FHI 059, Version 13	ls	ssued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0089			Date of visit: 15/03/2023
Time spent on site:	Hrs	Main Insp	pector:
Site No:FS0805Business No:FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Bagh Dail Nan Cean Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 8.2	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-40
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observe Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?		N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail	reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Remote inspection covering records carried out 08/03/2023. Site inspection completed on 14/03/2023.

Cleaner fish mortalities: Wk. 7 - WRS @ 165 (1.3%) & LUM @ 1308 (2.49%) Wk. 8 - WRS @ 178 (1.42%) & LUM @ 1490 (2.9%) Wk. 9 - WRS @ 134 (1.08%) & LUM @ 740 (1.48%) Wk. 10 - WRS @ 82 (0.67%) & LUM @ 825 (1.7%)

FMA not fallowed synchronously with other operator in the FMA but that operator is farming RTR and there is high amount cooperation and communication between both operators in area.

Fish remained low in the water so hard to see. Side netting goes down 16m into the water and then a further 13m to bottom of the cone. Only a small number (avg 3/pen) lethargic fish visible with the occasional one showing some physical damage. Once back at shorebase the fish were observed shoaling as expected via the cameras in the pens. Fish removed for vmd sampling were in good condition with no signs of disease upon external and internal examination.

FHI 059, Version 13			lssu	ed by: FHI			Date of issue	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0089]	Site No:	FS0805]			
Date of Visit:		15/03/2023	3		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Autho 1. Business/site deta			site representa	ative?				1
2. Changes made to	•	oneoned by c					N	
Site Details (includ	o cloanor fis	h for all sec	tions)					
Total No facilities	e cleaner na	12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	WRS	LUM					
Age group	Q2 - 2022	2022	2022					
No Fish	486,320	12,078	47,738					
Mean Fish Wt	3.8kg	~0.1kg	~0.1kg					
Next Fallow Date (Si	Ŭ	July/August		Next Input Da	te (Site)	April 2024		
Recent (last 4 wks) of	,		2020		Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:								
,								
 Movement records Date of last inspect Are records comp Are movement records Are records comp Are records comp Are health certificat Transport Records Are any movement If yes, is there a system Mortality Records	ction: lete and corr cords availab lete and corr ates for introd nts carried ou rem in place	ectly entered le for dead fis ectly entered ductions (outw to by (or on be for maintenar	sh and waste? ? vith GB) availa shalf) of the bu	able? Isiness (not usi			25/05/2021	Y Y Y N/A
1. Mortality records a		•						Y
2. How are mortalitie	es disposed o	of?			Biogas - Ene	rgen, Cumb	ernauld	
If other detail:								
3. Mortality records of	complete and	l correctly ent						Ŷ
4. Recent mortality ((0.37%)	0.2%), wk 8 - 1	1127 (0.23%),	wk 9 - 1156	δ (0.24%), wk	
5. Evidence of recen		••		,				N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	r facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
		· · · · ·	1 10					
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality du	iring period cl	necked?					N
If yes, detail:								N1/0
7. Have increased (u	inexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:								
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FH	l? If no, enter	details on mort	ality events sl	neet.		Y

Treatments and Me	ledicines Records	
1. Recent treatments	nts (see comment)?	Y
If yes, detail:	T.M.S.	
	Azasure	
	ds available for inspection?	Y
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nplete and correctly entered?	Y
4. Are fish in a withd	idrawal period?	Y
5. If yes, what treatm	tment(s)? T.M.S.	
If other, detail:		
6. Are medicines sto	tored appropriately?	Y
Biosecurity Record	rds	
•	rds available for inspection?	Y
•	and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
	and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
	ained) mortality at the site been included?	Y
	hat will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	Э
	ncluded and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y
5. Has the health sta	status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certific		
6. Have the husband	ndry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
transmission of disea	ease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
7. Is documentation aquaculture animals	n available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of Is held on site?	Y
•	urity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y
If no, detail:		
Results of Surveilla	llance	
1. Has any animal he	health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results	s available for inspection?	Y
3. Any significant res	esults?	N
If yes, detail (if not de	detailed under recent disease problems).	
F	Records checked between: 25/05/2021 - 14/03/2023	


FHI 059, Version 13							lssu	ued by: F	ΉI			
Case no:	2023-00)89	Site No:		FS0805			Date of v		15/0)3/2023	15/(
Priority samples:	VI		BA		PA		MG	Sampling	g: HI			
Time sampling starts/ends:		5:00	13:1	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No). [20
Environmental conditions:	1	Indoors	2		3		4		5			
Summary samples	HIST		BA		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Add Fish/Pools - click												
Pool/Fish No		-										
Fish nos	1	2										
Pool Group		0.41										
Species	SAL	SAL						_				
Average weight		3.8000										
Sex	N/A	N/A										
Water Type	SW	SW										
Stock Origin	ອ Poll Na Gille (FS0629)	1 Poll Na Gille (FS0629)										

3/2023	Addition	nal Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
	_					-					
0		Total Te	ests ass	igned	0						

FHI 059, Version 13

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	51 13500	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0089		Site No:	FS0805		Insp:	
Date of Visit	15/03/2023		No of mo	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	-	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or nucleon network of the second secon	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of sup		0	-		14	0
Movements off	Frequency of n	novements off	0	3	6	10	10
	Number of des		0	3		10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or I	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstrean	or in a coastal zone with category III n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	equivalent state		4				
		from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own was	te only processed.	0				
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	inpasteurised feed	0	[0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		2
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		2
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0	1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		24 MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0089	Site No	FS0805
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only)		
1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems		N
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or ed		
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as w can these be deployed in a reasonable period	well as access to suitable biological and/or m	
4. Is there a signed documented farm manage Management Area (or equivalent)?	ement agreement or statement relevant to the	e site and CoGP Farm Y
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	pection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)	Y
6. Do records adequately reflect the required s	standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP?	(Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) Y
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belor records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the	CoGP during the period that N
8. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. saln</i> 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period	· ·	or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish H	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.	Y
9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which	is considered to cause significant welfare pro	blems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50) N
10. Have therapeutic treatments been adminis suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C</i> . et al.		
11. Has any other action been taken (where a	pplicable)?	Y
12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions		
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried		
14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, v sea lice?	where fewer populations or part populations a	are held without treatment for Y
15. Is there a site specific written lice manage scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in		et actions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks	reflect sea lice count data? If no please deta	il reasons. Y
Containment Inspection		
1. Has the site experienced equipment damage	e due to predators in the current or previous	production cycles? N
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the	ne predation experienced on site? (Detail bel	ow) Y
Tensioned Seal		
If other, detail below:		
3. Have escape incidents or events been exp	erienced on or in the vicinity of the site since	the last FHI inspection? N
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip	to question 10	
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minis		
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and	l local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they e	xist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	apees? If yes give detail	
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action ag Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18)	reed with local wild fish interests and was pe	rmission given by Scottish
9. What action was taken to prevent and minir	nise the risk of further escapes? (Not covere	d in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measur		
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re		ason(s) Y



FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow ked? he or more year classes may be stocked onto s	
23. Does the FMAg/S identify whether brocovered by the agreement or statement?	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	ot on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and c 26. What is the version no/date of issue o	operated in accordance with the agreement or so of the FMAg/S? 15/03/2022	statement?

Site No: FS0805

Case No: 2023-0089

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

FHI 059, Version 13

Case No:	2023-0089]		Date of visit:	15/03/2023	3		
Site No:	FS0805	3		Inspector:				
Results Summary	Freq.				ate of Notifica			
		Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
	_		-				_	
	_							
	-							
	_							
	_		-				_	
	_							
	-							
	_							
Report Summary				1				
	Data		and .					

Report Summary			
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	20/03/2023		
	<u> </u>		

marine scotland science



FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0119

 SITE NO
 FS0805

 CASE NO
 20230089

DATE OF VISIT15/03/2023SITE NAMEBagh Dail Nan CeanINSPECTORImage: Constraint of the second second

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

Date: 20/03/2023

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/</u>