging vertical					
	Spiralling					
	Flashing					
	Loss of equilibrium					
Body	Dark					
	Distended abdomen					
	Anorexic					
	Scale Oedema					
Opercula	Shortened					
	Flared					
Haemorrhaging	Throat					
nachtornaging	Ventrum					
	Peece of fine		 			
	Dase of fins				 	
<b>F</b>						
Eyes	Exophthalmic					
	Enophthalmic (sunken)					
	Cataract					
	Haemorrhagic					
Gills	Pale					
	Zoned					
	Necrotic					
Lesions	Flank					
	Elsewhere					
Vent	Inflamed					
	Trailing faeces					
Lice Load	Estimate numbers					
Internal Signs						
Ascites	Clear					
	Bloody					
Oedema	In tissues					
Heart	Pale/anaemic					
Tourt	Granulomas					
	Deformed					
Liver	Detochiel heem					
Liver	Petechiai naem		 		 	
	Gross naem		 			
	Enlarged		 		 	
	Colour number(s)					
	Granulomas					
	Lesions					
Pyloric caeca	Petechial haem					
	Tubules mauve					
	Lack of fat					
Spleen	Enlarged					
	Granulomas					
Gut	No food present					
	Yellow pseudo-faeces					
	External haem					
	Internal haem					
Body wall	Haemorrhaging					
Swim bladder	Haemorrhaging					
	Fluid filled					
Kidnev	Swollen					
i danoy	Grev					
	Granular					
General	Parasites present					
General	Angemia					
	Andenna					

#### Additional comments:

Gills photographed look a little pale, this is due to the photo being taken approx. 45 minutes after death. Upon examination immediately post capture, the gills looked fine.

Case No:	2023-0015			Date of visit:	07/02/2023			
Site No:	FS0088	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
MG-AGD	1/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-SAL G POX	1/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG- PARA THER	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-IHN	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-IPN	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-ISA	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-PMCV	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-SAV	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG-VHS	0/1	23/02/2023		23/02/2023		24/03/2023		
MG - Moritella viscosa (VVIS)	0/1	22/03/2023		22/03/2023		24/03/2023		
Vibro Species - VSPE Isolate B	1/1	22/03/2023		22/03/2023		24/03/2023		
SKIN	1/1	24/03/2023		22/03/2023		24/03/2023		
SULC	1/1	24/03/2023		22/03/2023		24/03/2023		
KPAT	1/1	24/03/2023		22/03/2023		24/03/2023		
LPAT	1/1	24/03/2023		22/03/2023		24/03/2023		
Report Summary								
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	14/02/2023							
DIA	21/03/2023							

Site No: FS0088

Case No: 2023-0015

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology





## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0095

 SITE No
 FS0088

 CASE No
 20230015

DATE OF VISIT07/02/2023SITE NAMECloudinINSPECTORInspector

### Section 1: Summary

During a routine fish health inspection of the site 6 fish were observed as having severe erosion to the caudal fin. One fish from cage 5 displaying clinical signs was removed for closer examination and diagnostic sampling.

Histopathological examination revealed bacterial ulcerative dermatitis which may impact on the osmotic balance of the fish. Hepatocellular necrosis and nephritis were also observed. A very mild, multifocal, hyperplasic branchitis was also observed. Samples tested positive for salmon gill poxvirus (SGPV) and *Neoparamoeba perurans* (AGD).

*Vibrio* sp. was identified on plates taken from kidney and lesion material. The level and purity of this bacterium would not suggest it be the primary pathogen. *Moritella* sp. was identified on the plate taken from lesion material. This bacterium was negative for *Moritella viscosa* by QPCR. The level and purity of this bacterium would not suggest to be the primary pathogen.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information, have any queries regarding this report or if any problems develop.

### Section 2: Case Detail

#### **Observations**

During a routine fish health inspection of the site in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009, 1 fish from pen 2, 2 fish from pen 5 and 3 fish from pen 6 were observed having severe erosion to the caudal fin. One fish was removed from pen 5 for closer examination and diagnostic samples were taken.

The fish removed for diagnostic sampling displayed moribund and lethargic behaviour prior to removal from then pen. Externally, 7 lice of all stages were observed, the caudal fin was severely eroded so that fin rays were exposed as well as a large lesion behind the vent region. Internally, the spleen was enlarged, the liver was pale and the gut contained yellow pseudo-faeces.

At the time of inspection, the site had experienced no recent disease problems and mortality has remained low throughout the duration of the production cycle.

#### Samples

Samples were collected from 1 fish according to the table below:

R09 UKAS accredited testing laboratory No. 1964 Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB Tel - 0131 244 3498 Fax - 0131 244 0944 Email - <u>ms.fishhealth@gov.scot</u> Website - <u>www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science</u>

Fish number	Facility number	Species	Stage	Origin	
F1	5	Atlantic Salmon	2021 S0, 5.35kg	Furnace	

#### <u>Results</u>

**Bacteriology:** Kidney, gill and lesion material from one fish was inoculated onto appropriate media for the isolation of bacteria.

The following bacteria were isolated:

- Moritella sp. (Isolate B) (Lesion)
- Vibrio sp. (Isolate A) (Kidney, Lesion)

The level and purity of these bacterium identified would not suggest they would be implicated in morbidity.

**Virology:** Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the pathogens specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

#### Salmon gill poxvirus (SGPV)

Fish Numbe	Endogenous control Cp value		Reported Result (PCR)		
F1	15.67	31.99	31.79	32.40	POSITIVE

The samples tested negative for infectious haematopoietic necrosis virus (IHNV), infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV), infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV), salmonid alphavirus (SAV), viral haemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) and piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV).

**Parasitology:** Tissue samples were tested for segments of nucleic acid indicative of the presence of the parasites specified below using real-time PCR (qPCR).

#### Neoparamoeba perurans (AGD)

Fish Number	Endogenous control Cp value		Reported Result (PCR)		
F1	19.77	35.19	35.83	36.58	POSITIVE

The samples tested negative for Paranucleospora theridion.

**Histology:** Tissue samples of gill, skin and skeletal muscle, heart, pyloric caeca, pancreas, hind gut, liver, spleen and kidney were taken from F1. The tissue samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin.

Histopathological examination revealed the following:

<u>Gill</u>: Lamellar hyperplasia and fusion, very mild, multifocal.

R09 UKAS accredited testing laboratory No. 1964 Marine Laboratory, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen, AB11 9DB Tel - 0131 244 3498 Fax - 0131 244 0944 Email - <u>ms.fishhealth@gov.scot</u> Website - <u>www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/science</u> <u>Skin & Muscle</u>: F1 lesion: Absence of the epidermis, oedema of dermis and mixed Gram-negative bacteria at the dermal outer layer and within dermis. Foci of haemorrhage and some inflammatory cell infiltration observed in hypodermis.

Heart: Mild epicarditis.

<u>Gut and pyloric caeca</u>: Some cellular sloughing potentially associated with autolysis artefacts. <u>Pancreas</u>: Within the normal range.

Liver: Hepatocellular necrosis, very mild, multifocal.

<u>Kidney</u>: Interstitial cell (haemopoietic) reduction, multifocal, moderate and renal tubules slightly dilated.

Spleen: Infiltration of mononuclear cells around the vessels.



Date: 24/03/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>

marine scotland science



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

#### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0095

 SITE NO
 FS0088

 CASE NO
 20230015

DATE OF VISIT07/02/2023SITE NAMECloudinINSPECTORInspector

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Samples were taken for diagnostic purposes. A separate report will be issued detailing the results of these tests.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

#### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Date: 14/02/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>

## Cloudin FS0088 – AFH-2023-0015 07/02/2023











