FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0023			Date of visit: 06/02/2023
Time spent on site:	Phours	Main Insp	pector:
Site No: FS1322 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine Hatchery Incut Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	pation 2
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 7.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	/ out intended visit detail r	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Health screening conducted prior to fish movings between units (from Incubation to first feeding etc): IPN and PMCV and histology

Ova are transferred in boxes from Aquagen and brought into the incubation rooms via hatches, where ova are immediately disinfected with buffodine and then placed into comp hatches. No treatments have been conducted on site for two years.

Alevins observed with majority in healthy condition but a few mortalities observed. Increased mortality at input from wk51 2022 due to failed hatch/ ova mortality.

Site thermometer used for biosecurity considerations.

FHI 059, Version	13		Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/
Case No:	2023-0023		Site No:	FS1322	2
					_
Date of Visit:		06/02/202	23		Inspector(s):
Registration/Aut	horisation Details				
	etails summary che	cked by site repres	sentative?		
2. Changes made	to details?				
Site Details (inclu	ude cleaner fish fo	r all sections)			
Total No facilities		1	Facilities stocked		1
Species	SAL				
Age group	2023				
No Fish	117,463				
Mean Fish Wt	<1g/Alevin				
Next Fallow Date		Wk 8 2023		Next Input Date (S	
•	s) disease problems	?		ľ	Any escapes (since
If yes, detail:					
Movement Reco	rde				
	ords available for ins	pection?			
2. Date of last ins					
	nplete and correctly	entered?			
	records available fo		ste?		
	mplete and correctly				
	ficates for introduction		vailable?		
		`			
Transport Recor	ds				
			ie business (not using	g a STB)?	
If yes, is there a s	ystem in place for m	aintenance of tran	sportation records?		
Mortality Record					
•	s Is available for inspe	action?			
2. How are mortal	•				Ensiled - on site
If other detail:					Ensiled on site
	Is complete and corr	ectly entered?			
4. Recent mortalit		,	2023: Wk 4, 0,41%	6. 4567: Wk 3. 0%.	0; Wk 2, 0.25%, 274
	ent increased/atypic	al mortalities?	,,	e, .ee,, e, e, e, e,	0,, 00, 0,
	no mortality per faci		cility/reason:		
		•			
6. Any other peak	s in mortality during	period checked?			
	Wk 48, 3.37%, 44	250; Wk49, 5.29%	o, 67167; Wk50, 2.749	%, 32939; Wk 51, 1	.13%, 13161. Subse
If yes, detail:	ova.				
7. Have increased	d (unexplained) mort	alities been report	ed to vet or FHI?		

If yes, detail action:

8. Have 'mortality events' been reported to FHI? If no, enter details on mortality events sheet.

Treatments and Medicines Records

1. Recent treatmen	ts (see comment)?			
If yes, detail:				
If other, detail:				
2. Medicines record	ds available for inspe	ection?		
3. Are records com	plete and correctly e	entered?		
4. Are fish in a with	drawal period?			
5. If yes, what treat	ment(s)?			
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines st	ored appropriately?			

Biosecurity Records

1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?

2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?

3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any *increase* been included?

4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease is de *when* that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?

5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher health s

6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transm (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?

7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture ar8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?

If no, detail:

Results of Surveillance

1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?

2. If yes, are results available for inspection?

3. Any significant results?

If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).

Records checked between:

22/02/2022-06/02/2023

	Y N	
	Ν	
No facilities inspec	ted	1
Wk12 2023		
ast visit)?		N
		Y
	22/02/2022	
		Y
		Y
		Y
		Y
		Y
		Y
		Y
		Y
5; Wk1, 0.53%, 59 [°]	13	
		N
the Provense		Y
quent mortalities ar	e attributed to failed	hatch/ unviable
		N/A
		N/A

	N
	N/A
	N
	IN IN
	N/A
	Y
	Y
ed (unexplained) mortality at the site	
etected been included and how and	· ·
	Y
	Y
status, certification if required)?	
nission of disease been covered	Y
nimals held on site?	Y
	Y
	N

FHI 059, Version 13

FRI 059, Version 13	-	Issued by. FHI			Dato		12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0023		Site No:	FS1322		Insp:	
Date of Visit	06/02/2023		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0	9	18	26	9
	Number of sup		0	5	10	14	5
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	3
	Number of des		0	3	6		3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or I	ed (secure water supply through	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V on or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent state		4				
	_	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	[0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		28 HIGH

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0023	Site No:	FS1322
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
-	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single	
Ŭ	enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (i well as access to suitable biological and/or me d of time?	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	<i>monis</i>) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 o od that records are inspected?	r above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	lealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare prol	blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmoni</i> elongatus is considered to have welfare implication	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	applicable)? s taken had a significant impact upon the lice	levels recorded?
	out in cooperation between participating farm	
	where fewer populations or part populations a	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice i	ement procedure with waypoints describing set nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail	reasons.
Containment Inspection	ge due to predators in the current or previous	araduction cyclos?
	he predation experienced on site? (Detail belo	
Site indoors,		,
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	perienced on or in the vicinity of the site since t	the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 - 9. If No skip	o to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis	sters?	
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for	orthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	d local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they ex	ist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was per	mission given by Scottish
,	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	Lin code but could
be considered under satisfactory measure		
	egards to containment? If no, please detail rea	ason(s)

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2023-0023			Date of visit:	06/02/2023	3		
Site No:	FS1322]		Inspector:		I		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
							-	
	1							
	Ī							

Report Summary			
Case Type		Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI	14/02/2023		





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0125

 SITE No
 FS1322

 CASE No
 20230023

DATE OF VISIT 06/02/2023 SITE NAME Barcaldine H

T 06/02/2023 Barcaldine Hatchery Incubation 2

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

No animal health surveillance had been carried out on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland since the last Marine Scotland Inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 22/02/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/