FHI 059, Version 13	ls	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0041			Date of visit: 15/02/2023
Time spent on site: 5	5 Hrs	Main Ins	spector:
Site No:FS1330Business No:FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Sconser Quarry Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.8	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-28
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken? UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	d?	N If yes, see additiona N If yes, see additiona N	I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet. I information/clinical score sheet.

#### Additional Case Information:

No issues observed on site, Salmosan treatment was being conducted at time of inspection. Fish sampled for VMD appeared in good condition. 2 dead observed across site, not fresh dead, therefore not sampled. Site staff removed these for disposal at time of inspection.

Site consists of 7 x 160m pens, all pens have pole supports for top nets rather than the central support. The use of the pole supports was reported to have removed the potential for losses due to concussion on the central net support.

Sites operated from Maol Ban shorebase are numbered sequentially from north to south - Sconser Quarry - Cages 1 - 7, Maol Ban - Cages 8 - 12 and Cairidh - Cages 13 - 17

Weather conditions very changeable during the inspection.

Sea lice numbers have been increasing, but still below the increased monitoring level. Targeted treatments were being conducted.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020	
Case No:	2023-0041	]	Site No:	FS1330	]				
Date of Visit:		15/02/202	3		Inspector(s)	:			
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails							
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Y		
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ		
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sec	tions)						
Total No facilities		7	Facilities sto	ocked	7	No facilitie	s inspected	7	
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM						
Age group	2022 Q1	Mix	Mix						
No Fish	653,000	3,000	94,000						
Mean Fish Wt	2.9 kg	Mix	Mix						
Next Fallow Date (S		August 202	3	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	2024 Q1			
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escape	s (since last	visit)?	N	
If yes, detail:	AGD					•	•		
<ol> <li>Movement record</li> <li>Date of last inspection</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are movement records comp</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are records comp</li> <li>Are health certification</li> <li>Transport Records</li> <li>Are any movement</li> <li>If yes, is there a system</li> <li>Mortality Records</li> </ol>	Movement Records         1. Movement records available for inspection?         2. Date of last inspection:         3. Are records complete and correctly entered?         4. Are movement records available for dead fish and waste?         5. Are records complete and correctly entered?         6. Are health certificates for introductions (out with GB) available?         Transport Records         1. Are any movements carried out by (or on behalf) of the business (not using a STB)?         If yes, is there a system in place for maintenance of transportation records?         Mortality Records         1. Mortality records available for inspection?								
If other detail:					Biogas - En	ergen, oann			
	complete and	correctly en	tered?					Y	
3. Mortality records complete and correctly entered?       Y         SAL - WK 3 - 1,847 (0.23%), WK 4 - 1,661 (0.21%), WK 5 - 1,544 (0.19%), WK 6 - 2,214 (0.33%)         LUM - WK 3 - 1,861 (1.66%), WK 4 - 2,214 (2.01%), WK 5 - 1,490 (1.38%), WK 6 - 2,251 (2.35%)         WRA - WK 3 - 990 (13.97%), WK 4 - 917 (15.04%), WK 5 - 513 (9.90%), WK 6 - 767 (18.49%)									
5. Evidence of recer	· · · ·	atypical morta		,				N	
If yes, facility nos/no		•••		/reason:					
	montanty por			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	irina period c	hecked?					Y	
If yes, detail:	Refer to more								
7. Have increased (u				o vet or FHI?				N/A	
If yes, detail action:	anonplained)								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	I? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events s	sheet.		Y	

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
T.M.S.,	
If yes, detail: Salmosan	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S., Salmosan	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	X
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Ŷ
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	ř
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	V
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	ř
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	ř
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	Y
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems). AGD, underlying CMS, HSMI, Furuncu	losis
Records checked between: 01/12/21 to 15/02/23	


Fŀ	II 059, Version 13							lss	ued by: I	FHI			
	Case no:	2023-00	)41	Site No:	:	FS1330			Date of v		15/0	02/2023	15/(
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Samplin	g: HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	15:0	0:00	16:0	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	D.	29
	Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	imples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
_	Pool/Fish No		-	-									
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4								
	Pool Group		<u></u>		0.41								
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight	3kg	3kg	3kg	3kg								
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
S		tion	Lochallort Recirculation Hatchery	tion	Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery								
Details		Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery	Lochailori Recircula Hatchery	Lochailort Recirculation Hatchery	Lochailort Recircula Hatchery								
		ch ecire Itch	ché itch	ch ecire tch	ch itch								
Stock	Stock Origin	Lo Re Ha	Re Ha	Lo Re Ha	Lo Re Ha								
St	Facility No	1	5	6	7								

)3/2023	2023 Additional Sample Information:													
0	0 Total Tests assigned 0													

FHI 059, Version 13

Case Number:	2023-0041		Site No:	FS1330		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of n	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species	compartment in	novements on from equivalent zone or including third country	0		18	26	0
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des	tinations	0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or l		0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
		or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		0
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		0
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	g plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				0
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	]			0
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				0
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				0
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10	]			0
Disposal of fish and fish by- products	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	]			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				0
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	]			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	5 1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1	]			1
CoGP/Regulator				-			
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3	]			0
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				0
					Total		21
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0041	Site No:	FS1330
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single y enced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (in well as access to suitable biological and/or med	cluding deltamethrin, Y
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the s	ite and CoGP Farm Y
<ul><li>5. Are sea lice count records available for insp</li><li>6. Do records adequately reflect the required s</li></ul>	bection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (L	Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice ( <i>L. salmonis</i> ) record levels belorecords are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the C	oGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice ( <i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	<i>nonis</i> ) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or a that records are inspected?	above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. is considered to cause significant welfare probl	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
suggested criteria for treatment or where C. e	stered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis</i> longatus is considered to have welfare implication	
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		Y Y
-	s taken had a significant impact upon the lice le out in cooperation between participating farms	
	where fewer populations or part populations are	
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice ir	ment procedure with waypoints describing set a nfestation?	actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail r	easons. Y
	ge due to predators in the current or previous provide the predation experienced on site? (Detail below	
If other, detail below:		
<ol> <li>Have escape incidents or events been exp</li> <li>If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip</li> <li>Have these been reported to Scottish Minis</li> </ol>	-	e last FHI inspection? N
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB fo	rthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4	4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exis	st)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was perm	nission given by Scottish
	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered	in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	egards to containment? If no, please detail reas	ion(s) Y



Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable harvest practices on farms in the area or individual farms?	Y
<b>Fallowing</b> 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates by which the area or individual farm will be fallow and the earliest	Y
date when a farm or area may be restocked? 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether one or more year classes may be stocked onto sites covered by the	Y
agreement or statement? 23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether broodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept on any site covered by the agreement or statement?	Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Management Agreements Only 24. Does the farm management agreement include arrangements for persons to become, or cease to be, parties to the agreement?	N/A
Management and operation25. Is the fish farm being managed and operated in accordance with the agreement or statement?26. What is the version no/date of issue of the FMAg/S?15/02/2022	Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Site No: FS1330

Case No: 2023-0041

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2023-0041	]		Date of visit: 15/02/2023							
Site No:	FS1330	]		Inspector		I					
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification									
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp			
			-				-				
			-				-				
			-								
			-								
			_								
			-				-				
Report Summary											
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	Date	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp								
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	31/07/2023										
	1										



## FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS No
 FB0119

 SITE NO
 FS1330

 CASE NO
 20230041

DATE OF VISIT14/02/2023SITE NAMESconser QuarryINSPECTORInspector

#### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

#### Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

# Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

### Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 31/07/23

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)