FHI 059, Version 13	Iss	ued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0016			Date of visit: 08/02/2023				
Time spent on site:	3 hours - Shore base only	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: FS1099 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Gletness Scottish Sea Farms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 REG	2 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): N/A	Thermometer No:		FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA S-9				
Dead/weak/abnormally behavir Clinical signs of disease observ Gross pathology observed?	-	N/A If yes, see additional infor N/A If yes, see additional infor N/A If yes, see additional infor N/A	rmation/clinical score sheet.				
Diagnostic samples taken?							
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							
	Site inspection not ca	rried out due to poor weather					

Additional Case Information:

Full ECI paper work completed by on 08/02/2023.

Site inspection and diagnostic sampling could not be carried out as weather conditions were unsafe. The site is scheduled to be revisited in due course.

The site was stocked between 30/11/2022 and 07/12/2022 with fish sourced from Migdale and Barcaldine. Cages 1, 5 and 6 hold Migdale fish only and pens 2, 3 and 4 are stocked with Barcaldine only.

Following incial input to the site some post transfer mortality occurred up to two weeks post transfer. The site was treated with FW followed by a peroxide treatment on 25/01/2023 in order to combat AGD which was confirmed by the company vet on 10/01/2023. Following treatment, gill scores for AGD have decreased and an overall improvement to gill health has been observed. Mortality onsite however is still elevated with the three cages stocked with Barcaldine fish accounting for the majority of mortality on site. Approximately 50% of the mortality on site in recent weeks have been reported having lesions, positioned around the vent and flank. Approximately 40% of the mortality accounted for in recent weeks has been recorded as having an unknown cause, where no obvious clinical signs of disease have been associated with these fish and 10% has been classified as input failures.

Most recent vet report 10/01/2023 - Positive for AGD, no other significant results. Further samples have been taken since the 10/01/2023 however the report has yet to be issued.

Mortality removal - Secured in site specific mort tubs transported to shore then transferred to SEM Energy Ltd. Most recent pick up, 07/02/2023 940kg.

Present week mortality (Week 6) - 1.16% 7,838.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0016]	Site No:	FS1099	3			
Date of Visit:		08/02/2023	3		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	ite representa	itive?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Y]
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect						
Total No facilities		6	Facilities stoo	cked	6	No facilitie	es inspected	0
Species	SAL							
Age group	2022							
No Fish	674,555							
Mean Fish Wt	341.4g							
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	03/2023		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	05/2023		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:	Positive for /	AGD - Negati	ve for IPN, IPN	NV, CMS and I	PRV.			
Movement Records	s							
1. Movement record	s available fo	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec							23/11/2022	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?	7					Y
4. Are movement red		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certification		•		shle?				N/A
U. Ale licaidi ocidilo	ACS TOT ITTE	luctions (out.)	nui OD, avalla	DIC:				
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst								
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail))		
If other detail:	See addition							
3. Mortality records of			ered?					Y
				397.2.08%) V	Veek 4 (12,444	1 1 77%) W	/eek 3 (10 11)	5 1 42%)
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		Week 3 (14,3	***	7 CCR 4 (12,4)	1.1170), 1.	CCK 0 (10,11.	J, 1. 4 270),
5. Evidence of recen	•	typical mortal						Y
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/reason:				
Percentage mortality		•			Cage 4 (5.049	%) See add	litional informa	ation for
cause.	10. 02	2020	2 (0.0070),	900 (5.5.7.5),	Ougo . (III	0,. 000	interior in the	attori . c.
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					N
If yes, detail:		,	102.10					
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				Y
If yes, detail action:	птельного,	See addition		70.5				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re			details on mor	tality events sh	neet.		Y
			,					

Treatments and Medicines Records					
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y				
If yes, detail: T.M.S.					
If other, detail: Peroxide					
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y				
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y				
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?					
If other, detail:					
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y				
Biosecurity Records					
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y				
Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y				
Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y				
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y				
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher					
health status, certification if required)?					
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V				
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	1				
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	V				
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	1				
aquaculture animals held on site?	N/A				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site? If no, detail: This could not be determined as the site was no inspected due to bad weather.	INIA				
If no, detail: This could not be determined as the site was no inspected due to bad weather.					
Results of Surveillance					
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y				
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y				
3. Any significant results?	Y				
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).					
Fish health report dated 10/01/2023					
Records checked between: 23/11/2022 - 08/02/23					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date o	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0016		Site No: FS1099			Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/02/2023		No of me	supp./dest.		Score	
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
•	Number of sup	ncluding third country pliers	0		10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m	povements off	0	3	6	10	3
Wovernents on	Number of dest		0		6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l	,	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	t for waste from other farms	5	1			
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	ĺ			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5	1			
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				1
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		9 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0016	Site No:	FS1099
3. Does the site have access to a range of lic	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single yenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or medical and/or m	ncluding deltamethrin,
4. Is there a signed documented farm manag Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Farm
Are sea lice count records available for ins Do records adequately reflect the required	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (I	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels be records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	coGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice ($L.\ sala$ 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	monis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or od that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish F 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which	Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare problem.	N/A N
suggested criteria for treatment or where C.	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implica	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried	applicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms where fewer populations or part populations are	? Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice i	ement procedure with waypoints describing set infestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks This could not be determined as the site was	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail not inspected due to bad weather.	reasons. N/A
	ge due to predators in the current or previous p the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was pern mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered res of the Act)	
•	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	son(s) N/A

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0016	Site No: FS1099	
Date of Visit: 08/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance 1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	V
If N, no further questions require comple		Y
2. Has a current farm management agree3. Is the current FMAg/S available for interest.4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	t farm management area? m site(s) to which it applies? commencement of the agreement or staten	ed?
farm? 9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina 10. Does the FMAg/S identify the species	ement Im health standards for the stocks to be intro ation requirements for stocks held in the area as of fish which may be stocked into the area and stocking density of any pen on any farm	a or farm? Y Y Y Y
	gements for the storage and disposal of any rm?	dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management of 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement	of Sea Lice ents for the sharing of data on sea lice num	bers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	bility and the use of medicines on farms cov	vered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir lice on farms in the area or individual far	rements for the sensitivity testing of available rms?	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum used on farms in the area or individual fa	nstances under which biological controls and arms?	d cleaner fish are to be
	gements for synchronous treatments on farn	ns within the area?
area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introduced o	
or individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish on an	u on sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable h	narvest practices on farms in the area or inc	dividual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocked 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocked by the agreement or statement? Point of Compliance for Farm Management or statement.	or more year classes may be stocked onto	ept on any site
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and op 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement of the FMAg/S? 26/09/2022	or statement?

Site No: FS1099

Case No: 2023-0016

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0016			Date of visit:	08/02/2023			
Site No:	FS1099	1		Inspector:				
One 140.	101000			пізрескої.				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary			T T	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
REG	14/02/2023							
	 							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125
 DATE OF VISIT
 08/02/2023

 SITE NO
 FS1099
 SITE NAME
 Gletness

 CASE NO
 20230016
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was visited in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

On this occasion, the site inspection could not be conducted due to adverse weather conditions. This inspection will be reschedule later in the year.

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 14/02/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter