FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI		Date of i	ssue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0043				Date of visit:	08/02/2023
Time spent on site: 4h	nours	N	Main Inspector:	:	
Site No: FS0914 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Lismore West Scottish Sea Farn	ns Ltd		
Case Types: 1 REP 2	REG 3	4	5	6	
Water Temp (°C): 8.25	Thermometer No:	T307		FHI 045 comple	ted
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type:	S	CoGP MA:	M-36
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see ac	dditional inform	nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco nation/clinical sco	ore sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:			

Additional Case Information:

Site inspected following repeated reports of increased mortality above the reporting threshold on site from the business.

Stock details: 414741 SAL 2022 Q1 @ 2.6kg, 9/9 facilities stocked. 17398 WRS @ MVG, stocked in 9 of the pens, input on site in October 2023.

The increased mortality on site has been attributed to primarily complex gill health issues with AGD as the primary cause. Furunculosis was also identified on site. Most recent health surveillance on 24/01/2023 validated that CGD and AGD were primary causes, and negative results were found for furunculosis, SRS, Moritella and PD. Gill swabs have been routinely taken and the site will due to finish screening the site fully again in 2 weeks.

During the site inspection, only 1 dead fish was observed, no moribunds or lethargic fish were seen. Any wrasse observed were observed to be in a healthy, good condition. Only three pens (identified as those that mortality was highest in) were inspected due to weather not permitting access to other pens. Temperature taken on 08/02/2023 was 8.25C.

Mortality, treatment, sea lice, FMS and biosecurity records were inspected. The FMS, sea lice records and biosecurity records were inspected as these were outstanding in case 2022-0461. These have been found to be adequate and have been reported on as per the case 2022-0461. Last florocol treatment for furunculosis was prescribed 21/08/2022- 01/09/2022 (all pens). Prescriptions were inspected. FW treatment was scheduled for the 09/02/2023; limited to 3 hour treatment on the well boat to allow best survival of wrasse on site. Wrasse are also creeled out prior to any treatment.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0043		Site No:	FS0914	1			
Date of Visit:		08/02/2023	3		Inspector(s	s):		
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	ative?			Y	1
2. Changes made to							Υ	1
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all sect	ions)					
Total No facilities		9	Facilities sto	cked	9	No faciliti	es inspected	3
Species	SAL	WRS						
Age group	2022 Q1	wild caught						
No Fish	414,741	17,393						
Mean Fish Wt	2.6kg	mix						
Next Fallow Date (S		July/October	2023	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	January 2	2024	
Recent (last 4 wks)						es (since last		N
If yes, detail:	CGD, AGD,			illance on site f				
Movement Records								
1. Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec	ction:						04/10/2022	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement red	cords availab	e for dead fis	sh and waste?					Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	vith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	t by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	sing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place f	or maintenan	ce of transpo	rtation records	?			Y
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities	es disposed o	f?			Incinerated	l - on site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly enter	ered?					Y
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		2023: WK5, 1.27%, 2169	0.48%,2001; V 9	NK4, 0.56%	, 2359; Wk3,	0.77%, 3273;	; Wk2,
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	typical mortal	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	necked?					Y
		• .		to complex gill	disease prin	narily and AC	3D treatment.	2022: Wk52,
), 2.5%, 11794	•	•		
If yes, detail:	2.2%; 11084							
7. Have increased (u	unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no. enter	details on mor	tality events	sheet.		Y

Treatments and we		_		
1. Recent treatments	s (see comment)?		Y	
If yes, detail:	T.M.S			
If other, detail:				
	available for inspection?		Y	
The state of the s	lete and correctly entered?		Y	
4. Are fish in a withdo	rawal period?		Y	
5. If yes, what treatm	nent(s)?	T.M.S		
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines sto	red appropriately?		Y	
Biosecurity Record	ls			
1. Biosecurity record	ls available for inspection?		Y	
2. Has the manner a	nd frequency of mortality removal, recor	ding and safe disposal been considered?	Y	
3. Has the manner a	nd period in which the APB will notify So	cottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any		
increased (unexplain	ned) mortality at the site been included?		Y	
4. Has the action tha	it will be taken in the event that the pres	ence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease		
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher				
health status, certific	ation if required)?	-		
		ed between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y	
transmission of disea	ase been covered (movement of staff, v	isitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?		
7. Is documentation	available regarding the measures in plan	ce to maintain the physical containment of	Y	
aquaculture animals	held on site?			
8. Have the biosecur	rity procedures been adequately implem	ented on site?	Y	
If no, detail:				
Results of Surveilla	ance			
1. Has any animal he	ealth surveillance been carried out by, or	r on behalf of, the business?	Y	
2. If yes, are results	available for inspection?		Y	
3. Any significant res	sults?		Y	
If yes, detail (if not de	etailed under recent disease problems).	AGD and PGD. See additional informa	tion	
R	Records checked between:	04/10/2022-09/02/2023		

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0043		Site No:	FS0914		Insp:	
Date of Visit	08/02/2023 No of movements/sup						Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
NA							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trambor or door	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
occ of anjudicaliona local	Feeding unpast	•	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		16
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0043	Site No: FS0	0914
3. Does the site have access to a rang	roblems in the previous 4 years? ea (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year cl ge of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (includin ate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanic	g deltamethrin,
• •	management agreement or statement relevant to the site an	d CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available	e for inspection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) equired standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal S	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record le records are inspected? (CoGP Annex	evels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP $lpha$ x 6)	during the period that Y
	e (L. salmonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above the period that records are inspected?	e (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or N
	e Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. el which is considered to cause significant welfare problems?	(CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) Y
· ·	n administered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis levels</i> ere <i>C. elongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implications?	
13. Are treatments, where conducted,	(where applicable)? e actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recarried out in cooperation between participating farms? he site, where fewer populations or part populations are held	Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice scenarios during the escalation of a s	management procedure with waypoints describing set actions ea lice infestation?	s to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on	n stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reason	ns. Y
	nt damage due to predators in the current or previous product against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	tion cycles?
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If 4. Have these been reported to Scotti 5. Have these been reported to local I	·	
7. Were methods (if any) used to reco	over escapees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4 9. What action was taken to prevent a be considered under satisfactory	and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in cod measures of the Act)	
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactor	ry with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)	

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0043	Site No: FS0914	
Date of Visit: 08/02/2023	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located v	within a farm management area?	Y
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.	
Points of Compliance for Both Farm	Management Agreements and State	ements
2. Has a current farm management agre		
3. Is the current FMAg/S available for in	nspection?	Υ
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	nt farm management area?	Υ
Does the FMAg/S identify the fish far		Y
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	•	statement? Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?	Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manag		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimufarm?	um health standards for the stocks to b	e introduced to the area or Y
Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	•	
Does the FMAg/S identify the specie	•	
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maxin individual farm?	num stocking density of any pen on an	ry farm in the area or the
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual fa	•	of any dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice	
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangem	nents for the sharing of data on sea lice	e numbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availa of statement?	ability and the use of medicines on farm	ns covered by the agreement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requinulate on farms in the area or individual fa		railable treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun		ols and cleaner fish are to be
used on farms in the area or individual f		is and dealer him are to be
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for synchronous treatments o	on farms within the area?
Live Fish Movements		
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circun area or farm?	nstances when live fish may be introdu	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrangor individual farms?	gements for the movement of live fish	on and off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indivi	dual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restocl		
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ne or more year classes may be stocked onto si	tes covered by the Y
•	roodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreem parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	e, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue	operated in accordance with the agreement or sof the FMAg/S? 20/10/2021	tatement? Y

Case No:	2023-0043			Date of visit:	08/02/2023			
Site No:	FS0914	1		Inspector:				
		ı						
Results Summary	Freq.	Database	lnan	Da Phone	te of Notifica	tion Writing	linon	and .
		Dalabase	Insp	Priorie	Insp	vvriung	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							=	
							-	
Report Summary	1		1	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp	1				
REP, REG	23/02/2023							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 08/02/2023

 Site No
 FS0914
 Site Name
 Lismore West

 Case No
 20230043
 Inspector

The above site was inspected, following reports of mortalities above the reporting criteria.

On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 23/02/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at www.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/charter