FHI 059, Version 13	I	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0088			Date of visit: 13/03/2023
Time spent on site:	2.5 Hrs	Main Ir	nspector:
Site No:FS1325Business No:FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Barcaldine First Feeding Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	•
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3	4 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 11.5	Thermometer No:	Site	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: F	CoGP MA:
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	• •	N If yes, see addition	al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet. al information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carr	y out intended visit detail	reason below:	

FHI 059, Version 13 Additional Case Information:

No treatments have been carried out in the First Feeding Unit since last inspection so no records to check.

Site split into 2 separate units, each consisting of 8 tanks. Water temperature in each unit at time of inspection was 11.1 & 12oC. Site thermometer used for biosecurity reasons.

No moribund or lethargic fish observed during inspections.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0088]	Site No:	FS1325	3			
Date of Visit:		13/03/2023]		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho			., ,					
 Business/site deta Changes made to 	•	checked by s	ite representa	itive?			Y N	
-								
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fis				4.0			10
Total No facilities Species	0.41	16	Facilities sto	cked	16	No facilities	s inspected	16
Age group	SAL	<u> </u>						
No Fish	Fry 2,640,126	-						
Mean Fish Wt	2,040,120 0.6g							
Next Fallow Date (S	0	Week 17/18	2023	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Unsure ~w	eek 25 2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)					Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:						*		•
Movement Decerd	_							
Movement Records 1. Movement record		r inspection?						V
2. Date of last inspe		i inspection:					24/07/2021	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered?)				24/01/2021	Y
4. Are movement rec		•						Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corr	ectly entered?)					Y
6. Are health certific	ates for introc	ductions (outw	vith GB) availa	ble?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen		t by (or on be	half) of the bu	siness (not us	ing a STB)?			Y
If yes, is there a syst								Y
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records a	available for i	neportion?						V
2. How are mortalitie		•			Ensiled - on a	site		· ·
If other detail:		'' :			Ensiled on a	5110		
3. Mortality records of	complete and	correctly ente	ered?					Y
·····, ····		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		79 (0.4%), wk	9 - 9.344 (0.4	%). wk 8 - 4	.769 (0.2%).	wk 7 - 3.693
4. Recent mortality (last 4 wks):		(0.1%)	- (,,	, - (-		, (,,	-,
5. Evidence of recen	nt increased/a	typical mortal	ities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period ch	ecked?					N
If yes, detail:		en ente littere d						N1/A
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action: 8. Have 'mortality ev	onte' hoon ro		2 If no ontor	dotails on mort	tality overte at	poot		N/A
o. Have monality ev	ents been re		r in no, enter (tailly events sr	ieet.		IN/A

Treatments and Medicines Records	
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	N/A
If yes, detail:	
If other, detail:	
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	N/A
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	N/A
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?	
If other, detail:	
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Records	
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	
is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if required)?	
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of aquaculture animals held on site?	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:	
Results of Surveillance	
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant results?	N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).	
Records checked between: 24/07/2021 - 13/03/2023	

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	JIISSUE	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0088		Site No:	FS1325		Insp:	
Date of Visit	13/03/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out		novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or neuronal neuron neuronal neuron	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0	-	10	14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3	6	10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or	ed (secure water supply through borehole)	0				0
susceptible to same diseases)	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
the rules of the directive	Processing ow	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	n from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent state	n from zone or compartment of us	4				
		n from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	n from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity	•	Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	-	from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				1			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0088	Site No.	Exercise FS1325
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems	s in the previous 4 years?	
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or e	quivalent) fallowed synchronously on a singl	e year class basis?
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as can these be deployed in a reasonable period	well as access to suitable biological and/or m	
4. Is there a signed documented farm management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to th	e site and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for ins	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	
6. Do records adequately reflect the required	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP	? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels bel records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	low the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. sali</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the perio	· ·	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	-	
10. Have therapeutic treatments been admini suggested criteria for treatment or where C .		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a		
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the action		
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried		
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations	are held without treatment for
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice i		et actions to deal with recognised
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta	il reasons.
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage		
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against t	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail be	IOW)
Site indoors, pest If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	•	the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions $4 - 9$. If No skip		
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		E 4 17)
 Have these been reported to local DSFB for Have these been reported to the SSPO and 		
6. Have these been reported to the SSFO and		(COGF - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esc	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	greed with local wild fish interests and was pe	ermission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and mini	mise the risk of further escapes? (Not covere	ed in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re		eason(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2023-0088	Date of visit: 13/03/2023						
Site No:	FS1325]		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	-							
	-	-	-	•				

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI	20/03/202	3	
	_	_	
	_	_	
	_	_	
		_	





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS No FB0125 SITE NO FS1325 CASE NO 20230088

SITE NAME INSPECTOR

DATE OF VISIT 13/03/2023 **Barcaldine First Feeding Unit**

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

No mortality levels exceeding the reporting criteria have been recorded since the last inspection.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007 with respect to section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Date: 20/03/2023

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/