FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0138			Date of visit: 17/05/2023
Time spent on site: 4.	5 hrs	Main Ins	pector:
Site No: FS1317 Business No: FB0119	Site Name: Business Name:	Rum Mowi Scotland Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 10.7	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: HI	Water type: S	CoGP MA: None
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional	information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet. information/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit deta	ail reason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Inspection of records and documents carried out remotely on 12/05/2023.

Site is currently not in a FMA but do have an adequately prepared FMS which was available for inspection.

Site has seen a slight increase in seal activity but no damage to nets but will be changing to Seal Pro nets prior to next cycle.

Only 2 lethargic fish observed from all stocked pens. But no clinical signs of disease. The occasional runt also observed but less than 1 per pen. Fish remained low in the water so hard to see but when spotted were observed shoaling as in normal behaviour. Fish that were removed for vmd sampling looked in good condition. No clinical signs of disease upon internal examination.

Site mainly grows fish to harvest size with a mixture of live and dead haul going to Mallaig. There is the occasional movement off site to other sites but just tends to be a single site per cycle when it occurs.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ed by: FHI			Date of issu	e: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0138		Site No:	FS1317				
Date of Visit:		17/05/2023	3		Inspector(s):			I
Registration/Author	risation Deta	nils						
1. Business/site deta	ails summary	checked by s	site representa	ative?			Υ	1
2. Changes made to	details?						N]
Site Details (includ	le cleaner fis	h for all sect	tions)					
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	6	No facilitie	s inspected	12
Species	SAL	SAL					T .	
Age group	Q1 2022	Q2 2022						
No Fish	45,237	189,327						
Mean Fish Wt	5.75kg	3.2kg						
Next Fallow Date (S		June 2023	•	Next Input Da	ate (Site)	Septembe	r 2023	
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease probl	ems?			Any escapes			N
If yes, detail:				•		`	·	
Movement Record	.							
Movement record		r inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspe		i inopodiidir.					08/03/2022	
3. Are records comp		ectly entered	7				30/30/2322	Y
4. Are movement re		•						Y
5. Are records comp								Y
6. Are health certific		•		able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movement		t hy (or on he	half) of the hi	isiness (not us	ing a STR)?			N
If yes, is there a sys								
Mortality Records 1. Mortality records	available for in	nsnection?						Y
2. How are mortalities		•			Ensiled - on s	site		
If other detail:	es dispesed e				Enoned on	5110		
3. Mortality records	complete and	correctly ent	ered?					Y
,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		4) - 285 (0.14%	6). wk 18 (24/	04) - 609 (0	.23%) wk 19	(01/05) - 375
4. Recent mortality	(last 4 wks):			20 (08/05) - 30		, ,	,	,
5. Evidence of recer	nt increased/a	typical morta	lities?					N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ock per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks i	n mortality du	ring period of	nackad?					l N
If yes, detail:	ii iiiortaiity du	ing pendu ci	iccheu!					I IV
7. Have increased (unexplained)	mortalities be	en reported to	vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:			zopo.tod te	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been re	ported to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mort	tality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records				
1. Recent treatments (see comment)?	Y			
If yes, detail: T.M.S.				
If other, detail:				
2. Medicines records available for inspection?	Y			
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?	Y			
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?	Y			
5. If yes, what treatment(s)? T.M.S.				
If other, detail:				
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?	Y			
Biosecurity Records				
1. Biosecurity records available for inspection?	Y			
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality removal, recording and safe disposal been considered?	Y			
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	V			
increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?	Y			
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	ie			
is detected been included and <i>how</i> and <i>when</i> that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?	Y			
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher				
health status, certification if required)?				
6. Have the husbandry and hippopurity magazines implemented between each anidomiclogical unit to minimize	Y			
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures implemented between each epidemiological unit to minimise transmission of disease been covered (movement of staff, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	,			
7. Is documentation available regarding the measures in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y			
aquaculture animals held on site?				
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately implemented on site?	Y			
If no, detail:				
in rie, detain				
Results of Surveillance				
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried out by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y			
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?	Y			
3. Any significant results?	N			
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease problems).				
Records checked between: 08/03/2022 - 17/05/2023				

	11 009, Version 13							155	ueu by. r				
	Case no:	2023-01	138	Site No:		FS1317			Date of v		17/0	05/2023	17/0
	Priority samples:	VI		ВА		PA		MG	Sampling	J. HI			
	Time sampling starts/ends:	14:1	5:00	15:1	5:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No).	35
	Environmental conditions:	1		2		3		4		5			
	Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		РА		Total Sa	mples
A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
	Fish nos	1	2	3	4					-			
	Pool Group	.	_	3	7								
	Species	SAL	SAL	SAL	SAL								
	Average weight		5.7500										
	Sex	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A								
	Water Type	SW	SW	SW	SW								
	vidio. Typo												
Stock Details		Scalpay	Scalpay	Maol Ban	Maol Ban								
Stc	Facility No		6	11	13								

J5/2023 Add	ditional Sam	ple Infor	mation:							
0	Total Te	ests ass	igned	0	1					

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0138		Site No:	FS1317		Insp:	
Date of Visit	17/05/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
species	Number of supp	ocluding third country	0			14	0
Movements off	Frequency of m		1 0	3		10	10
Wovernonts on	Number of dest		0			10	3
Exposure via water	,	Site contacts	5 0	1-5	6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	disinfection or b	•	0				
susceptible to same diseases)		or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		1
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
	Farm is on-line	or in a coastal zone with category V					
	farms upstream	n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0				
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				1
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of us	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	te only processed.	0	1			0
products	Common proce	esses with other farms	3				
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	1			0
	Feeding unpas	teurised feed	5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		0
	Sites sharing st	taff and equipment	0	1	2		0
Disinfection of equipment	Yes			1			0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				U
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0				0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		15 LOW

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0138	Site No:	FS1317
3. Does the site have access to a range of lice	uivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single ye nced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (incl ell as access to suitable biological and/or mech	luding deltamethrin,
· ·	ment agreement or statement relevant to the sit	e and CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for insp	ection? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6) standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Le	egal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels belo records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ow the suggested criteria for treatment in the Co	GP during the period that
	nonis) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or ald that records are inspected?	bove (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
If yes, have these been reported to the Fish He 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is	ealth Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment. s considered to cause significant welfare proble	ms? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
	tered or other actions taken when <i>L. salmonis leongatus</i> is considered to have welfare implication	
13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried of	oplicable)? taken had a significant impact upon the lice levout in cooperation between participating farms? where fewer populations or part populations are	N/A
15. Is there a site specific written lice manager scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice in	ment procedure with waypoints describing set acfestation?	ctions to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks r	reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail re	easons.
	e due to predators in the current or previous pro se predation experienced on site? (Detail below)	
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip 4. Have these been reported to Scottish Minist 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB for		17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover esca	pees? If yes give detail	
Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minim be considered under satisfactory measure		code but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with re	gards to containment? If no, please detail reaso	n(s) Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0138	Site No: FS1317	
Date of Visit: 17/05/202	Inspector:	
Point of Compliance		
1. Is the farm under inspection located	d within a farm management area?	N
If N, no further questions require comp	pletion.	
2. Has a current farm management ag3. Is the current FMAg/S available for4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm	ant farm management area? arm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or state	ared?
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minin farm?	agement num health standards for the stocks to be int	troduced to the area or
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the spec	nation requirements for stocks held in the ar- cies of fish which may be stocked into the ar- kimum stocking density of any pen on any far	ea or farm?
	ingements for the storage and disposal of an farm?	y dead fish from any
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	t of Sea Lice ments for the sharing of data on sea lice nur	mbers and treatments?
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	ilability and the use of medicines on farms co	overed by the agreement
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual to	uirements for the sensitivity testing of availab farms?	ole treatments for sea
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circuused on farms in the area or individua	umstances under which biological controls a Il farms?	
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra	ingements for synchronous treatments on fai	rms within the area?
Live Fish Movements 18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circuarea or farm?	umstances when live fish may be introduced	
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra or individual farms?	ingements for the movement of live fish on a	nd off sites in the area

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	vidual farms?
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	y which the area or individual farm will be fallo ed? e or more year classes may be stocked onto s podstock or potential broodstock are to be kep	sites covered by the
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becon	me, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or soft the FMAg/S?	statement?

Site No: FS1317

Case No: 2023-0138

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No: 2023-0138 Date of visit: 17/05/2023	
Site No: Inspector:	
Results Summary Freq. Date of Notification	
Database Insp Phone Insp Writing Insp	2 nd Insp
Report Summary	
Case Type Date Insp 2 nd Insp	
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD 19/05/2023	





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

BUSINESS NO FB0119 DATE OF VISIT 17/05/2023
SITE NO FS1317 SITE NAME Rum

Case No 20230138 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as low. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every third year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice) and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.



The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/