FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0062			Date of visit: 28/02/2023
Time spent on site: 4.	5hrs	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS0339 Business No: FB0235	Site Name: Business Name:	Furnace (FW) Cooke Aquaculture (Fres	hwater) Ltd
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 VMD	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 13.3	Thermometer No:	T305	FHI 045 completed N/A
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	·	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote paperwork inspected on 21/02/2023.

Different parts of the site fallow periodically. Smolt unit fallow from end of March until June. Comp hatch fallow from mid-March until mid-June. Alevins going into 6 tanks on separate recirculation system from the smolt unit until transfer to the smolt unit.

Water temperature smolt unit 13.3C, water temperature alevins 8C

1-2 floating morts observed in 2 tanks in smolt unit, overall fish looked to be in good condition. Fish samples for VMD appeared healthy. Alevins also appeared to be in good condition with little to no deformities or failed hatch observed.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0062		Site No:	FS0339				
Date of Visit:		28/02/2023]		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho	risation Deta	ails						
1. Business/site deta			ite representa	itive?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					Y	
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis	h for all section 1 hatchery,	ions) I		1 hatchery,	7		1 hatchery,
Total No facilities		17 tanks	Facilities sto	cked	10 tanks	No facilities	s inspected	17 tanks
Species	SAL	SAL						
Age group	Alevins	Smolts						
No Fish	1,565,058	1,195,418						
Mean Fish Wt	0.5g	90g						
Next Fallow Date (Si		N/A		Next Input Da	ate (Site)	mid-June 2	2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?		· Y	Any escapes	(since last v	/isit)?	N
If yes, detail:	some fungus	post vaccina	ation (2 tanks	in particular B	2 & A1)	`		
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement records	s available for	r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec	ction:						29/03/2022	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
4. Are movement red	cords availabl	e for dead fis	h and waste?					Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ectly entered?	•					Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	luctions (outw	rith GB) availa	ıble?				Y
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst					-			Y
Mortality Records								
Mortality records a	available for ir	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalities					Ensiled - on :	site		
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
,	·	ĺ	2023/wk5: al	evins – 1.01%				
				087), smolts -	•	, .		, ,
4 Pagent mortality /	loot 4 wko):		, smolts - 0.0)5% (538); 202	23/WK8: alevins	s - 0.33% (5	,170), smolt -	0.03% (358)
4. Recent mortality (tunical martal	itioo?					V
5. Evidence of recent If yes, facility nos/no		• •		/rooson:				- 1
Alevin morts above	, ,	•			oror quality on	d failed beta	h this has as	mo down in
2023/wk8 to 0.3%; E				•	•			
very low.	2 & AT eleva	led dde to po	st vaccination	Turigus Wrierr	compared to t	oli lei lai ks i	lowever over	all Inortality
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	ring period ch	ecked?					Y
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u> </u>		age weight at t	he time 0.38a	so below re	porting criter	ia) due to
If yes, detail:	•	& deformities	(2.75)	J			,	
7. Have increased (u			en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter of	details on mor	tality events sh	neet.		N/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	
Aquacen	
If other, detail: Formalin,	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
Aquacen	
If other, detail: Formalin,	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Υ
Biosecurity Records	
Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y
aquaculture animals held on site?	
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Pacarda chacked batwoon: 20/03/2022 - 28/02/2023	

	11 000, 10101011 10								dod by. I	• • •			
	Case no:	2023-0	062	Site No:		FS0339)		Date of vi		28/02	2/2023	28/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG		н			
	Time sampling starts/ends: Environmental conditions:		5:00 Indoors		5:00] I з	Inspect	or:		5	VMD No.	[15
										ا ^د			
	Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA	┸	otal Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Pool/Fish No												
	Fish nos	1-6	7-10	11-14	15-18	19-22	23-26	27-30					
	Pool Group												
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL					
	Average weight	90g	90g	90g	90g	90g	90g	90g					
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Water Type	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW	FW					
		_	_	_	_	_	_	_					
Details		<u>Š</u>	<u>₹</u>	ķ.	kul	Š	<u>\$</u>	<u>Š</u>					
eta		lfis	llis	ıfis	ıfis	ıfis	lfis	ıfis					
ᅐ		Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur	Stofnfiskur					
Stock	Stock Origin Facility No												
S	racility NO	A4	B3	B2	B1	A3	A2	A1					

02/2023	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:						
		se Trica								
0]	Total To	ests ass	igned	0					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0062		Site No:	FS0339		Insp:	
Date of Visit	28/02/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	0	4.0	26	0
Species	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0		18 10	26 14	5
					ļ		40
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6 6	10	3
Exposure via water	INGINIDEI OI GES	Site contacts			6-10		
Water contacts with other	Farm is protect	ed (secure water supply through					
farms (holding species	disinfection or l	porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category In or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
		or in a coastal zone with category III					•
		n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V	,		0		
	tarms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the raics of the directive	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent statu		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	1			0
·	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				1			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0	1			0
with regulator or industry	No		2				
code of practice			3	J			
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		28
					Rank		HIGH

Case No:	2023-0062		Site No:	FS0339	
Sea Lice Inspection ((Seawater Sites Only)				
•	enced sea lice problems in the p	revious 4 vears?			
·	Management Area (or equivalen	•	nn a single v	par class hasis?	
			~ .		
azamethiphos and em	access to a range of licenced in amectin benzoate) as well as a d in a reasonable period of time	access to suitable biological			ıres, and
4. Is there a signed do Management Area (or	ocumented farm management a equivalent)?	greement or statement relev	vant to the si	ite and CoGP Farm	
5. Are sea lice count re	ecords available for inspection?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6	5)		
6. Do records adequat	tely reflect the required standard	d specified in the SSI and th	ne CoGP? (L	egal SSI, CoGP Anno	ex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> records are inspected?	monis) record levels below the second (CoGP Annex 6)	suggested criteria for treatm	ent in the Co	oGP during the period	I that
_	female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) r 0/6/19) during the period that re	· ·	evel of 3 or a	above (prior to w/b 10	/6/19) or
If yes, have these bee	n reported to the Fish Health In	spectorate? If no, FHI see c	comment.		
9. Is C. elongatus infe	estation at a level which is consi	dered to cause significant w	elfare proble	ems? (CoGP 4.3.81,	5.3.50)
	reatments been administered o treatment or where <i>C. elongatu</i>				
11. Has any other action	on been taken (where applicabl	e)?			
12. Have therapeutic t	reatments or the actions taken	had a significant impact upo	on the lice lev	vels recorded?	
13. Are treatments, wh	nere conducted, carried out in c	ooperation between particip	ating farms?	•	
	ng strategy for the site, where for		~		nt for
	cific written lice management prescalation of a sea lice infestation		scribing set a	ctions to deal with re	cognised
16. Do the sea lice lev	rels observed on stocks reflect s	sea lice count data? If no ple	ease detail re	easons.	
Containment Inspect					
·	enced equipment damage due to		•	•	N
2. Are measures in pla	ace to mitigate against the preda	ation experienced on site? (Detail below)	Υ
If other, detail below					
	screen, pest control, covers over		 		
•	ents or events been experience	· ·	site since the	e last FHI inspection?	N
	estions 4 – 9. If No skip to ques	stion 10			
	ported to Scottish Ministers?				
5. Have these been re	ported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP -	- 4.4.37, 5.4	.17)	
6. Have these been re	ported to the SSPO and local fi	sheries trusts forthwith (whe	ere they exist	t)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5	.4.17)
7. Were methods (if ar	ny) used to recover escapees?	If yes give detail			
O If aill noto were dead	loved was this action areas love	th local wild fish interests	nd woo r = ===	iggion divon by Ca-#	ach.
Ministers? (Legal, Coo	loyed was this action agreed wi GP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	tn local wild lish interests ar	id was perm	ission given by Scotti	sn
9. What action was tak	ken to prevent and minimise the	e risk of further escapes? (N	lot covered in	n code but could	
be considered unde	er satisfactory measures of the	ne Act)			
10. Is the site inspecte	ed as satisfactory with regards to	o containment? If no, please	e detail reaso	on(s)	Υ

Issued by: FHI

FHI 059, Version 13

Date of issue: 12/05/2020

Site No: FS0339

Case No: 2023-0062

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0062	7		Data of vi	sit: 28/02/2	123							
Case No.	2023-0002	J		Date of vi	SIL. 20/02/20	023							
Site No:	FS0339]	Inspector:										
Results Summary	Freq.		Date of Notification										
		Databas	e Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd I					
	_												
	+												
	_												
		1											
	+												
Report Summary													
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp										
ECI, CNI, VMD	03/03/2023	3											
Ì													





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0235
 Date of Visit
 28/02/2023

 Site No
 FS0339
 Site Name
 Furnace (FW)

 Case No
 20230062
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as high. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted annually. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/