FHI 059, Version 13	Issu	ied by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020				
Case No: 2023-0147			Date of visit: 04/04/2023				
Time spent on site: 4	hours	Main Inspecto	or:				
Site No: FS0427 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Fishnish (A) Scottish Sea Farms Ltd					
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	2 CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6				
Water Temp (°C): 8.8	Thermometer No:	T309	FHI 045 completed N/A				
Observations:	Region: ST	Water type: S	CoGP MA: M-35				
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving to Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.				
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry out intended visit detail reason below:							

Additional Case Information:

The stock at Fishnish A have performed very well this cycle in the 160m pens so far, mortality on site has been low since input and the fish are developing well. Upon the physical inspection of the stock fish were observed shoaling well with no clinical signs of disease observed. Internally, fish sampled for VMD were healthy.

FHI 059, Vei	sion 13			Issued	by: FHI		Date o	of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0147		Site No:	FS04	427			
Date of Visit:		04/04/20	23		Inspector(s	s):		
_	site details	-	cked by site re	presentativ	e?	Y		
Site Details	(include cl	eaner fish fo	r all sections))		_		
Total No fac	ilities	4	Facilities sto	ocked	3	No facilities insp	pected	4
Species	SAL	Wrasse	Lumpfish					
Age group	Q4 2022	2022	2022					
No Fish	728,313	6,997	50,000					
Mean Fish Wt	808g	50g	65g					
Next Fallow	Date (Site)	June 2024		Next Inpu	ut Date (Site)	October 2024		
	, ,	ase problems	3?			es (since last visit)	?	N
If yes, detail						,		
4. Are move5. Are record6. Are healthTransport F1. Are any m	ment record ds complete n certificates Records novements of	and correctly for introducti carried out by	or dead fish and entered? ons (outwith G	B) available	ness (not using	a STB)?	[Y Y N/A
Mortality Re								
•		lable for inspe	ection?		Facilian			Y
2. How are r If other detail:	nortalities di	sposed of?			Ensiled - o	n site		
3. Mortality r	ecords com	plete and cor	rectly entered?					Y
	of recent ind	creased/atypi	Week 13 (1 (179, 0.02). cal mortalities?	· ,		0.01%), Week 11	(280, 0.04%	%), Week 10 N
6. Any other	peaks in mo	ortality during	period checke	d?				N
If yes, detail 7. Have incr If yes, detail	eased (unex	plained) mor	talities been re	ported to ve				N/A
8. Have 'mo	rtality events	s peen report	ed to FHI? If no	o, enter det	alls on mortalit	v events sheet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Records							
Recent treatments (see comment)?		Y					
If yes, detail: Optomease		•					
If other, deta Slice							
2. Medicines records available for inspection?		Y					
3. Are records complete and correctly entered?							
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period?							
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?							
If other, deta Optomease							
6. Are medicines stored appropriately?		Y					
Biosecurity Records							
Biosecurity records available for inspection?		Y					
2. Has the manner and frequency of mortality remova	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y					
3. Has the manner and period in which the APB will notify Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of							
any increased (unexplained) mortality at the site been included?							
4. Has the action that will be taken in the event that the presence or suspicion of the presence of a listed							
disease is detected been included and how and when that will be notified to Scottish Ministers?							
5. Has the health status of aquaculture animals being	g stocked on the farm site been covered (equal or	1					
higher health status, certification if required)?	described that are real and translated as the state						
6. Have the husbandry and biosecurity measures imp	, ,						
minimise transmission of disease been covered (movetc.)?	rement of stan, visitors, equipment, live or dead fish	<u> </u>					
7. Is documentation available regarding the measure	s in place to maintain the physical containment of	Y					
aquaculture animals held on site?	s in place to maintain the physical containment of	نـــــا					
8. Have the biosecurity procedures been adequately	implemented on site?	Y					
If no, detail:							
,							
Results of Surveillance							
1. Has any animal health surveillance been carried or	ut by, or on behalf of, the business?	Y					
2. If yes, are results available for inspection?		Y					
3. Any significant results?							
If yes, detail (if not detailed under recent disease prol	blems).						
Records checked between:	10/11/2021 - 04/04/2023						

Case no: 2023-0147 Site No: FS0427 Date of visit	FI	HI 059, Version 13							ISS	suea by:	FHI			
Priority samples: VI BA PA MG HI Time sampling starts/ends: 12:00:00 12:30:00 Inspector: VMD No. Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No Pool/Fish No Pool Group Pool Group <td< td=""><th></th><td>Case no:</td><td>2023-0</td><td>147</td><td>Site No:</td><td></td><td>FS0427</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>04/0</td><td>04/2023</td><td>04/0</td></td<>		Case no:	2023-0	147	Site No:		FS0427					04/0	04/2023	04/0
starts/ends: Environmental conditions: 1 2 3 4 5 Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No 1 - 2 3 3 4 5 3 4 5 3 1 2 3 4 5 3 4		Priority samples:	VI		ВА		РА		MG	Campin	_			
Summary samples HIST BA MG VI PA Total Samples Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No			12:0	00:00	12:3	0:00		Inspecto	or:			VMD No	o. [7
Add Fish/Pools - click Pool/Fish No 1 - 2 3 Fish nos 1 - 2 3 Pool Group 9 Species SAL Average weight 800g Sex N/A Water Type SW		Environmental conditions:	1		2		3		4		5			
Pool/Fish No		Summary samples	HIST		ВА		MG		VI		PA		Total Sa	mples
Pool/Fish No														
Fish nos 1 - 2 3	A	dd Fish/Pools - click												
Pool Group Species Species SAL Average weight 800g Sex N/A Water Type SW		Pool/Fish No												
Species SAL SAL Average weight 800g 800g Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW		Fish nos	1 - 2	3										
Average weight 800g 800g Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW		Pool Group												
Sex N/A N/A Water Type SW SW		Species	SAL	SAL										
Water Type SW SW		Average weight	800g											
		Sex	N/A	N/A										
dine Smolt Unit		Water Type	SW	SW										
dine Smolt U			nit	nit										
dine Smol			T T	⊋										
dine Sr Sr Sr Sr Sr Sr Sr Sr			lon lon	<u> </u>										
din			လ											
	<u>v.</u>		ine	l e										
	<u>a</u>		ad	를 들										
			arc.	<u>ខ</u> ្ព										
	C	Stock Origin												
Facility No 1 3	Ċ,	Facility No	1	3										

04/2023	04/2023 Additional Sample Information:												
0 Total Tests assigned 0													
	_												

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0147		Site No:	FS0427		Insp:	
Date of Visit	04/04/2023		No of m	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	novements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	
with GB) of susceptible species		novements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	
	Number of sup	ncluding third country	0			14	
Movements off	ļ		1 0	3		10	10
Movements on	Frequency of m		0			10	3
Exposure via water		Site contacts	. 0	1-5			
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protect disinfection or l	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V n or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		1
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro	cessing	0]			0
	Processing own	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2				
		from zone or compartment of					
	equivalent statu	us I from Category III farm	4				
	_	from Category V farm	10				
			1 10]			
Disposal of fish and fish by- products			0				
		esses with other farms	3				3
	Collection poin	t for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	•	inpasteurised feed	0				0
	Feeding unpas		5				
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites		from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing s	taff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment	Yes		0				0
between sites, use of footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator				•			
Practices in accordance	Yes		0				0
with regulator or industry code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total Rank		21 MEDIUM

F	FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020						
(Case No: 2023-014		Site No:	FS0427						
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 4 years?										
2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallowed synchronously on a single year class basis?										
3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed and bath sea lice medications (including deltamethrin, azamethiphos and										
- 1	benzoate) as well as access to suitable biological and/or mechanical control measures, and can these be deployed in a reasonable partime?									
- 1	4. Is there a signed documented farm m equivalent)?	nagement agreement or statement relevant to the s	site and CoGP Fa	ırm Management Area (
	Are see lies count records available for	ringnostion? (Logal SSL CoCD Annay 6)								

6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specified in the SSI and the CoGP? (Legal SSI, CoGP Annex 6)

7. Are sea lice (*L. salmonis*) record levels below the suggested criteria for treatment in the CoGP during the period that records are ir (CoGP Annex 6)

8. Have average adult female sea lice (*L. salmonis*) numbers per fish been at a level of 3 or above (prior to w/b 10/6/19) or 2 or above 10/6/19) during the period that records are inspected?

If yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate? If no, FHI see comment.

- 9. Is C. elongatus infestation at a level which is considered to cause significant welfare problems? (CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
- 10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other actions taken when *L. salmonis levels* have exceeded the suggested criteratment or where *C. elongatus* is considered to have welfare implications? (CoGP 4.3.82, 5.3.51)
- 11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)?
- 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a significant impact upon the lice levels recorded?
- 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperation between participating farms?
- 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer populations or part populations are held without treatment for sea lice?
- 15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure with waypoints describing set actions to deal with recognised scenarios escalation of a sea lice infestation?
- 16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice count data? If no please detail reasons.

Containment Inspection

1. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to predators in the current or previous production cycles?
2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation experienced on site? (Detail below)
Seal Pro Bird Poles
If other, detail below:
3. Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or in the vicinity of the site since the last FHI inspection?
If Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10
4. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers?
5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP - 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
6. Have these been reported to the SSPO and local fisheries trusts forthwith (where they exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes give detail
8. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local wild fish interests and was permission given by Scottish Ministers? (Lega 4.4.38, 5.4.18)
9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of further escapes? (Not covered in code but could
be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to containment? If no, please detail reason(s)

FHI 059, Ve	ersion 13
emamectin period of	N Y Y
or	Y
. 10	Y
nspected?	Υ
e (from w/b	N N/A N
teria for	Υ
	Y Y Y
during the	Y
	Υ
	N Y
	N
al, CoGP –	
	V
	T

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI		Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0147	Site No: FS0427		
Date of Visit: 04/04/2023	Inspector:		
Point of Compliance			
Is the farm under inspection located v	vithin a farm management area	?	Υ
If N, no further questions require comple	etion.		
Points of Compliance for Both Farm I			V
Has a current farm management agreIs the current FMAg/S available for in:		been prepared?	Y
4. Does the FMAg/S identify the relevan	•		Y
5. Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farr	<u> </u>		Υ
6. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of		ent or statement?	Y
7. Does the FMAg/S identify the date of	review?		Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Manage	ement		
8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minimu farm?	m health standards for the stoc	ks to be introduced to the are	ea or Y
9. Does the FMAg/S identify the vaccina	•		Y
10. Does the FMAg/S identify the specie			Y V
11. Does the FMAg/S identify the maximindividual farm?		·	
Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang fish farm in the area or the individual far		oosal of any dead fish from a	ny Y
Arrangements for The Management o	of Sea Lice		
13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrangement		ea lice numbers and treatme	ents? Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the availal of statement?	oility and the use of medicines of	on farms covered by the agre	ement Y
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any requir	ements for the sensitivity testing	g of available treatments for s	sea Y
lice on farms in the area or individual far	•	,	
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum	•	controls and cleaner fish are	e to be Y
used on farms in the area or individual fa		20.25 (6.55)	a? Y
17. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	ements for synchronous treatm	ents on farms within the area	a? r
Live Fish Movements			
18. Does the FMAg/S identify the circum	istances when live fish may be	introduced or removed from	the Y
area or farm? 19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arrang	gements for the movement of liv	re fish on and off sites in the	area Y
or individual farms?	omente for the movement of hy	c half off and off siles in the	ı

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or individual	dual farms?
	by which the area or individual farm will be fallow	v and the earliest Y
date when a farm or area may be restock 22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether or agreement or statement?	ked? ne or more year classes may be stocked onto sit	tes covered by the
	coodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	on any site Y
Point of Compliance for Farm Manage 24. Does the farm management agreement parties to the agreement?	ement Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to become	e, or cease to be,
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and of 26. What is the version no/date of issue of the control of	operated in accordance with the agreement or stop of the FMAg/S? 01/12/2022	tatement? Y

Site No: FS0427

Case No: 2023-0147

Nature of non-compliance:
Action taken (FHI):
Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0147			Date of visit:	04/04/2023			
Site No:	FS0427]		Inspector:		ı		
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	tion		
,	·	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
			-					
	+	-	-					
		1						
			-					
Report Summary				1				
	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
Case Type ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	17/04/2023	Шэр	z IIISp					
201, 0111, 021, 11112	1170 172020							





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 BUSINESS NO
 FB0125
 DATE of VISIT
 04/04/2023

 SITE NO
 FS0427
 SITE NAME
 Fishnish (A)

 CASE NO
 20230147
 INSPECTOR

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Records in relation to aquaculture animals transported by the business were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/

Date: 17/04/2023