FHI 059, Version 13	Is	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0081			Date of visit: 11/10/2023				
Time spent on site:	2.5 hrs	Main Insp	pector:				
Site No: FS1267 Business No: FB0169	Site Name: Business Name:	Gometra Bakkafrost Scotland					
Case Types: 1 REP	2 REG 3	4 5	6				
Water Temp (°C):	Thermometer No:	T304	FHI 045 completed				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA M-37				
Dead/weak/abnormally behavior Clinical signs of disease obser Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.  If yes, see additional information/clinical score sheet.					
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							
Adverse weather prevented access to site							

#### **Additional Case Information:**

Paperwork by , observed by

High winds prevented access to site, paperwork completed at shorebase. Site staff were unable to undertaken daily duties at site due to adverse weather. Site observed on camera's at shorebase and large swell going through site which is exposed, especially to westerly winds. Fish are fed from shorebase feeding station, they were observed shoaling well.

Site manger reported that mortalities have decreased as water temperatures have decreased, although temperatures are still reported to be over 14oC, (14.2oC was displayed by site systems at time of inspection).

Site staff controlling/monitoring feeding from shorebase reported that wrasse could be observed feeding on sea lice, where as the lumpfish didn't display the same behaviour. Bakkafrost are reported to be moving from lumpfish to wrasse for cleaner fish and these were reported to be the last batch of lumpfish that will be input to a Bakkafrost site.

The pens on the westerly side of the site were reported to be worst affected by microjellies (muggiae), although all cages suffered mortalities. From most recent health report muggiae were still being observed in the 5m and 10m trawls, although other species of micro jellyfish were now at lower levels.

Site reportedly trialled skirts to keep microjellies out of cages, these were reported to be ineffective, aeration was also used and this was reported to have a positive effect, with the numbers of microjelly fish observed in cages reportedly almost half of that outside.

WRA mortality w/b 09/10 - 13 (0.07%), w/b 02/10 - 71 (0.36%), w/b 25/09 - 255 (1.29%), w/b 09/10 - 459 (2.26%) LUM mortality w/b 09/10 - 31 (0.11%), w/b 02/10 - 65 (0.24%), w/b 25/09 - 274 (0.99%), w/b 18/09 - 475 (1.69%) Worst affected pen (pen 2) was harvested on 10/10/23 and the second worst affected pen (pen 14) is due to be harvested next week (W/B 16/10/23), the site manager expected the mortalities to drop below 1% after pen 14 was harvested.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0081		Site No:	FS1267				
Date of Visit:		11/10/202	23		Inspector(s):			l
Registration/Author	risation Det	ails						
1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to		Í	·				Υ	
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sec	ctions)					
Total No facilities		16	Facilities sto	cked	15	No facilitie	s inspected	16
Species	SAL	WRA	LUM	1			1	
Age group	2022 Q3	Wild	2022					
No Fish	339,000	19,500	27,000					
Mean Fish Wt	3kg	Mixed	Mixed					
Next Fallow Date (S		Feb 2024	IVIIXEU	Next Input Da	te (Site)	Sept 2024		
					_ ` '			NI NI
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease prob	iems?		IN	Any escapes	(since last	visit) ?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	•							
Movement record		r inapastian	2					V
		irinspection	f				24/06/2022	
2. Date of last inspe		414	40				21/06/2023	V
3. Are records comp		•						I
4. Are movement re								T V
5. Are records comp		•						Y
6. Are health certific	ates for intro	ductions (ou	twith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records	<b>;</b>							
1. Are any movemen	nts carried ou	it by (or on b	ehalf) of the bu	isiness (not us	ing a STB)?			
If yes, is there a sys	tem in place t	for maintena	nce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records	available for i	nspection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Whole fish -	Dundas Ch	emicals	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly er	ntered?					Y
				) to 11/10) - 1.0	133 (0 30%) \	NK 40 - 5 8	24 (1 69%) M	/K 39
			•	< 38 - 17,344 (	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
4. Recent mortality (	last 4 wks)·						iortanty giii iss	des
<ul> <li>4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks): attributed to jelly fish earlier in summer 2023.</li> <li>5. Evidence of recent increased/atypical mortalities?</li> </ul>								
If yes, facility nos/no mortality per facility/no stock per facility/reason:								
Mortalities across al		•			ally fich			
6. Any other peaks i				due to microj	city fish.			Y
If yes, detail:			spreadsheet					'
7. Have increased (				vet or EUI2				N/A
If yes, detail action:	unexplained)	mortalities D	reen reported to	VELOI FIII?				14/7
	onto' boon "	ported to El	12 If no onter	dotaile on mari	tality avanta al	anot.		V
8. Have 'mortality ev	rents been re	:poned to Fr	ii: ii no, enter (	uctails on mon	ianty events sr	icel.		1

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Treatments and Medicines Records		

Treatments and Medicines Records						
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?						
If yes, detail: Otpomease						
If other, detail:						
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y				
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?						
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?						
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?						
If other, detail:						
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y				
Biosecurity Records						
Biosecurity records available for inspection?						
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, reco	ording and safe disposal been considered?					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify S	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any					
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included	?					
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the pres	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease					
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?						
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher						
health status, certification if required)?						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemen	6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise					
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?						
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of						
aquaculture animals held on site?						
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implen	nented on site?					
If no, detail:						
Results of Surveillance						
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?						
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?						
3. Any significant results?						
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).						
SRS lesion reported visually, mostly environmental damage to gills.						
December the alread hash conserve	24/06/22 ** 44/40/22					

Records checked between: 21/06/23 to 11/10/23

Case No:	2023-0081			Date of visit:	11/10/2023			
Otto No.	E04007							
Site No:	FS1267			Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.	Date of Notification						
		Database	Insp		Insp	Writing	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp
						J		2 1110
Poport Cummon				1				
Report Summary Case Type	Date	Inon	and Image					
REG, REP	23/11/2023	Insp	2 <sup>nd</sup> Insp					
TCO, TCI	20/11/2020							



# FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

### SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0169
 DATE OF VISIT
 11/10/2023

 SITE NO
 FS1267
 SITE NAME
 Gometra

 CASE NO
 20230081
 INSPECTORS

### Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected following reports of mortalities above the reporting thresholds for a prolonged period. Due to adverse weather conditions the site could not be accessed. Mortality levels were monitored and fell below the reporting threshold following the visit.

## Records

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



Signed:

Date: 23/11/23

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Scottish Government website at <u>Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)</u> R10