FHI 059, Version 13	lss	sued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0223			Date of visit: 07/06/2023
Time spent on site:	5 Hrs	Main Inspecto	or:
Site No: FS0489 Business No: FB0125	Site Name: Business Name:	Cole Deep Scottish Sea Farms Ltd	
Case Types: 1 ECI 2	CNI 3 SLI	4 VMD 5	6
Water Temp (°C): 12.1	Thermometer No:	T148	FHI 045 completed
Observations:	Region: SH	Water type: S	CoGP MA: S-8b
Dead/weak/abnormally behaving a Clinical signs of disease observed Gross pathology observed? Diagnostic samples taken?	•	N If yes, see additional infor	mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet. mation/clinical score sheet.
UNI/REG only - if unable to carry	out intended visit detail re	eason below:	

Additional Case Information:

Fish visually inspected on site appeared healthy with only 2 lethargic fish observed across the whole site. Fish removed for vmd sampling showed no gross pathology when examined.

Mortality records showed mortality levels were at 1.63% & 1.51% for pens 9 & 7 over week 44 2023. This was attributed to failed smolts/ post transfer but overall site mortality level remained below reporting level. Whole site mortality reach 1.6% week 47 2023 (21/11 - 27/11), again attributed to transfer of stock and physical damage. This was reported to the FHI.

FHI 059, Version 13			Issu	ıed by: FHI			Date of issu	ie: 12/05/2020
Case No:	2023-0223		Site No:	FS0489	9			
Date of Visit:		07/06/2023	3		Inspector(s):			1
Registration/Autho								
1. Business/site deta	•	checked by s	ite representa	ative?			Υ	
2. Changes made to	details?						Υ	J
Site Details (includ	e cleaner fish	n for all sect						
Total No facilities		12	Facilities sto	cked	12	No facilitie	es inspected	12
Species	SAL							
Age group	2022 S0							
No Fish	550,269							
Mean Fish Wt	1.34 kg							
Next Fallow Date (S	,	Feb./ March	2024	Next Input Da		Sep./Oct.		
Recent (last 4 wks)	disease proble	∍ms?		N	Any escapes	(since last	visit)?	N
If yes, detail:								
Movement Records	S							
1. Movement record	s available for	inspection?						Y
2. Date of last inspec	ction:						20/07/2021	
3. Are records comp	lete and corre	ctly entered?	?					Y
4. Are movement red	cords available	e for dead fis	h and waste?	,				Y
5. Are records comp	lete and corre	ctly entered?	?					Y
6. Are health certification	ates for introd	uctions (outw	rith GB) availa	able?				N/A
Transport Records								
1. Are any movemen	nts carried out	by (or on be	half) of the bu	usiness (not us	ing a STB)?			N
If yes, is there a syst	tem in place fo	or maintenan	ce of transpor	rtation records	?			
Mortality Records								
1. Mortality records a	available for in	spection?						Y
2. How are mortalitie		•			Other (detail)			
If other detail:	· ·		inerated or co	onverted to biof	,			
3. Mortality records of								Y
			Wk 22 - 435	5 (0.08%), wk 2	1 - 345 (0.06%	6), wk 20 - 3	398 (0.07%),	wk 19 - 370
4. Recent mortality (•		(0.07%)					
5. Evidence of recen		• •						N
If yes, facility nos/no	mortality per	facility/no sto	ck per facility	/reason:				
6. Any other peaks in	n mortality dur	ring period ch	necked?					Y
If yes, detail:	see additiona	•						
7. Have increased (u			en reported to	o vet or FHI?				N/A
If yes, detail action:	,							
8. Have 'mortality ev	ents' been rer	orted to FHI	? If no, enter	details on mon	tality events sh	neet.		Y

Treatments and Medicines Re	ecords		
1. Recent treatments (see comm			Y
`	T.M.S.,		
If yes, detail:	Slice		
If other, detail:			
2. Medicines records available f	or inspection?		Y
3. Are records complete and co	rrectly entered?		Y
4. Are fish in a withdrawal period	d?		Y
5. If yes, what treatment(s)?		T.M.S., Slice	
If other, detail:			
6. Are medicines stored appropri	riately?		Y
Biosecurity Records 1. Biosecurity records available	for inequation?		V
		ording and safe disposal been considered?	Y
•	•	Scottish Ministers or veterinary professional of any	
increased (unexplained) mortal	•	• •	Y
· · · ·	•	sence or suspicion of the presence of a listed disease	`——
is detected been included and h			Ý
		ked on the farm site been covered (equal or higher	Y
health status, certification if requ		tod on the familiant site book covered (equal of might	
6. Have the husbandry and bios	security measures implemen	nted between each epidemiological unit to minimise	Y
•	•	visitors, equipment, live or dead fish etc.)?	
	egarding the measures in pla	ace to maintain the physical containment of	Y
8. Have the biosecurity procedu	res been adequately implen	mented on site?	Y
If no, detail:			
Results of Surveillance			
Has any animal health surveil	llance been carried out by. o	or on behalf of, the business?	Y
2. If yes, are results available fo	•		Y
3. Any significant results?			N
If yes, detail (if not detailed under	er recent disease problems)).	
Records che	ecked between:	20/07/2021 - 07/06/2023	

FHI 059, Version 13						issuea	ру: Ені		
Case no:	2023-02	23 Site	No:	FS0489			e of visit/ npling:	07/06/20	07/0
Priority samples:	VI		ВА	РА		MG	HI		
Time sampling starts/ends:	14:25	5:00	14:30:00]	Inspector	:		VMD No.	4
Environmental conditions:	: 1	ndoors	2	3		4	5		
Summary samples	HIST		ВА	MG		VI	PA	Tota	I Samples
Add Fish/Pools - click									
Pool/Fish No									
Fish nos	1								
Pool Group									
Species	SAL								
Average weight	1.3400								
Sex	N/A								
Water Type	SW								
	(4)								
	05								
SIIIS	FS								
Details	a (
9	Girlsta (FS0504)								
Stock Origin Facility No									
ත් Facility No	12								

06/2023 Additional Sample Information:													
0 Total Tests assigned 0													
	_			•		_							

FHI 059, Version 13		Issued by: FHI			Date of	of issue	: 12/05/2020
Case Number:	2023-0223		Site No:	FS0489		Insp:	
Date of Visit	07/06/2023		No of me	ovements/s	supp./dest.		Score
Live fish movements			0	1-5	6-10	>10	
Movements on (from out	Frequency of m	ovements on from equivalent MS	0	5	10	14	0
with GB) of susceptible species		ovements on from equivalent zone or	0	9	18	26	0
	Number of supp	cluding third country	0		10	14	0
Mariamanta aff							10
Movements off	Frequency of m		0		6	10 10	10
Exposure via water	Trumbor or door	Site contacts	ļ		6-10		
Water contacts with other farms (holding species	Farm is protected disinfection or be	ed (secure water supply through porehole)	0				
susceptible to same diseases)	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category I or within 1 tidal excursion	1	2	4		2
	farms upstream	or in a coastal zone with category III or within 1 tidal excursion	1	3	6		
		or in a coastal zone with category V or within 1 tidal excursion	1	4	8		
Management practices			None	Secure	Unsecure		
Water contacts with processors	Any processing	plant discharging into adjacent waters	0	1	2		0
On farm processing within the rules of the directive	No on farm pro		0				0
	Processing owr	n fish (re-cycling risk)	1				
	Processing fish	from MS of equivalent status	2	1			
	Processing fish equivalent statu	from zone or compartment of	4				
	Processing fish	from Category III farm	8				
	Processing fish	from Category V farm	10				
Disposal of fish and fish by-	Site's own wast	e only processed.	0	1			
products	Common proce	sses with other farms	3				3
	Collection point	for waste from other farms	5				
Use of unpasteurised feeds	No feeding of u	npasteurised feed	0	,]			0
Too or anpaotounous roods	Feeding unpast		5				0
Biosecurity		Number of sites	1	J 2 or 3	≥ 4		
Contacts with other sites	Sites operating	from single shorebase	0	1	2		1
	Sites sharing st	aff and equipment	0	1	2		1
Disinfection of equipment between sites, use of	Yes		0				0
footbaths etc	No		1				
CoGP/Regulator							
Practices in accordance with regulator or industry	Yes		0				0
code of practice	No		3				
Platform access to cages	Yes		0	1			0
	No		2				
					Total		20
					Rank		MEDIUM

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0223	Site No: FS0	489
Sea Lice Inspection (Seawater Sites Only) 1. Has the site experienced sea lice problems in the previous 2. Is the CoGP Farm Management Area (or equivalent) fallow 3. Does the site have access to a range of licenced in-feed a azamethiphos and emamectin benzoate) as well as access to an these be deployed in a reasonable period of time?	wed synchronously on a single year cland	g deltamethrin,
 Is there a signed documented farm management agreeme Management Area (or equivalent)? 	nt or statement relevant to the site and	d CoGP Farm
5. Are sea lice count records available for inspection? (Legal6. Do records adequately reflect the required standard specif	·	SSI, CoGP Annex 6)
7. Are sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) record levels below the suggest records are inspected? (CoGP Annex 6)	ed criteria for treatment in the CoGP d	uring the period that N
3. Have average adult female sea lice (<i>L. salmonis</i>) numbers 2 or above (from w/b 10/6/19) during the period that records a		(prior to w/b 10/6/19) or Y
f yes, have these been reported to the Fish Health Inspector 9. Is <i>C. elongatus</i> infestation at a level which is considered to		(CoGP 4.3.81, 5.3.50)
10. Have therapeutic treatments been administered or other a suggested criteria for treatment or where <i>C. elongatus</i> is con		
11. Has any other action been taken (where applicable)? 12. Have therapeutic treatments or the actions taken had a si 13. Are treatments, where conducted, carried out in cooperat 14. Is there a harvesting strategy for the site, where fewer posea lice?	ion between participating farms?	N/A
15. Is there a site specific written lice management procedure scenarios during the escalation of a sea lice infestation?	e with waypoints describing set actions	s to deal with recognised Y
16. Do the sea lice levels observed on stocks reflect sea lice	count data? If no please detail reason	s. Y
Containment Inspection I. Has the site experienced equipment damage due to preda 2. Are measures in place to mitigate against the predation ex Top Nets, f other, detail below:	•	on cycles? N Y
Have escape incidents or events been experienced on or	in the vicinity of the site since the last	FHI inspection?
f Yes proceed with questions 4 – 9. If No skip to question 10 1. Have these been reported to Scottish Ministers? 5. Have these been reported to local DSFB forthwith (where to be the second process of the second process	hey exist)? (CoGP – 4.4.37, 5.4.17)	
7. Were methods (if any) used to recover escapees? If yes gi	ve detail	
3. If gill nets were deployed was this action agreed with local Ministers? (Legal, CoGP – 4.4.38, 5.4.18) 9. What action was taken to prevent and minimise the risk of be considered under satisfactory measures of the Act)		e but could
10. Is the site inspected as satisfactory with regards to contain	nment? If no, please detail reason(s)	Y

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of	f issue: 12/05/2020
Case No: 2023-0223	Site No: FS0489		
Date of Visit: 07/06/202	23 Inspector:	-	
Point of Compliance			
1. Is the farm under inspection located	d within a farm management area?		Υ
If N, no further questions require comp	pletion.		
 Has a current farm management ag Is the current FMAg/S available for Does the FMAg/S identify the relevant Does the FMAg/S identify the fish farm 	vant farm management area? farm site(s) to which it applies? of commencement of the agreement or	prepared?	Y Y Y Y Y
Arrangements for Fish Health Mana 8. Does the FMAg/S identify the minin farm?	agement mum health standards for the stocks to b	pe introduced to the area or	Y
•	ination requirements for stocks held in the		Y
	ecies of fish which may be stocked into the ximum stocking density of any pen on are		Y
	angements for the storage and disposal farm?	of any dead fish from any	Y
Arrangements for The Management 13. Does the FMAg/S identify arrange	nt of Sea Lice ements for the sharing of data on sea lice	e numbers and treatments?	Y
14. Does the FMAg/S identify the avail of statement?	ailability and the use of medicines on farm	ns covered by the agreement	Υ
15. Does the FMAg/S identify any require on farms in the area or individual to	quirements for the sensitivity testing of av	vailable treatments for sea	Y
16. Does the FMAg/S identify the circused on farms in the area or individua	cumstances under which biological control al farms?	ols and cleaner fish are to be	Υ
	angements for synchronous treatments of	on farms within the area?	Y
Live Fish Movements	cumstances when live fish may be introd	uced or removed from the	Y
area or farm?	ŕ		
19. Does the FMAg/S identify the arra or individual farms?	angements for the movement of live fish	on and off sites in the area	Υ

FHI 059, Version 13	Issued by: FHI	Date of issue: 12/05/2020
Harvesting 20. Does the FMAg/S identify acceptable	harvest practices on farms in the area or indiv	idual farms?
Fallowing 21. Does the FMAg/S identify the dates b date when a farm or area may be restock	y which the area or individual farm will be fallowed?	w and the earliest Y
22. Does the FMAg/S identify whether on agreement or statement?	e or more year classes may be stocked onto s	ites covered by the Y
•	oodstock or potential broodstock are to be kept	t on any site
Point of Compliance for Farm Manager 24. Does the farm management agreeme parties to the agreement?	ment Agreements Only ent include arrangements for persons to becom	ne, or cease to be, N/A
Management and operation 25. Is the fish farm being managed and o 26. What is the version no/date of issue of	perated in accordance with the agreement or solutions of the FMAg/S? Issue date - 01/08/202	

Site No: FS0489

Case No: 2023-0223

Nature of non-compliance:

Action taken (FHI):

Non-compliance relevant to (delete): VirologyMolGen/Bacteriology/Histology/Parasitology

Case No:	2023-0223			Date of visit:	07/06/2023	В		
Site No:	FS0489	Inspector:						
Results Summary	Freq.			Da	te of Notifica	ation		
,	,	Database	Insp	Phone	Insp	Writing	Insp	2 nd Insp
							-	
							-	
Report Summary			I	1				
Case Type	Date	Insp	2 nd Insp					
ECI, CNI, SLI, VMD	14/06/2023		2 11150					
, ,								





FISH HEALTH INSPECTORATE VISIT REPORT

SUMMARY FOR INFORMATION OF SITE OPERATOR

 Business No
 FB0125
 Date of Visit
 07/06/2023

 Site No
 FS0489
 Site Name
 Cole Deep

 Case No
 20230223
 Inspector

Inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009

The above site was inspected, in accordance with the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

All epidemiological units were inspected. On this occasion no samples were taken for disease analysis. The Inspector did not observe any clinical signs associated with the listed diseases as described in the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009.

Records

The surveillance frequency category of the site was assessed as medium. An inspection under the Aquatic Animal Health (Scotland) Regulations 2009 will be conducted every second year. The category of the site will be reassessed on a routine basis and updated as required.

The information required for the public record of aquaculture production businesses regarding this site was verified and where necessary updated. The following records were also inspected to ensure that the conditions of authorisation for your Aquaculture Production Business (APB) are being met:

Aquaculture animal and aquaculture animal product movement records were inspected and appeared to be adequately maintained.

Mortality records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Mortality levels had exceeded the reporting criteria since the last inspection and had been reported to the Fish Health Inspectorate as required.

Reports detailing the results of animal health surveillance carried out by or on behalf of the business and/or Marine Scotland were available for inspection.

The biosecurity measures plan for the site was inspected and found to be adequately maintained and implemented.

Inspection under the Animals and Animal Products (Examination for Residues and Maximum Residue Limits) (England and Scotland) Regulations 2015

Medicine records were inspected and found to be adequately maintained.

Samples were taken to be analysed for veterinary residues.

Inspection under the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007

The site was also inspected in accordance with the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2007, as amended, with respect to section 3 regarding parasites (sea lice), section 4A regarding fish farm management agreements and statements and section 5 regarding containment and escapes.

On this occasion the site was found to be satisfactory with regards to parasites, fish farm management agreements and statements and containment and escapes.

Please contact myself or the duty inspector should you require any further information or have any queries regarding this report.

Date: 14/06/2023

Signed:

Fish Health Inspector

The Fish Health Inspectorate Service Charter detailing standards of service is available on the Marine Scotland website at https://www.gov.scot/publications/fish-health-inspectorate-service-charter/