Date of visit: 27/02/2023
Main Inspector:
achbreac andcatch Natural Selection Ltd
4 5 6
T305 FHI 045 completed N/A
Water type: F CoGP MA
If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet. If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.
below:

Additional Case Information:

Vet reports seen dated: 21/06/2021 (no significant findings, suspect issues with Sarolegnia & transfer stress); 15/09/2021 (no significant findings, some mild gill issues); 17/09/21 (no health issues observed - ok for SW transfer); 24/08/22 (2 fish sampled, some gill damage but not significant); 25/8/22 (fish in good condition, recovered from fungus, no Saprolegnia observed)

Only outside tanks are in use. One floating mortality observed in one tank. No moribund or lethargic fish observed.

3 mortality event reports updated on return to lab. Slight difference in percentage for 2 and the third had the wrong site name entered.

updated 27/04/23 - clarification of difference between submitted mortality reports and the figures provided during the inspection was received. The original figures were correct, errors had been made when producing the report for the inspection. Mortality event spreadsheet updated further. One additional week had not been reported at the time - this was also added.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ued by: FHI			Date of issu	ue: 12/05/2020				
Case No:	2023-0061		Site No:	FS0892	2							
Date of Visit:		27/02/2023	3		Inspector(s):							
Registration/Autho							.,					
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	•	checked by s	ite represent	ative?			Y	1				
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)									
Total No facilities		24 tanks	Facilities sto	ocked	9 tanks	No facilitie	s inspected	24 tanks				
Species	SAL			T			T					
Age group	smolts											
No Fish	312,713											
Mean Fish Wt	96g											
Next Fallow Date (S	ite)	April 2023		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	May 2023						
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		Y	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N				
If yes, detail:	Fungus post	vaccination,	not causing a	a lot of mortality	у							
Movement Pecorde												
		r inspection?						Y				
		Inspection:					21/01/2020					
•		actly entered	2				21/01/2020	Y				
•		•		2				·				
				f				V				
		-		able?				NI/A				
o. Are nealth certific	ates for introd	uctions (outw	villi GD) avali	able :				INA				
Transport Records												
-								Y				
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place f	or maintenan	ice of transpo	ortation records	;?			Y				
Mortality Records												
-	available for in	nspection?						Y				
•		•			Incinerated -	on site						
			then incinerat	ted at Ormsary								
3. Mortality records	pecies ge group or Fish 312,713 geg proup or Fish at 12,713 ged pecies ge group or Fish at 12,713 ged pecies ge group or Fish at 12,713 ged pecies ge group or Fish at 12,713 ged pecies ged Fish at 12,713 ged pecies g											
				04%, 119; 202	23/06 - 0.05%.	143: 2023/0	7 - 0.07%, 2	04: 2023/08 -				
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		0.29%,920	,		,		.,				
5. Evidence of recer	,	typical mortal						N				
If yes, facility nos/no		• •		y/reason:								
			. 10									
6. Any other peaks i								Y				
If yes, detail:			tality assigned									
7. Have increased (unexplained) i	nortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A				
If yes, detail action:												
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mor	tality events sh	neet.		N				

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	
Aquacen Tricaine	
If other, detail: Formalin	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
Aquacen	
If other, detail: Formalin	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
Tiodian otatao, continuation in required).	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	•
in the, details.	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	· Y
3. Any significant results?	N N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	- 1
il yes, detail (il flot detailed diluci recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 21/01/2020-27/02/2023	
1/600/d3 Gliecked between. 21/01/2020-21/02/2020	

HI 059, Version 13							155	ued by: F	111			
Case no:	2023-00	061	Site No		FS0892					27/0	02/2023	27/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA				, HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:	15:1	5:00	15:4	0:00	l	Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [7
	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
	1-4	5-8	9-12	13-14								
			- 12	.0 , ,								
	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
Sex	N/A			N/A								
Water Type	FW		FW	FW								
	PA Ormsary smolt unit	Ormsary smolt unit	D Ormsary smolt unit	Qrmsary smolt unit								
	Case no: Priority samples: Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex Water Type	Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1-4 Pool Group Species SAL Average weight 96g Sex N/A Water Type FW	Case no: Priority samples: VI Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Pool Group Species Average weight Sex N/A Water Type VI 15:15:00 1 Indoors A Indoors A Indoors Summary samples HIST Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos F	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 15:15:00 15:4 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Indoors 2 Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos 1-4 5-8 9-12 Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 96g 96g 96g Sex N/A N/A N/A Water Type FW FW FW Water Type FW FW FW See See See See See See See See See Se	Case no: Priority samples: VI BA Time sampling 15:15:00 15:40:00 starts/ends: Environmental conditions: Summary samples HIST BA Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Fish nos Fish nos Fool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type FW FW FW Liun How Sey By Liun How Sey By	Case no: 2023-0061 Site No: FS0892 Priority samples: VI BA PA Time sampling starts/ends: 15:15:00 15:40:00 3 Environmental conditions: 1 Indoors 2 3 Summary samples HIST BA MG Add Fish/Pools - click MG MG MG Add Fish/Pools - click BA MG MG Add Fish/Pools - click SAL SAL SAL SAL Pool Group Species SAL SAL SAL SAL Species SAL SAL SAL SAL SAL Average weight 96g 96g 96g 96g 96g Sex N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Water Type FW FW FW FW FW FW	Description Case no:	Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2023-0061 Site No: FS0892 Date of v Sampling Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2023-0061 Site No: FS0892 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2023-0061 Site No: FS0892 Date of visit/ Sampling: Priority samples: VI	Case no: 2023-0061 Site No: FS0892 Date of visit/ 27/102/2023 Sampling: Sa

02/2023	Additior Overdo	nal Sam se Trica	ple Infor ine	mation:							
0	1	Total Te	ests ass	igned	0	ı					
								·			

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue:	12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0061		Site No:	FS0892		Insp:	
Date of Visit	27/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent zone or					
species		ncluding third country	0		18	26	0
	Number of sup	pliers	0	5	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		0			10	3
	Number of desi		0			10	3
Exposure via water	I= :	Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	ed (secure water supply through	0				
susceptible to same		or in a coastal zone with category I					
diseases)	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
		or in a coastal zone with category III	1	3	6		
		or within 1 tidal excursion or in a coastal zone with category V	<u>'</u>	3	0		\vdash
		or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
			Nama	0	Unasassus		
Management practices Water contacts with	I Any procesing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	None	Secure	Unsecure		
processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	_	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	<u> </u>	1			0
ose of unpusicumsed reeds	Feeding unpas	·	5				
Biosecurity	r ceding unpus	Number of sites	1] 2 or 3	≥4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase			2		2
		taff and equipment	0		2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			
between sites, use of	No		0				0
footbaths etc CoGP/Regulator			<u>'</u>	J			
Practices in accordance	Yes			1			
with regulator or industry	No		U				0
code of practice	140		3	j			
Platform access to cages	Yes		1	1			0
and the second s	No		2				
				1			
					Total		14
					Rank		LOW

Case No:	2023-0061	Site	No:	FS0892		
 Is the CoGP Farm M Does the site have a azamethiphos and ema 	Seawater Sites Only) need sea lice problems in the previous 4 planagement Area (or equivalent) fallowed access to a range of licenced in-feed and amectin benzoate) as well as access to so byed in a reasonable period of time?	d synchronously on a si bath sea lice medicatio	ons (inc	luding deltamethrin		
4. Is there a signed doo Management Area (or e	cumented farm management agreement e equivalent)?	or statement relevant to	o the sit	te and CoGP Farm	I	
	ecords available for inspection? (Legal SS ely reflect the required standard specified		GP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Anı	nex 6)	
7. Are sea lice (L. salm records are inspected?	onis) record levels below the suggested (CoGP Annex 6)	criteria for treatment in	the Co	GP during the perio	od that	
	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers pe 0/6/19) during the period that records are		of 3 or a	bove (prior to w/b 1	0/6/19) or	
•	reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate					
9. Is C. elongatus infes	station at a level which is considered to ca	ause significant welfare	e proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81)	, 5.3.50)	
•	eatments been administered or other active teatment or where C. elongatus is considered.					
•	on been taken (where applicable)?		Baar Jan			
•	eatments or the actions taken had a sign ere conducted, carried out in cooperation	•				
	g strategy for the site, where fewer popul				ent for	
	ific written lice management procedure w luring the escalation of a sea lice infestat		ig set a	ctions to deal with		
16. Do the sea lice leve	els observed on stocks reflect sea lice co	unt data? If no please d	detail re	easons.		
	on nced equipment damage due to predators ce to mitigate against the predation expe	· ·		•		N Y
If other, detail below:						
	net over the tanks, electric fence, pest co					
•	nts or events been experienced on or in t	he vicinity of the site si	ince the	e last FHI inspection	1?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 ported to Scottish Ministers?					
•	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where the	y exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.3	37, 5.4.	.17)		
6. Have these been rep	ported to the SSPO and local fisheries tru	sts forthwith (where the	ey exist	e)? (CoGP – 4.4.37,	5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if an	y) used to recover escapees? If yes give	detail				
8. If gill nets were deplo Ministers? (Legal, CoG	oyed was this action agreed with local will P – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	d fish interests and was	s permi	ission given by Sco	ttish	
	en to prevent and minimise the risk of fur	ther escapes? (Not cov	vered in	code but could		
	r satisfactory measures of the Act)		:I w	(-)		
To. is the site inspected	d as satisfactory with regards to containm	ient? if no, please déta	iii reasc	on(s)		T

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

0 N	0000 0001					00						
Case No:	2023-0061	1		Date of visi	t: 27/02/20	23						
Site No:	FS0892	1		Inspecto	r:							
Results Summary	Freq.	eq. Date of Notification										
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp				
	+											
	+											
	+											
	+											
	+											
	+											
Report Summary Case Type ECI, CNI, VMD	D-4	la a a	and r	-								
Case Type	Date 27/04/2023	Insp	2 nd Insp									
LCI, CIVI, VIVID	21/04/2023											
	+											
	+											
	- 											





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0061
 Date of Visit
 27/02/2023

 Site No
 FS0892
 Site Name
 Clachbreac

 Case No
 20230061
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required, except for one week. It was acknowledged that this was an oversight and the site manager was aware of the reporting requirements.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes. On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/